

## Regional Forest Agreement framework questionnaire

1 message

**dpi.communications@dpi.nsw.gov.au** <dpi.communications@dpi.nsw.gov.au>  
To: forests@industry.nsw.gov.au

Sun, Mar 4, 2018 at 4:51 PM

Given name :

Family name:

Organisation:

Mobile phone:

Email:

To publish or not to publish:

My completed questionnaire should <strong>only</strong> be published on the Department of Primary Industries website once my personal details are removed.

Select your interests:

Conservation reserve system, Threatened species, Heritage values

Other interests:

I do not want the RFAs renewed

How effective do you think the RFAs have been :

Not effective

What elements would you want to see retained and remain unchanged :

I do not want the RFAs renewed. The contractors have broken the rules and damaged habitat. The forests are more valuable as forests than wood chip.

What elements would you want to change?:

The contractors have broken the rules and damaged habitat. The forests are more valuable as forests than wood chip. No wood chipping of forests, only very selective harvesting for high grade timber products.

Simplifying the RFAs and removing redundant or duplicated commitments?:

Maintaining a strong focus on triple bottom line outcomes?:

Very important

Updating references to legislation, codes of practice or new regulation? :

Important

Alignment with contemporary policies and programs?:

Alignment with the National Forest Policy Statement and global agreements on sustainable forestry?:

Important

Improving review and reporting requirements:

Very important

What improvements could be made to the five yearly review and consultation processes?:

The economic benefits of forests that are not logged should be taken into account, assessed and compared with the poor economic value, subsidies paid and tax payer money used to keep the forestry and woodchipping industry going.

What improvements could be made to support the day-to-day implementation of RFAs?:

RFAs should not be renewed unless for high grade timber products with very selective logging. This needs to be monitored on the ground. No wood chip.

What further research and monitoring could be important to consider for future RFAs?:

There has been very poor oversight of logging. Much harm has been done. How can you prevent this??

What improvements could be made to RFA related communication?:

RFAs should not be renewed unless for high grade timber products with very selective logging. This needs to be monitored. No wood chip. Wood chip destroys our forests for negative economic outcomes. Taxpayer subsidies are needed to keep this unviable industry going.

What improvements could be made to the RFA implementation framework to support a rolling extension mechanism?:

RFAs should not be renewed

3/9/2018

NSW Department of Industry Mail - Regional Forest Agreement framework questionnaire

What other improvements could be made to the RFA framework?: RFAs should not be renewed

Attachments: No file uploaded