

Regional Forest Agreement framework questionnaire

1 message

dpi.communications@dpi.nsw.gov.au <dpi.communications@dpi.nsw.gov.au>
To: forests@industry.nsw.gov.au

Mon, Mar 12, 2018 at 12:57 PM

Given name :

Family name :

Organisation: My completed questionnaire should only be published on the Department of Primary Industries website once my personal details are removed.

Mobile phone:

Email:

To publish or not to publish: Forest management framework in New South Wales, Sustainable access to timber resources, Conservation reserve system, Threatened species, Other interests

Select your interests: the cost of this flawed RFA to the tax payer

Other interests: Not effective

How effective do you think the RFAs have been : there is very little

What elements would you want to see retained and remain unchanged : A totally new look into sustainable native hardwood forestry

What elements would you want to change?:

Simplifying the RFAs and removing redundant or duplicated commitments?: Not very important

Maintaining a strong focus on triple bottom line outcomes?: Very important

Updating references to legislation, codes of practice or new regulation? : Important

Alignment with contemporary policies and programs?: Important

Alignment with the National Forest Policy Statement and global agreements on sustainable forestry?: Important

Improving review and reporting requirements: Very important

What improvements could be made to the five yearly review and consultation processes?: reviews should be made more available to the public

What improvements could be made to support the day-to-day implementation of RFAs?: Stop forest corps abuse of the rfa . A good example is forestry,s distortion of Zone 3b whereby they log it as per normal harvest area.

What further research and monitoring could be important to consider for future RFAs?: refer more to the forestry corps abuses of IFOA through the EPA .

What improvements could be made to RFA related communication?: Get more feedback from the public on forestry abuses

What improvements could be made to the RFA implementation framework to support a rolling extension mechanism?: The RFA is a dead duck. It was faulty from day one with the unrealistic goals . the so called experts that set it up got it totally wrong. If you continue with it , it will be throwing tax payers money into a bureaucratic black hole

What other improvements could be made to the RFA framework?: total restructuring

Attachments: No file uploaded

