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# Non-technical summary 

Survey of recreational fishing in NSW, 2017/18

## Principal investigators
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## Objectives

To provide detailed information for recreational fishing in NSW waters. Data elements included:

Annual catch and effort information for long-term (1 or 3-year duration) NSW recreational fishing licence holders and other members of their households - by fishing method, platform (boat or shore), waterbody type (e.g. ocean waters, estuaries, rivers and lakes/dams) and for six defined fishing zones during 2017/18.

## Key words

Recreational fishing, telephone/diary survey

## Summary

Recreational fishing is one of NSW's most popular pastimes with large proportions of the population participating in some type of recreational fishing during a typical year.
Recreational fishing also accounts for substantial amounts of the total catch for many key species in NSW and indeed for some, recreational fishers account for the majority of the catch.

Due to the significance of the recreational fishery, the NSW Department of Primary Industries (NSWDPI) is committed to providing quality recreational fishing opportunities and ensuring sustainability of the State's fish stocks. To achieve these aims, regular and cost-effective monitoring of the recreational fishery is required to ensure effective management and ongoing health of our fisheries.
Collecting information on the recreational sector is extremely challenging and can be costly. There are hundreds of thousands of participants, targeting a wide variety of species across a diverse array of waterbodies, with thousands of access points.

On-going monitoring is important to provide estimates of recreational fishing effort and catch and to observe changes in these indices through time. For fisheries where recreational fishing provides the only source of data or where the recreational catch is equal to or exceeds the commercial catch, understanding the impact of recreational fishing is essential.

To meet these demands for a cost-effective, high quality and regular monitoring program the NSWDPI developed the Recreational Fisheries Monitoring Program (RFMP). The RFMP is a comprehensive citizen science program that engages with thousands of recreational fishers who voluntarily provide information on their fishing activities within a rigorous scientific framework.

There are two components to the RFMP:

1) Recreational Fishing Research Surveys

State-wide 12-month surveys of long-term (1 or 3 years duration) recreational fishing licence holders and other members of their households; and,
2) Charter Fishery Monitoring

A logbook and on-board observer program to monitor the NSW Charter Fishery
This report presents key results from the first year of the Research Surveys of the RFMP. The Charter Fishery monitoring is the subject of a separate report (see Hughes et al. 2020).

## RFMP Research Survey 2017/18 - Background

The RFMP Research Surveys are based on the long-established telephone/diary survey method that has been effectively used for many large-scale recreational fishing surveys, both in Australia and overseas. In NSW, a licence is required to fish anywhere in NSW waters and this licensing system provides a comprehensive list of fishers that is used as the sampling frame for the telephone/diary RFMP surveys. Surveying long-term RFL holders from the NSW licence database results in several cost and operational efficiencies being achieved when compared to more traditional methods used to survey recreational fishers.

The RFMP surveys are designed to provide data on key indices of recreational fishery performance through time by surveying long-term recreational fishing licence (RFL) holders
on a biennial basis i.e. 12-month Diary Surveys will be run every second year. Importantly, other members within the household of the selected RFL holder who fish are also included in the survey to optimise the amount of fishing data collected.
High rates of coverage of the fishing population in NSW are achieved by sampling recreational fishers resident within RFL households. For example, a review of survey data from the 2000/01 National Recreational and Indigenous Survey revealed that RFL households would likely represent over $55 \%$ of all resident NSW and ACT fishers (aged five years and older) and account for $70 \%$ of total fishing effort (fisher days) and close to $80 \%$ of the total catch (Stark unpublished data).

## Survey Sample Sizes

A 12-month Diary Survey was held from 1st October 2017 through to 31st September 2018 which collected large amounts of fishing activity data from a robust sample of RFL households.
Prior to the start of the Diary Survey, there were 432,218 current NSW long-term RFL holders (as at 31st March 2017) who resided in the most populous eastern states of Australia (New South Wales, Victoria, Queensland and the ACT). The Sydney region contributed the largest number of licence holders (38\%) followed by the Hunter region (10\%) and Victoria (10\%). To select fishers for the Diary Survey, a Screening Survey of these RFL holders was conducted and 1,618 RFL households fully responded. Information on all members of RFL households was collected which profiled past fishing history and any household member's intention to fish in the coming 12-month Diary Survey period. When information on all household members is considered, recreational fishing and demographic profiling was collected for a total of 4,335 residents aged five years and older from within the sampled RFL households.
Of the 1,618 fully responding RFL households from the Screening Survey, 1,413 households ( $87 \%$ ) were identified as having at least one resident (aged five years and older) with an intention to do some recreational fishing anywhere in NSW waters during the Diary Survey period. Of these households, 1,312 (93\%) agreed to take part in the Diary Survey and 1,257 ( $89 \%$ ) completed the survey. In total, the fishing activities of 3,442 residents aged five years and older were assessed within these 1,257 RFL households. The majority of these households (73\%) reported some fishing activity during the diary period and among these, 1,610 residents aged five years and older reported some fishing activity - for a total of 10,951 person-based fishing events.

## Number of Fishers

Of the 432,218 RFL holders that were current as of 31st March 2017, an estimated $60 \%$ $(257,722)$ fished during the Diary Survey period. An additional 616,551 persons were estimated to be residing in RFL households, and $22 \%$ of these persons $(134,762)$ fished during the diary period. Thus, an estimated total of 392,484 persons fished during the Diary Survey.

## Fishing Effort

These RFL household fishers expended an estimated $2,156,548$ fisher days of effort during the 12 -month diary period, at an average of 7.83 days per fisher. The majority of this fishing activity occurred in Saltwater (68\%) with fishing effort in estuaries accounting for $48 \%$ of the total waterbody effort followed by ocean waters (20\%). Fishing in Freshwater systems represented the remaining $32 \%$ of total fishing effort and of this, $65 \%$ of effort occurred in rivers and the remainder (35\%) in lakes and dams.

## Catch

State-wide, it was estimated that over 9.3 million individual organisms (fish and invertebrates) were caught (numbers kept plus released) of 131 different species or species groups. The top five finfish species caught (numbers kept plus released) were Bream (944,000), Dusky Flathead $(886,800)$, Sand Flathead $(648,600)$, Snapper $(451,400)$ and Murray Cod $(416,700)$. The top 5 invertebrate species caught (numbers kept plus released) were Ghost Nippers $(700,800)$, Freshwater Shrimp $(468,300)$, Saltwater Prawns $(328,700)$, Freshwater Yabbies $(280,700)$ and Saltwater Pipis $(144,300)$.

Almost half (49\%) of the individual organisms caught were subsequently released, with release rates varying considerably by species. Highest rates of release ( $>75 \%$ ) were evident for Australian Bass, Murray Cod, Red Rock Cod, sharks/rays and wrasse/gropers.

## Comparisons with 2013/14

Results from a sub-set of data from the 2017/18 survey were compared with a similar survey done in 2013/14 (which excluded Queensland residents). It is important to note, however, that despite the fundamental comparability of the two studies, comparing just two points in time and the issue of inter-annual variability must be taken into consideration - especially in terms of the natural availability of certain species and therefore the catch levels in a given year. Sample sizes for each of the two survey periods were similar with participation in fishing amongst all RFL household fishers estimated to be 41\% in 2013/14, compared to 38\% in 2017/18.

In 2013/14, RFL households accounted for an estimated 2,391,456 fisher days of effort compared to 2,061,271 during the 2017/18 survey, a difference of 14\%. In 2013/14 an annual mean of 8.6 days per fisher was recorded compared to an annual mean of 7.9 days per fisher for 2017/18.

An estimated state-wide total number of 11.6 million organisms were caught (numbers kept plus released) by RFL households during 2013/14, compared to an estimated 8.9 million in $2017 / 18$. The number of individual organisms caught was $24 \%$ lower during the 2017/18 survey year. The estimated kept (harvested) catch of all organisms went from 6.4 million in 2013/14 to 4.6 million in 2017/18 ( $28 \%$ lower in 2017/18). The estimated number of released animals changed from 5.3 million in 2013/14 to 4.3 million in 2017/18 ( $18 \%$ lower in 2017/18).

Changes in the numbers of organisms caught varied from species to species, with some showing higher overall catches in 2017/18, e.g. Dusky Flathead (7\% higher) Yellowtail Scad ( $46 \%$ higher) and Tailor (32\% higher).

## Satisfaction with Fishing

Whilst the attitudes and opinions of fishers are to be presented in a separate report, it is worthwhile noting that the greater majority of respondents (over $80 \%$ ) were at least "quite satisfied" with their recreational fishing experiences during the 2017/18 survey period compared with around $75 \%$ in 2013/14.

## Introduction

## Need for recreational fishing data in NSW

The fisheries resources of NSW are shared among recreational fishers, commercial fishers, charter operators and people practising traditional Aboriginal fishing. Fisheries management policies are designed to promote sharing of the resource between these sectors to achieve overall resource sustainability and maximise socio-economic outcomes (Ryan et al. 2016, Sutinen \& Johnston 2003; Crowe et al. 2013; Fenichel et al. 2013). The non-fishing NSW public also value a well-managed resource with sustainable fisheries an important component of broader marine ecosystem health.

Implementing such policies requires an understanding of the exploited resource and estimates of the number of participants, when and where this activity occurs and the amount of kept or retained catch (harvest) from each sector. Historically, the commercial sector has received most attention by fisheries management as it was considered to harvest more fish and have greater economic importance than the recreational sector. However, it is now widely accepted that the recreational sector can take a significant proportion of the catch from many shared fish stocks (Ryan et al. 2016, Cooke \& Cowx 2006; Ihde et al. 2011; Lloret \& Font 2013). For example, in NSW, recreational fishing accounts for a majority of the harvest of many key species such as Dusky and Sand Flathead, Mulloway, Tailor and Yellowtail Kingfish (West et al. 2015).

The economic value of the recreational sector is also estimated to be many times that of the commercial sector, with recent estimates revealing that recreational fishing contributes about $\$ 3.4$ billion into the NSW economy each year and creates the equivalent of about 14,000 fulltime jobs (Mcllgorm and Pepperell 2014). By comparison, the NSW commercial fishery has been estimated to contribute approximately $\$ 436$ million in revenue to the annual NSW economy, and generates about 3,300 full-time jobs (Voyer et al. 2016).

Recreational fishing is an important pastime for about 1 in 8 NSW residents and represents the 3rd highest annual participation rate of all physical/recreational activities - behind only 'walking/jogging for exercise' and 'fitness/gymnasium activities' (West et al. 2015). Such participation rates are reflected in the substantial social and economic value that recreational fishing provides to the community (Hyder et al. 2018). Non-catch related aspects are often more highly valued than those associated with catch among recreational fishers with primary motivations to go fishing including 'to relax and unwind', 'to spend time with family', 'fishing for sport' and 'to be outdoors' (Georgeson et al. 2015). Recreational fishing enhances social capital, promotes respect for nature, provides health benefits and can provide substantial economic benefits to society (McPhee, 2017). Fishing quality is also an important aspect of angler participation which requires an understanding of fisher interests and priorities to manage fisheries effectively (Brownscombe et al. 2019).

In NSW, commercial fisheries have traditionally provided the primary source of data for management and reporting purposes. However, the nature and harvest of commercial fisheries in NSW has changed through time, and commercial effort has been removed or largely restricted from selected areas (e.g. Recreational Fishing Havens and freshwater systems), leaving recreational fishing as the sole source of catch and effort information for many species and areas. For example, there was a peak of over 4,000 NSW commercial
fishing licence holders in 1977, but this has steadily declined to about 630 in 2020. Similarly, overall commercial catch and effort have declined from approximately 20,000 tonnes total harvest and 235,000 annual days fished in 1997/98 to 12,000 tonnes harvest and 65,000 days fished in 2018/19 (DPI unpublished data).

A growing appreciation of the importance of the recreational sector by NSW DPI-Fisheries has resulted in a commitment to collect information on recreational fishing to meet management and reporting requirements for several State and Federal agencies. For example, NSW has introduced quota systems to manage the catch for selected species, and is developing harvest strategies for some species which are subject to harvest by recreational fishers. NSW DPI-Fisheries is also required to provide regular updates on the status of key species through the national Status of Australian Fish Stocks program (SAFS), which includes provision of estimates of harvest across all sectors, including recreational catch. Reporting frameworks such as these require recreational fisheries information at broad regional and state-wide scales to match the spatial scale at which fisheries are generally managed.

## The Recreational Fisheries Monitoring Program

Regular and cost-effective broad-scale monitoring of the recreational fishing sector in NSW is required in order to meet several management objectives and obligations. The provision of catch and effort data is necessary for assessment of trends in the fishery, which will ultimately feed into stock assessment of key species, complying with reporting obligations and allowing evaluation of the impact of various management regulations/scenarios.

To meet these objectives, the Recreational Fisheries Monitoring Program (RFMP) was developed to collect cost-effective, high quality, up-to-date, information on recreational and charter fishing in NSW on a regular basis. The RFMP is designed to provide data on key indices of recreational fishery performance through time. Long-term monitoring of recreational fishing provides a greater understanding of the variability and trajectories in effort and catch that are required for the assessment of stocks, resource allocation, and to inform management provisions. The data collected from the RFMP also complements information from monitoring other fishing sectors, contributing to a detailed picture of the health and status of our fisheries and aquatic ecosystems. This information is critical to managing the State's aquatic resources and ensuring that the quality of recreational fishing in NSW is maintained.

The RFMP is a comprehensive citizen-science program that engages with thousands of recreational fishers who voluntarily provide information on their fishing activities within a rigorous scientific framework.

There are two main components to the RFMP:

## 1) Recreational Fishing Research Surveys

State-wide 12-month phone/diary surveys of long-term (1 or 3 years duration) NSW recreational fishing licence holders.

## 2) Charter Fishery Monitoring

A logbook and on-board observer program to monitor the NSW Charter Fishery.
The phone/diary surveys are conducted on a biennial basis and the second annual survey period (2019/20) is currently underway. Monitoring of the Charter Fishery is done on an ongoing annual basis. The first RFMP surveys of long-term licence holders and monitoring of the charter fishing fleet were completed in 2017/18.

## Report Structure

This report presents results for the first survey year of the RFMP (from $1^{\text {st }}$ October 2017 to $30^{\text {th }}$ September 2018). State-wide and regional estimates of the fishing catch and effort for the phone/diary surveys are the primary focus of this report.

The 'Background' chapter below provides a broad overview of the development of methods used for the RFMP followed by chapters that discuss the phone/diary survey design and analysis, results for fishing effort and catch, and a comparison of key results from a previous state-wide survey done in 2013/14.

## Background

## History of recreational fishing surveys in NSW

Fisheries NSW has an extensive history in recreational fisheries research dating back to the early 1980's. Most of these studies employed on-site or 'creel survey' methods to provide information at various temporal and spatial scales, usually at the more local (e.g. individual estuary) or regional scales and limited to daylight fishing hours (see West et al. 2015 for a summary of historical recreational fisheries research work done within NSW).

Although important, these smaller-scale studies are limited in their contribution to broader state-wide fishery assessment programs. Studies carried out at larger spatial scales have greater potential to represent the diffuse and diverse recreational fishery within NSW and contribute to these broader assessment goals.

The National Recreational Fishing Survey (NRFS) in 2000/01 was the first study to provide a detailed state-based assessment of participation, catch and effort in NSW, as well collecting data on fishing-related expenditure, boat profiling, and the opinions and satisfaction levels of recreational fishers (see Henry \& Lyle 2003). The NRFS provided an important benchmark in the assessment of recreational fishing in NSW and estimated over 1 million NSW residents participated in recreational fishing. This survey confirmed recreational fishing to be an extremely important activity that brings significant social and economic benefits to the community. Importantly, this survey also assessed the contribution of fishers residing in other states who fished in NSW waters.

During 2013/14, a second state-wide survey (West et al. 2015) was conducted to provide survey estimates that were directly comparable with the NRFS and enabling measurement of changes in the recreational fishery that occurred since the 2000/01 survey. Participants in the 2013/14 survey included residents of NSW and the ACT only, as it was not feasible to collect data from residents of other states that fished in NSW waters. Data from the 2000/01 survey were re-analysed to assess the contribution of NSW and ACT residents only, enabling direct comparison with the 2013/14 survey. West et al. (2015) provides a comprehensive report on the 2013/14 survey and includes a comparison of key results between the two survey periods. The RFMP surveys are designed to build upon these previous large-scale surveys with the aim of providing a more cost-effective time series of recreational fisheries information.

## Phone/Diary surveys

Collecting data on the recreational sector is extremely challenging with hundreds of thousands of participants, targeting a wide variety of species across a diverse array of waterbodies, with thousands of access points. Collecting reliable data on the recreational fishery as a whole requires survey techniques that representatively sample recreational fishers to determine the characteristics of the entire recreational fishing population. Representative sampling is an effective method when it is not possible or practical to conduct a census of a target population that is as large and diffuse as the NSW recreational fishing community.

The phone/diary off-site survey method, as used for the NRFS (Henry \& Lyle 2003) and the 2013/14 state-wide survey (West et al. 2015) has been proven to provide cost-effective and statistically-robust recreational fisheries data over large spatial scales (both regional and state-wide). It is widely acknowledged that the methodology is a highly effective and appropriate method to assess recreational fisheries (Georgeson et al. 2015). Consequently, all large-scale, state-wide recreational fishing surveys conducted within Australia since the NRFS have used the phone/diary survey method. Georgeson et al. (2015) provides broad descriptions and comparisons of key aspects for each of these state-wide surveys.
phone/diary surveys typically involve representative random sampling of the general population of interest, screening and interviewing a sub-set of the sampled population, recruiting fishers to participate in a phone/diary survey, regular assessment of the fishing activities of "diarists" over a defined time-period (usually a year) and scaling up of data to derive estimates of key metrics across the entire angling population (Beckmann et al. 2019). Detailed descriptions of the design, philosophy, and methodology for phone/diary fishing surveys are also provided in Lyle et al. (2002) and Henry and Lyle (2003). Importantly, survey response rates for phone/diary surveys in Australia remain high (between $75-85 \%$ full response, Georgeson et al. 2015) compared to other off-site methods such as mail and internet surveys. Phone/diary surveys have multiple objectives, but a common primary objective of all previous large-scale surveys done in Australia has been to identify key species and quantify the total catch and effort across the recreational sector within the relevant jurisdiction. That is, to enable the estimation of these metrics across all participating recreational fishers.

## Survey Sampling Frames

To conduct cost-effective and statistically-robust large-scale recreational fishing surveys, a comprehensive listing or 'sample frame' of phone numbers and geographic areas is required to select a representative sample of recreational fishers.

Most state-wide recreational fishing surveys done in Australia since the NRFS relied on the White Pages phone directory to provide a sample frame of potential respondents from which to draw a probability-based representative sample. At the time of the NRFS, well over $80 \%$ of all resident households in Australia had a White Pages-listed home phone.

However, due to declining rates of household listings in the directory, the White Pages can no longer be used with any confidence. Changes in telecommunications, which includes the rise of unlisted mobile-only households, widespread use of social media and internet-only communications have reduced the ability of the White Pages to provide adequate and representative coverage of the general population. A large fraction (40.6\%) of Australian adults only have a mobile phone; with just $3.2 \%$ of these mobile-only adults reporting that their number is listed (ABS 2019).

Sampling recreational fishers from the White Pages frame is also highly inefficient and costly, since finding a representative sample of recreational fishers requires contacting many nonfishing households, as fishers typically account for a comparatively small proportion of the general population (Ryan et al. 2017, Beckmann et al. 2019).

Due to the declining utility of the White Pages, scientific workshops conducted within Australia in recent years were held to develop solutions to improve the effectiveness of surveys. The primary recommendation from these workshops was to develop a national registry of all recreational fishers to provide a sample frame from which national and jurisdictional recreational fishing statistics could be derived (see Georgeson et al. 2015 and Beckman et al. 2019). However, the workshops noted that this would be a costly and difficult process that would require the cooperation of all States and Territories.
In the absence of a comprehensive register of all fishers, a recreational fishing licensing system can provide an effective means to contact recreational fishers. A review of the regulation and management of marine fisheries and aquaculture by the Federal Productivity Commission (Productivity Commission 2016), recommended that a well-designed licensing system is a key step for better managing recreational fishing in Australia. The Commission also noted that a comprehensive licence system would provide a practical and proportional way of better incorporating recreational fishing into harvest and other management strategies. They also stated that for maximum efficiency, licensing systems should have high coverage rates, with minimal exemptions.
The advantages of sampling from a licence database include: reduced costs for the Screening Survey, high response rates (reducing non-response bias), and the ability to use an optimal survey design where avid fishers are adequately sampled, which can effectively increase the number of fishing events in the sample and improve precision (Ryan et al. 2009).

Thus, targeted sampling frames such as recreational fishing licence registers are more desirable for conducting effective and efficient surveys of recreational fishers. NSW has been operating a general all-waters licence since 2001. Hence, as part of the development for the RFMP, it was recommended that the NSW licence database be investigated for use as a sampling frame as a matter of priority.

## NSW Recreational Fishing Licence database - overview

The NSW recreational fishing licence system has 2 broad categories of licence; long-term (1 year or 3 years duration), and short-term ( 3 days or 1 month duration), with several exemptions where no licence is required. The category of licence (long or short-term) is important as long-term licence holders only are required to have their contact details stored in a database and thus available for selection in a licence frame survey. For further details on the NSW Recreational Fishing Licence see the NSW DPI-Fisheries website via the following link: NSW recreational fishing licence

A review and re-analysis of the NRFS data from 2000/01 for NSW summarised catch and effort by licence category estimated that over one-third (37\%) of all resident NSW and ACT fishers were classified as long-term licence holders, $28 \%$ were short-term and $35 \%$ of fishers were exempt. Despite comprising $37 \%$ of the fishing population, long-term licence holders accounted for a majority of the total fishing effort ( $57 \%$ of fisher days) and the total catch of all species (over 60\%) (Stark unpublished data). Importantly, for the most important species (for research and management within NSW), long-term licence holders were estimated to be responsible for the greater majority of the catch with many exceeding $70 \%$ of the total take (e.g. Yellowtail Kingfish, Mulloway, Trevally, Murray Cod, Trout, Australian Bass). For species that are widespread throughout the state and heavily targeted, long-term licence holders were less prominent in the catch, but still responsible for between 40 and $60 \%$ of the total catch of species such as Snapper, Whiting and Bream.

Furthermore, even higher coverage factors emerged when the results were preliminarily analysed to include other members of the RFL holder's household, aged five years or more. For example: over $55 \%$ of all resident NSW and ACT fishers; 70\% of total fishing effort (fisher days) and close to $80 \%$ of the total catch (Stark unpublished data).

Following these investigations, it was decided that monitoring long-term recreational fishing licence holders and their household members through time should provide a reasonable indication of trends in recreational catch and effort to support stock assessment, development of harvest strategies and assessment of the performance of management settings, among many other demands for recreational fisheries data.

## Biological sampling

The Diary component of the survey collects details of fishing effort and catch, with catch reported by common name and the numbers of individual organisms caught (both kept and released). Catch is based on numbers of organisms, rather than weight, as weight information tends to be less reliable when self-reported by recreational fishers (West et al. 2015). On-site survey methods are therefore often used to complement off-site surveys by providing additional biological information on catches such as species composition, lengths and weights of organisms caught with data being gathered by trained scientific technicians who directly observe the catch. This information can subsequently be used to calibrate the results from the self-reported data in the off-site surveys. Also, data from on-site surveys can be used to convert the numbers of organisms reported in the Diary Surveys to weight which is essential when comparing the retained recreational catch of a species to landings in tonnage from other sectors which share the resource (e.g. the commercial fishery). Providing such comparisons is critical to informing stock assessments which are shared by multiple
harvesting sectors (Sloan et al. 2014). Trained scientific observers also collect other information such as the size composition and age structure of a species, which can provide accurate data on mortality and recruitment that directly contributes to species stock assessments. The on-board observer component of the Charter Fishery Monitoring program provides such biological information for recreationally-caught species to complement the data collected by the RFMP Diary Survey.
For many important recreational fish species, the size composition of the marine Charter Fishery catch has been shown to be similar to that for the recreational fishery (Stewart \& Hughes 2008, Gray \& Kennelly 2017b). Sampling the lengths and other biological parameters of Charter Fishery catches is therefore also a cost-effective strategy to monitor key recreational species. In addition, fishers on board charter boats must abide by the same bag and size limit rules as other individual recreational fishers and are restricted to hook and line techniques. Further details on this Charter Fishery monitoring component of the RFMP are provided in a separate report (Hughes et al. 2020).

## Survey Methods

Data collection for the 2017/18 survey was based on the phone/diary survey approach as broadly described above. Further detailed descriptions of the phone/diary design philosophy and methodology are provided in Lyle et al. (2002) and Henry and Lyle (2003). The 2017/18 NSW survey was analysed in the statistical computing package R (R Core Team 2016) using the 'survey' package (Lumley 2004, 2010), following the process outlined in Lyle et al. (2010). This approach has been used successfully to analyse several other state-wide recreational fishing surveys within Australia (Lyle et al. 2002, 2014, 2019, Ryan et al. 2015, 2017, 2019 and West et al. 2015).

The phone/diary methodology involved a two-phase survey design, the principal components being an initial screening phase to gather profiling information from a sample of households that contained a person with a long-term licence which was current as at $33^{\text {st }}$ March 2017 and a subsequent, intensive phase, in which respondents provided detailed catch and effort information over a 12-month period from $1^{\text {st }}$ October 2017 to $30^{\text {th }}$ September 2018. At the end of the Diary Survey a Non-Intending Fisher Call-back Survey was conducted, to identify and account for 'unexpected fishing' that may have occurred during the 12-month diary period, as well as a wash-up/attitudinal survey. The wash-up/attitudinal survey is subject to separate reporting and is not presented within this report.

Figure 1. Survey components diagram - Survey of Recreational Fishing, 2017/18.


## Screening Survey

The Screening Survey was administered as a structured telephone interview on a stratified random sample of individual long-term RFL holders. Although fishing licences are assigned to an individual, and thus the sampling frame was person-based, the survey collected information from all household members (aged 5 years and above). Thus, the fishing activity of other long-term and short-term licence holders, as well as exempt fishers and non-fishers within the selected RFL holders' household were recorded.

The Recreational Fishing Licence database provided the sample frame of telephone numbers. Fishers who held a current long-term licence as at $31^{\text {st }}$ March 2017 were defined as being eligible for the Screening Survey (regardless of when that licence was due to expire). It was necessary to select a date well in advance of the start of the Screening Survey ( 3 months) to ensure the database was as up to date as possible and all editing and stratification of the data was complete. Information on past fishing activity, any intention to fish in the coming 12 months amongst other general profiling information (e.g. age and sex) were recorded for all members of the household and used to determine eligibility to participate in the following diary phase.
Stratified random sampling was undertaken with 12 strata defined by ABS Statistical Area classification, Level 4 (SA4) (see 'Sampling Strata' for further details). Sampling rates were higher for those strata with smaller resident populations (e.g. the North West stratum) and lower sampling rates for the larger strata (e.g. Sydney). In order to minimise non-contacts, at least 15 calls were made to each 'live' telephone number. Disconnected numbers, wrong numbers etc. were treated as sample loss and not replaced. The Screening Survey was conducted during the 3 -month period from $1^{\text {st }}$ July to $30^{\text {th }}$ September 2017.

## Diary Survey

RFL households identified as eligible were invited to participate in the Diary Survey. Fishing activity of all household members aged five years and older was monitored between 1st October 2017 and 30th September 2018. The approach taken in this survey differs to conventional angler diary surveys in two important ways: first the diary was employed more as a 'memory jogger' than a logbook; and second, responsibility for data collection rested with the survey interviewers and not the diarists. Typically, response rates from other forms of diary survey (e.g. mail-back surveys) are low and data quality can suffer in terms of completeness, accuracy and consistency (Pollock et al. 1994). Also, since the burden of maintaining the diary rests with the respondent, instructions may be misinterpreted and data may be incomplete or ambiguous. The need to periodically remind respondents to submit documentation creates a further problem, whereby information that has not been diarised must be collected on the basis of recall, if at all.
By contrast, the telephone/diary approach employed in the current study (a form of panel survey), effectively transferred the burden of data collection from the respondent to the survey interviewer. Data collection was undertaken by a brief telephone interview in which trained interviewers recorded details of any fishing that had occurred since the last contact. The level of fishing activity determined the frequency of such contact, but as a general rule, respondents were called at least once a month, even if no fishing was planned. All fishing
activity was recorded, with fishing event duration, location, method, gear quantities, as well as any kept or released catch by species, and reasons for release.

## Non-intending Fisher Follow-up Survey

A random sample was drawn from all households (identified at screening) that indicated no intention to go fishing during the diary period (and hence not eligible for the Diary Survey) and these were re-contacted shortly after the Diary Survey. Whether any fishing had occurred during the diary period was established in a brief telephone interview, with particular care to identify whether there had been a change in the household (e.g. telephone number re-allocated) and also that household members were the same as those at screening. Respondents who were identified as not being residents of the household at the time of screening were excluded from the analysis.

Further details were collected from any households in which (unexpected) fishing was reported, including which individual household members had fished and the number of days fished (by category) during the 12 months of the diary period.

## Wash-up/Attitudinal Survey

This survey was conducted with diarists at the end of the diary period and was designed to assess a range of information such as opinions on the satisfaction of fishing, issues of importance to recreational fishers and information on the recreational fishing boat 'fleet'. As noted earlier, this component of the survey is not reported here and will be the subject of separate reporting.

## Survey Scope and Definitions

## Recreational Fishing

Recreational fishing was broadly defined as the capture (or attempted capture) of aquatic animals (freshwater, estuarine and marine), other than for commercial purposes and excluding traditional fishing. However, any recreational fishing by Aboriginal residents or commercial fishers was included. All recreational fishing techniques and harvesting activities were considered in-scope, including dive and hand collection, the use of pots, nets and spears, as well as various forms of line fishing.

## RFL Households

RFL households are defined as those that included at least one resident that possessed a long-term (1 or 3 years duration) NSW recreational fishing licence as at March $31^{\text {st }} 2017$. Other household members (aged 5 years and above) were included in the definition of 'RFL Household'. This included any other long-term and short-term licence holders, as well as exempt fishers and non-fishers within the household. The majority of fishing effort in NSW is attributable to RFL households within NSW and the ACT, Victoria and Queensland; RFL households within these jurisdictions were therefore included in the survey. Small numbers of RFL households from other states (e.g. Tasmania) and international RFL holders were excluded from the study scope.

## Fishing Regions

## State-wide

'NSW waters' were defined as all waters within the borders of NSW and also included waterbodies within the ACT. State-wide marine boundaries included NSW waters (out to the 3 nm State Waters limit) and those offshore from the state limit boundary out to the eastern boundary of the 200 nm Exclusive Economic Zone.

## Regional

The results in this report have been confined to six fishing zones that include the 3 major drainage basins of NSW for freshwater systems (Argent, 2016). Three major coastal regions complement the boundaries defined for the Marine Estate of NSW (NSW Marine Estate Management Committee, 2018) and these include all estuarine, coastal (e.g. headlands and beaches) and marine habitats.

## Freshwater drainage basins:

1) 
2) the

Eastern - East of the Great Dividing Range
Murray/Darling - West of the Great Dividing Range and includes the waters of River Murray

South East - the Snowy Mountains region

## Saltwater coastal regions:

4) Northern - Queensland border to Stockton Beach (just north of Newcastle)
5) Central - Stockton to Shellharbour (just south of Wollongong)
6) South - Shellharbour to Victorian Border

For the purposes of this report, waterbodies within the Australian Capital Territory have been reported as part of the Murray/Darling drainage basin.

A map of the six fishing zones is shown in Figure 2 and all results from the Diary phase of the survey have been routinely analysed on this regional basis.

Figure 2. Map of NSW showing fishing regions for reporting fishing activities.


## Waterbodies

Within each of the above defined fishing regions, other fishing location information was also collected in the Diary Survey in terms of waterbody type and included:

1) Ocean waters* - from the coastline out to the boundary of the Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ)
2) Estuarine waters
3) Freshwater rivers
4) Freshwater lakes/dams (public or private).

* Note: Previous state-wide surveys (the NRFS of 2000/01 and the 2013/14 state-wide survey) reported ocean fishing as 2 distinct zones i) inshore waters (coastal waters within 5 km of the coastline) and ii) offshore waters ( $>5 \mathrm{~km}$ from the shoreline). In this report we combined these 2 zones and report fishing activity as 'Ocean Waters' as the earlier studies indicated 'offshore waters' contributed small proportions of fishing effort and catch. However, data were collected under the previous 'inshore' and 'offshore' definitions and the project database can be queried to produce estimates according to these definitions.


## Sampling Strata

Initial long-term RFL holder selection (i.e. telephone listing/number) was based on a stratified random sample design using twelve residential strata, aligning to Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) Statistical Areas (SA4 level) in the Australian Statistical Geography Standard (ASGS), (ABS 2016) as follows:

1) Sydney: comprising fifteen SA4 codes: 102, plus 115 through to 128 (inclusive);
2) Hunter: SA4 codes 106 and 111;
3) Illawarra: SA4 codes 107 and 114;
4) Richmond/Tweed: SA4 code 112;
5) Mid North Coast: SA4 codes 104 and 108;
6) Central West/North: SA4 codes 103 and 110;
7) North West: SA4 code 105;
8) South East: SA4 code 101;
9) South West: SA4 codes 109 and 113;
10) ACT: SA4 code 801.
11) Queensland - all SA4 codes grouped
12) Victoria - all SA4 codes grouped

The strata listed above have not changed in structure since the Census of Population and Housing (Census) 2016.

A map of residential strata for the current survey is shown in Figure 3 (Note: two detached areas comprise the Central West/North stratum).

Figure 3. Map of NSW and the ACT, showing ABS-based, residential survey strata used for sample stratification and population benchmarks.


## Fishing Effort

Fishing information was collected on an 'event' basis, where an event was defined as a discrete fishing episode and the actual household member(s) involved in the event were recorded, along with the number of other (non-household member) fishers involved in the event. Separate fishing events were defined where there was a change in fishing region or waterbody type, target species and/or fishing method. As a result, a day's fishing trip could comprise more than one event; e.g. fishers may gather bait prior to line fishing for flathead and this would be recorded as two separate events, since the effort expended in the capture of bait cannot be attributed to the capture of any flathead and vice versa. Similarly, the use of passive fishing gear (such as crab pots) at the same time as line fishing, was recorded as separate fishing events. The delineation of fishing activity in this manner provided an ability to analyse effort (and catch) based on fishing method and target species/fishery.
Furthermore, four measures of effort have been applied, namely the number of fishers, fisher days (i.e. separate days on which some form of fishing was undertaken by a fisher), fishing events and hours fished. However, fisher days has been used as the primary measure of fishing effort in this report. For passive fishing methods such as potting, the day the pots were 'pulled' only were counted towards effort, and not each day that the pot was 'soaking'.

## Fishing Methods

A variety of fishing/harvesting methods were reported by diarists, but for analysis purposes, the following reporting categories have been defined: line fishing (bait and/or lure/jig/fly lines); pot/trap (baited, passive use); net (including scoop and drag/seine nets); dive collection (underwater spearfishing and hand collection by snorkel, scuba or hookah); and other methods (e.g. other hand collection and the use of pumps and spades).

## Catch

A Species Identification Guide including clear colour images was provided to all diarists to optimise the accuracy of species identification in the survey. A key factor here is that the resolution required for individual species must recognise the identification capabilities of fishers, from a lowest-common-denominator perspective. Although excellent reporting accuracy can be achieved at the species level in some instances (confirmed through on-site surveys - Lyle and Campbell, 1999; Lyle et al., 2002), species groupings were required where fishers could not reasonably be expected to delineate particular species, even with the aid of the Species Identification Guide. For example, iconic species such as Snapper were readily recognisable, whereas identification to the species level for bream or leatherjackets was not always achievable.
For the purpose of reporting catches, individual species (e.g. Dusky Flathead and Sand Whiting) have been used in many cases, with species groups in other cases (e.g. Bream and Leatherjackets) and broad taxonomic groupings required in some instances (e.g. sharks and rays). However, certain species or species groups were represented by very few records, making it necessary to pool these into broader taxonomic categories for analysis (principally, 'scalefish, other'). Complete details of all taxa reported in catches and the relevant species groupings are provided in Appendix 3a.

Catches were reported as numbers of individuals kept or harvested, and numbers released or discarded by species. In some cases (mainly Prawns and Shrimp), respondents reported catches in units of weight or volume and these were converted to numbers by application of mean weight estimates for these species. Catch was reported for each fishing event as a total for all fishers involved in the fishing event, and the relevant fraction was then assigned to the RFL household. For example, if a selected RFL holder fished with 3 friends (not members of their household), one-quarter of the total catch from the fishing event was assigned to the selected RFL holder. This is because many fishing activities are group or team activities (e.g. pulling pots).

## Standard Error and Relative Standard Error

All recreational catch and effort estimates presented in this report include measures of precision that indicate how confident we are in the point estimate i.e. the specific numbers of fisher days (effort) and numbers of fish (catch) that were calculated. The measure of precision used is the Standard Error (SE), which is a measure of the statistical accuracy of an estimate. The smaller the standard error number, the higher the confidence that an estimate is close to the actual population value. When the standard error increases, it becomes less
likely that any given estimate may be an accurate representation of the true population. The size of the standard error depends on the size of the sample, the design of the survey, and natural variability within the population being sampled. Increasing the sample size generally decreases the sampling error (NOAA 2020). For example, catch estimates for commonly caught species are often more precise than catch estimates for rarely caught species.

Standard Errors (SEs) are included in all substantive figures, data tabulations and appendices throughout this report. All bar graphs presented in this report display the SE as a vertical range above and below the point estimates. A smaller difference between the upper and lower bounds indicates that the estimates are more likely to provide an accurate representation of the fishery parameters being measured. The range of the SE bars can also be used to indicate if point estimates are likely to be statistically significantly different (or not) between groups. In general, where error bars for different groups overlap, it is less likely that the difference between estimates is statistically significant. Conversely, where there is no overlap of SE bars between groups, it is more likely that the difference is significant (statistical testing can be applied to obtain a valid conclusion on this point). Unless otherwise stated, all error bars displayed on charts in this report represent $\pm 1 \mathrm{SE}$.

The Relative Standard Error (RSE) is an alternate display of precision presented with most tabulated estimates in this report. The RSE expresses the SE of an estimate as a percentage of that estimate. Large RSE's indicate low precision, while small RSE's indicate more precise estimates. They allow comparison of the relative precision between different estimates.

Estimates with RSE's of $40 \%$ or greater have been highlighted in the various tables in this report and are regarded as imprecise. As a further precaution, estimates derived from records with a sample size of fewer than 30 RFL households have also been highlighted, since they may be particularly influenced by the activities of very few fishers.

## Sample and Response Profiles

## Screening Survey

There were 432,218 individual long-term licence holders as at $31^{\text {st }}$ March 2017 (from the eastern states), with the Sydney region contributing the largest number (38\%), followed by the Hunter region (10\%) and Victoria (10\%), Figure 4.

A stratified gross sample of 2,089 licence holder households was selected from the survey regions. Due to sample loss (through disconnected numbers, wrong numbers etc.) the net sample was reduced to 1,960 . Of this number, 1,618 households ( $82.6 \%$ ) fully responded to the Screening Survey. Response rates were high across all strata and ranged from $72.7 \%$ in the Murray/Murrumbidgee area to over $90 \%$ in the Central West/North (Appendix 1a). When information on other household members is considered, recreational fishing and demographic profiling was collected for a total of 4,335 residents aged five years and older from within the sampled RFL households.

Figure 4. The number of individual long-term licence holders current as at 31st March 2017 by survey stratum.


## Diary Survey

Of the 1,618 fully responding RFL households from the Screening Survey, 1,413 households ( $87 \%$ ) were identified as having at least one resident (aged five years and older) with an intention to do some recreational fishing anywhere in NSW waters during the diary period ( $1^{\text {st }}$ October 2017 to $30^{\text {th }}$ September 2018). Of these 'eligible' households, 1,312 (93\%) agreed to take part in the Diary Survey and 1,257 (89\%) completed the survey (see Appendix 1b for further details). Such high uptake and completion rates were achieved across all strata and ranged from $84.0 \%$ completion among eligible households for the Hunter area to almost $96 \%$ for the ACT (Appendix 1b). In total, the fishing activities of 3,442 residents aged five years and older were assessed within these 1,257 RFL households. The majority of these households ( 918 or $73 \%$ ) reported some fishing activity during the diary period and among these, 1,610 residents aged five years and older reported some fishing activity - for a total of 10,948 person-based fishing events.

## Non-Intending Fisher Survey

A 'Non-intending Fisher Follow-up Survey' (NIF) was conducted on a sample of RFL households who at the Screening Survey reported no intention to fish in NSW waters in the diary period. A proportional sample of 103 households (from 205 non-intending households) was randomly selected. Among these, 85 households (over $83 \%$ ) fully responded, 6 households were considered sample loss (disconnected numbers etc), 8 were 'non-contacts' (after 10 calls), and 4 declined to participate.

Overall, by comparison with other general population surveys and traditional mail-back diary studies, the response rates achieved in all components of this study are exceptionally high and provide an important performance indicator in terms of the efficacy of the survey instrument.

## Results

## Number of Fishers

The 432,218 RFL holders as at $31^{\text {st }}$ March 2017 were estimated to represent 369,875 ( $\mathrm{SE}=3,274$ ) Households, with each Household comprising, on average 1.2 RFL holders and 1.7 others (non-RFL holders 5 years or older).

Of the 432,218 RFL holders, an estimated $60 \%(257,722 ; S E=6,986)$ fished during the diary period (Table 1). An additional 616,551 ( $\mathrm{SE}=19,807$ ) persons were estimated to be residing in RFL households, and $22 \%$ of these persons $(134,762 ; S E=12,435)$ fished during the diary period (Table 1). Thus, an estimated total of $392,484(S E=16,005)$ persons in 231,985 ( $\mathrm{SE}=6,650$ ) RFL households fished during the diary period (Table 1).

Table 1. Estimated number of RFL holders and Others (persons in RFL holder households who were not RFL holders themselves) who fished in Freshwater or Saltwater during 2017/18. RSE (\%) is Relative Standard Error.

|  | Freshwater |  | Saltwater |  | Total Fished* $^{c}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participation | Number | RSE (\%) | Number | RSE (\%) | Number | RSE (\%) |
| Total Persons | $\mathbf{1 4 5 , 3 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 4 , 4 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 2 , 4 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| RFL holders | 101,698 | 5 | 200,785 | 3 | 257,722 | 3 |
| Others | 43,675 | 12 | 103,649 | 11 | 134,762 | 9 |
| Total <br> Households | $\mathbf{9 0 , 3 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 3 , 0 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 1 , 9 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |

*Note: The numbers of fishers/households who fished Fresh and Salt waters are not directly additive as some fished both.

## Participation by Waterbody

An estimated 78\% of fishers in RFL households fished recreationally in Saltwater during the diary period (Table 1). The majority of fishers (62\%) fished in estuaries, followed by oceanic waters (35\%) (Figure 5). Fishing in Freshwater was conducted by smaller numbers of fishers in RFL households (37\%) (Table 1). River systems had the highest levels of participation (28\%) than lakes/dams (17\%) (Figure 5).

Figure 5. Participation (number of fishers) by waterbody type for RFL households during 2017/18. Error bars represent 1 standard error.


## Participation by Platform

Rates of participation were similar for Shore-based and Boat-based fishing, with $68 \%$ of fishers in RFL households doing some form of shore-based fishing during the diary period, and $71 \%$ participating in boat-based fishing events (Figure 6).

Figure 6. Participation (number of fishers) by fishing platform for RFL households during 2017/18. Error bars represent 1 standard error.


## Participation by Region

Rates of participation were high in all 3 marine regions but were highest in the Central region (36\%; Figure 7). For inland/freshwater regions, the Murray-Darling had the greatest participation, with $30 \%$ of fishers in RFL Households fishing there during the diary period. Fewer than 10\% of fishers fished the smaller Eastern and South Eastern inland regions (Figure 7).

Figure 7. Participation (number of fishers) by region for RFL households during 2017/18. Error bars represent 1 standard error.


## Participation by Method

Line fishing was the dominant method with nearly all fishers (97\%) participating in fishing events using lines (Figure 8). Fewer than 10\% used Pots or Other fishing methods, and fewer than 3\% participated in Dive or Net fishing methods (Figure 8).

Figure 8. Participation (number of fishers) by method for RFL households during 2017/18. Error bars represent 1 standard error.


## Fishing Effort

Fishing effort is presented as 'Fisher Days' where each day that a fisher actively fished was counted (regardless of the number of events on that day). For passive fishing methods such as potting or trapping, only days where pots/traps were 'pulled' are counted, not all days that the pots/traps were 'soaking'. Fishing by all residents over 5 years of age in the household of the sampled RFL holder are included.

An estimated 275,372 NSW, ACT, Queensland and Victorian residents aged five years and older within RFL households fished a total of $2,156,548$ days during the 12 -month period, at an average of 7.83 days per fisher. Overall, $40 \%$ of fishers fished at least once in Freshwater, while $78 \%$ fished at least once in Saltwater - with $32 \%$ of the effort (fisher days) in Freshwater and $68 \%$ in Saltwater (Table 2).

Table 2. Estimated number of persons and days fished by RFL households who fished in Freshwater or Saltwater during 2017/18. RSE (\%) is Relative Standard Error.

|  | Freshwater |  | Saltwater |  | Total* |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Effort | Number | RSE (\%) | Number | RSE (\%) | Number | RSE (\%) |
| Persons | 110,086 | 5 | 215,556 | 3 | 275,372 | 3 |
| Fisher <br> days | 691,871 | 8 | $1,471,930$ | 7 | $2,156,548$ | 6 |

*Note: The numbers of fishers who fished Fresh and Salt waters are not directly additive as some RFL households fished both systems on the same day.
Around $23 \%$ of fishers fished 1-4 days, $15 \%$ fished 5-9 days, $13 \%$ fished 10-14 days, $6 \%$ fished $15-19$ days and $21 \%$ fished 20 or more days during the 12 -month diary period (Figure 9).

Figure 9. Distribution of fishing effort (fisher days) by annual number of days fished for RFL households during 2017/18.


## Effort by Waterbody

The vast majority (68\%) of recreational fishing activity was concentrated in marine waters with estuaries accounting for nearly half ( $48 \%$ or $1,046,245$ fisher days) of the total effort, followed by ocean waters (20\% or 444,965 fisher days) (Figure 10, Appendix 2). Fishing in Freshwater represented around $32 \%$ of total fishing effort (691,870 fisher days) - of which, $65 \%$ occurred in rivers (452,830 fisher days), as opposed to lakes and dams (240,827 fisher days). It should be noted that estuaries within NSW waters were defined according to Roy et al. (2001) and include several large ocean embayments, or semi-enclosed bays that are characterized by marine waters with little fresh water inflow, (e.g. Botany Bay, Jervis Bay, Batemans Bay and Twofold Bay) as well as estuaries with large entrances and tidal ranges (e.g. Hawkesbury River, Port Jackson and Port Hacking), which make conditions in these areas similar to the open ocean. As a result, many oceanic species are commonly found within these NSW estuaries. Although to a lesser extent, some estuarine species can similarly be found within freshwater environments.

Figure 10. Fishing effort (fisher days) by waterbody type for RFL households during 2017/18. Error bars represent 1 standard error.


## Effort by Platform

Overall, $50 \%$ of recreational fishers fished at least once from a boat-based platform during the diary period, $48 \%$ fished at least once from a shore-based platform whilst $2 \%$ fished at least once from both platforms, and these groups accounted for $55 \%, 44 \%$ and $1 \%$ of total fisher days during 2017/18 respectively (Appendix 2). Boat-based fishing was split between estuaries with 27\% of fisher days, Freshwater areas (18\%) and oceanic areas (10\%) (Figure 11). Shore-based fishing effort was split between estuaries ( $21 \%$ of fisher days), Freshwater areas (14\%), then oceanic waters (10\%) (Figure 11).

Figure 11. Fishing effort (fisher days) by waterbody type and fishing platform for RFL households during 2017/18. Error bars represent 1 standard error.


## Effort by Region

Among the 6 broad fishing regions (see 'Regions' and Figure 3 for details), the Central region accounted for $27 \%$ of total fisher days, followed by the Murray-Darling (25\%), the Northern (23\%), the Southern (18\%), the Eastern (4\%) and the South East (3\%) regions (Figure 12, Appendix 2). Among the Freshwater regions (Eastern, Murray-Darling and South East), the Murray Darling had the greatest number of fisher days.

Figure 12. Fishing effort (fisher days) by fishing Region for RFL households during 2017/18. Error bars represent 1 standard error.


## Effort by Method

Line fishing was the most common method, used by $82 \%$ of all fishers (with bait and/or artificial lures and jigs). Line fishing accounted for $91 \%$ of all fisher days during 2017/18 (Figure 13, Appendix 2). Line fishing with bait accounted for a majority (43\%) of all fisher days, with lure and jig fishing at $30 \%$ of the total and the use of both line fishing methods (within the one fishing event) at $18 \%$. Pot/trap fishing accounted for $5 \%$ of all fisher days, followed by other/hand-collecting methods (2\%), diving methods (1\%) and various types of net (mainly scoop nets) (1\%).

Figure 13. Fishing effort (fisher days) by fishing method for RFL households during 2017/18. Error bars represent 1 standard error.


## Catch

Recreational fishers in NSW caught a diverse range of finfish, elasmobranchs (sharks and rays), crustaceans, molluscs, and other taxa, with 131 species and species groupings caught during the 2017/18 Diary Survey (Appendix 3a). Catch results presented in this section of the report are focussed on 43 key species that, cumulatively, comprised $90 \%$ of the total catch (in numbers) for each higher-level reporting group (Table 3). These 10 higher-level groupings include: (i) saltwater finfish, including sharks and rays; then; (ii) freshwater finfish, (iii) salt and fresh water finfish (iv) small baitfishes (v) cephalopods, (vi) crabs and lobsters; (vii) prawns and yabbies; (viii) molluscs; (ix) worms; and, (x) miscellaneous non-fish taxa.

Some species have been grouped (e.g. Bream species are a grouping comprised of Black and Yellowfin Bream), typically at the family level. This was done in recognition that fishers could not reasonably be expected to delineate some organisms to the species level due to subtle taxonomic differences, and also in cases where particular species were rarely reported.

Total catch is divided into kept or harvested (i.e. not returned to the water) and released (i.e. returned to the water whether alive or not). The harvested component may be used for a variety of purposes, most commonly for consumption or for use as bait. The reasons for releasing or discarding catch may include adherence to regulations (e.g. size and bag limits), ethical reasons (e.g. catch and release fishing) or undesirability (e.g. poor eating quality, damaged or diseased). Details for all species caught are provided in Appendices 3a to $3 f$. Note: a standard format for catch results has been applied throughout this report, namely where the total catch (kept plus released combined) is reported first, followed by the kept/harvested component, then the released component (see Table 3).

Catches have been analysed and presented in terms of the numbers kept and released by waterbody type; fishing method; fishing platform and region. Also, some 25 species/species groups have been separately assessed in the chapter 'Key Species'.

## Total Catch

Overall, the total recreational catch was estimated to be 9,336,314 individual organisms, with half ( $51 \%$ ) being kept and the remainder ( $49 \%$ ) released. Large fish (scale fish, sharks and rays) dominated the total catch, accounting for $69 \%$ of the total numbers $(6,466,978)$, followed by prawns and yabbies ( $1,820,875$ ), small fish used primarily as bait $(485,635)$, crabs \& lobsters $(217,677)$, molluscs $(148,637)$, cephalopods $(135,957)$, worms $(54,046)$ and other taxa (such as sea urchins and cunjevoi, 6,509).

Among the saltwater finfish species, Bream was the most common species/group caught by RFL households, with an estimated total catch of 944,288 individuals. Dusky Flathead accounted for a similar total $(886,749)$, followed by Sand Flathead $(648,602)$ Snapper $(451,427)$, Sand Whiting $(340,783)$ and Tailor $(227,200)$. Yellowtail Scad $(238,626)$ dominated the total catch among small baitfish.

In terms of freshwater finfish species, Murray Cod $(416,677)$ dominated the total catch, followed by European Carp $(370,332)$, the Australian Bass species group $(245,690)$, Golden Perch $(194,758)$, Redfin Perch $(125,612)$, with Brown Trout $(90,086)$ and Rainbow Trout $(85,200)$ at lower levels (Table 3).

The non-finfish species component of the total catch was dominated by crustaceans and in particular, the small species primarily used for bait such as Ghost Nippers $(700,810)$, followed by Freshwater Shrimp $(468,314)$, Saltwater Prawns $(328,698)$ and Freshwater Yabbies $(280,681)$. For larger crustaceans, Mud Crabs $(111,425)$ dominated the total catch, followed by Blue Swimmer Crabs $(84,000)$, Murray Crayfish $(42,373)$, other crab species $(11,513)$ and Rock Lobsters $(10,329)$. Excluding key bait species, such as Pipis $(144,296)$ and Beach Worms $(54,046)$, the remainder of the non-finfish species catch was mainly the various species of cephalopod including squids $(116,485)$ and Southern Calamari $(15,247)$ (Table 3).

## Harvested Catch

In total, an estimated 2,418,913 finfish were kept which equated to $37 \%$ of the total catch of all finfish species. Among saltwater finfish, Dusky Flathead dominated the retained catch $(310,650)$, followed by Sand Flathead $(281,844)$, Bream $(228,553)$, Snapper $(157,530)$ and Sand Whiting $(120,831)$. Among the baitfish species Yellowtail Scad $(172,480)$ and Blue Mackerel $(113,689)$ were kept in the highest numbers. European Carp $(370,332)$ dominated the retained catch of freshwater finfish, followed by Redfin Perch (109,095), Golden Perch $(79,297)$, Brown Trout $(37,865)$ and Murray Cod $(36,434)$ (Table 3).
Among other key taxa, substantial numbers of Ghost Nippers $(662,210)$, Freshwater Shrimp $(422,026)$, Saltwater Prawns $(327,809)$, Freshwater Yabbies $(180,446)$, Squid $(108,213)$, Pipis $(75,696)$, Blue Swimmer Crabs $(63,034)$, Mud Crabs $(58,212)$ and Beach Worms $(54,046)$, were retained (Table 3).

## Released Catch

Overall, an estimated 4,048,065 finfish were released, representing $63 \%$ of the total finfish catch, with varying release rates depending upon species (Table 3). The highest rates of release ( $>75 \%$ ) were evident for taxa such as the Australian Bass species group, Murray Cod, Red Rock Cod, sharks and rays and wrasse/gropers (Table 3). The lowest rates of release ( $<25 \%$ ) occurred for Blue Mackerel, Yellowfin Tuna, Garfish, Pearl Perch, Redfin Perch, Saltwater Prawns, Freshwater Shrimp, Nippers, Squid, Abalone, Blue Mussels, Cockles and Beach Worms (Table 3). For each species released during the Diary Survey, Appendix 4 gives the number of animals released, as well as details on the reasons for release.

Table 3. Annual catch (total, kept and released numbers) and proportion of released key species caught by RFL households during 2017/18. Values in bold indicate relative standard error (RSE) > 40\%; values in italics indicate fewer than 30 RFL households recorded a catch of a species.

| Reporting Group | Species/group | Total |  | Kept |  | Released |  | $\%$ <br> Rel. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | RSE <br> (\%) | Number | RSE <br> (\%) | Number | RSE <br> (\%) |  |
| Finfish - Saltwater |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bream* | 943,966 | 9 | 228,553 | 12 | 715,412 | 9 | 76 |
|  | Dusky Flathead | 886,749 | 11 | 310,650 | 12 | 576,099 | 13 | 65 |
|  | Sand Flathead* | 648,602 | 16 | 281,844 | 16 | 366,758 | 18 | 57 |
|  | Snapper | 451,427 | 12 | 157,534 | 15 | 293,893 | 13 | 65 |
|  | Sand Whiting | 340,783 | 14 | 120,831 | 18 | 219,953 | 15 | 65 |
|  | Tailor | 227,200 | 15 | 89,914 | 23 | 137,286 | 17 | 60 |
|  | Yellowtail Kingfish | 107,865 | 24 | 45,791 | 36 | 62,074 | 23 | 58 |
|  | Trumpeter Whiting | 83,671 | 77 | 31,562 | 86 | 52,109 | 73 | 62 |
|  | Luderick | 83,544 | 33 | 51,272 | 39 | 32,272 | 30 | 39 |
|  | Red rock cod | 67,854 | 24 | 14,727 | 39 | 53,127 | 23 | 78 |
|  | Australian Salmon | 60,556 | 28 | 33,948 | 32 | 26,607 | 37 | 44 |
|  | Leatherjacket* | 53,063 | 23 | 31,106 | 28 | 21,956 | 35 | 41 |
|  | Sergeant Baker | 52,938 | 41 | 6,368 | 59 | 46,570 | 40 | 88 |
|  | Silver Trevally | 49,258 | 20 | 15,315 | 26 | 33,942 | 24 | 69 |
|  | Tiger Flathead | 48,893 | 46 | 25,001 | 53 | 23,891 | 43 | 49 |
|  | Dolphinfish | 47,280 | 37 | 25,413 | 38 | 21,867 | 47 | 46 |
|  | Sweep | 41,277 | 42 | 13,051 | 54 | 28,226 | 53 | 68 |
|  | Bonito | 39,538 | 32 | 21,231 | 28 | 18,307 | 45 | 46 |
|  | Wrasse, other* | 36,432 | 46 | 7,631 | 56 | 28,801 | 48 | 79 |
|  | Grey Morwong | 33,859 | 25 | 26,770 | 25 | 7,090 | 44 | 21 |
|  | Swallowtail Dart | 32,719 | 29 | 6,511 | 50 | 26,208 | 32 | 80 |
| Finfish - Freshwater |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Murray Cod | 416,677 | 13 | 36,434 | 22 | 380,242 | 13 | 91 |
|  | European Carp | 370,332 | 24 | 370,332 | 24 | - | - | - |
|  | Golden Perch | 194,758 | 17 | 79,297 | 19 | 115,461 | 20 | 59 |
|  | Redfin Perch | 125,612 | 29 | 109,095 | 33 | 16,517 | 43 | 13 |
|  | Brown Trout | 90,086 | 26 | 37,865 | 30 | 52,221 | 28 | 58 |

Table 3, continued

| Reporting Group | Species/group | Total |  | Kept |  | Released |  | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Rel. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | RSE <br> (\%) | Number | RSE <br> (\%) | Number | RSE <br> (\%) |  |
| Finfish - Salt \& Fresh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Australian Bass* | 245,690 | 25 | 11,142 | 46 | 234,548 | 26 | 95 |
| Finfish - Baitfishes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Yellowtail Scad | 238,626 | 37 | 172,480 | 33 | 66,145 | 55 | 28 |
|  | Blue Mackerel | 145,229 | 27 | 113,689 | 32 | 31,540 | 35 | 22 |
|  | Mullet* | 68,446 | 35 | 34,491 | 32 | 33,954 | 53 | 50 |
| Cephalopods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Squids* | 116,485 | 50 | 108,213 | 53 | 8,272 | 42 | 7 |
|  | Southern Calamari | 15,247 | 42 | 15,247 | 42 | - | - | - |
| Crabs \& Lobsters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mud Crab | 111,425 | 51 | 58,212 | 42 | 53,213 | 61 | 48 |
|  | Blue Swimmer Crab | 84,000 | 32 | 63,034 | 33 | 20,966 | 34 | 25 |
| Prawns \& Yabbies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ghost Nippers (saltwater) | 700,810 | 24 | 662,210 | 24 | 38,600 | 39 | 6 |
|  | Shrimp (freshwater)* | 468,314 | 33 | 422,026 | 35 | 46,288 | 67 | 10 |
|  | Prawns (saltwater)* | 328,698 | 43 | 327,809 | 43 | 889 | 100 | 0 |
|  | Yabbies (freshwater) | 280,681 | 36 | 180,446 | 26 | 100,234 | 71 | 36 |
| Molluscs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pipis | 144,296 | 56 | 75,696 | 56 | 68,601 | 100 | 48 |
| Worms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Beach Worms* | 54,046 | 37 | 54,046 | 37 | - | - | - |
| Miscellaneous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Non-fish other* | 3,015 | 35 | - | - | 3,015 | 35 | 100 |
| Non-fish Taxa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sea urchins* | 2,429 | 99 | 2,429 | 99 | - | - | - |
|  | Cunjevoi | 1,065 | 99 | 1,065 | 99 | - | - | - |

*species were grouped to account for instances where fishers could not reasonably be expected to identify individual species.

## Catch by Waterbody

Of the total of all organisms caught (kept and released) by RFL households during 2017/18, the largest proportion (48\%) was from estuarine waters, followed by oceanic waters (26\%), rivers (17\%) and lakes/dams (9\%) (Figure 14).

Figure 14. Total catch estimates (numbers kept plus released) for key species/groups by waterbody caught during 2017/18 by RFL households. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


Catch details by waterbody type are summarised for key species (those that, cumulatively, comprised $90 \%$ of the total catch estimated for each waterbody) in Figures 15 and 16 and Appendix 3b. In estuarine waters, Dusky Flathead were caught in the greatest numbers followed by Bream and Ghost Nippers which, respectively, comprised 19\%, 17\% and $16 \%$ of the estuarine catch, followed by Saltwater Prawns (7\%) and Sand Whiting (6\%) (Figure 15). All other species caught in estuarine waters comprised $\leq 5 \%$ of the total catch within this waterbody.

In oceanic waters, Sand Flathead comprised $24 \%$ of the total catch, followed by Snapper (9\%), Bream (7\%), Pipis and Yellowtail Scad (each 6\%) (Figure 15). All other species caught in oceanic waters comprised $\leq 5 \%$ of the total catch within this waterbody. Compared to the estuarine catch, the oceanic catch was more diverse in terms of the number of species caught that comprised $90 \%$ of the catch.

Within freshwater rivers, Freshwater Shrimp (25\%) were the most commonly caught species by number, followed by Murray Cod (23\%), European Carp (19\%), the Australian Bass species group (10\%) and Golden Perch (7\%) (Figure 16). All other species caught in rivers comprised
$\leq 5 \%$ of the total catch within this waterbody. Yabbies were caught at the greatest numbers in lakes/dams, comprising (29\%) of the catch. They were followed by Redfin Perch (14\%), Golden Perch (11\%), European Carp (9\%), Freshwater Shrimp (8\%), Brown Trout (8\%) and Australian Bass (6\%) (Figure 16). All other species caught in lakes and dams comprised $\leq 5 \%$ of the total catch within this waterbody. The species catch composition from rivers and lakes/dams, was similar in terms of the species that comprised $90 \%$ of the catch. Redfin Perch and Brown Trout were an important component of the catch from lakes/dams whilst Murray Crayfish were only an important component of the catch in rivers.

Figure 15. Total catch estimates (numbers kept plus released) by waterbody type for key marine species (Estuary and Ocean) caught during 2017/18 by RFL households. Key species were defined as those that, cumulatively, comprised $90 \%$ of the total catch estimated for each waterbody during the Diary Survey. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch. Note: different scales on catch axes.


Figure 16. Total catch estimates (numbers kept plus released) by waterbody type for key freshwater species (river and lake/dam) caught during 2017/18 by RFL households. Key species were defined as those that, cumulatively, comprised $90 \%$ of the total catch estimated for each waterbody during the Diary Survey. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch. Note: different scales on catch axes.


## Catch by Platform

Catch details by platform within each waterbody type are summarised across all species/groups in Figure 17 and a summary of catch by platform for all species/groups caught is provided in Appendix 3c. Boat-based fishing accounted for $57 \%$ of the overall total catch and shore-based fishing accounted for $43 \%$ of the catch. Within estuarine waters, $57 \%$ of the total catch was from boat-based fishing and $53 \%$ was from shore-based fishing. Boatand shore-based fishing within oceanic waters accounted for $68 \%$ and $32 \%$ of total catch respectively. In rivers, $43 \%$ of the total catch was from boat-based fishing and shore-based fishing accounted for $57 \%$ of the catch. In lakes/dams, boat-based catch was 55\% and 45\% for shore-based fishing.

Figure 17. Total catch estimates (numbers kept plus released) by waterbody type and fishing platform across all species/groups caught during 2017/18 by RFL households. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## Catch by Region

Catch details by Region are summarised across all species/groups in Figure 18. Of the total catch (kept plus released) of all organisms taken by RFL households during 2017/18, the largest catch occurred in Southern region (27\%), followed closely by the Northern region (26\%) and then the Murray-Darling and Central regions (each 21\%) followed by the Eastern (4\%) and South East (1\%) regions.

Figure 18. Total catch estimates (numbers kept plus released) by region across all species/groups caught during 2017/18 by RFL households. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


Catch details by region are summarised for key species (those that, cumulatively, comprised $90 \%$ of the total catch estimated for each region) in Figures 19 and 20 and Appendix 3d. In the Northern coastal region, Ghost Nippers were the main species caught and comprised $15 \%$ of the total catch by number in that region, followed by Bream (15\%), Dusky Flathead (13\%), Sand Whiting (8\%) and Snapper (6\%) (Figure 19). In the Central region, Bream were the main species caught and comprised $19 \%$ of the total catch in that region, followed by Dusky Flathead (11\%), Yellowtail Scad (9\%), Snapper (9\%) and Tailor (6\%) (Figure 19). Within the Southern region, Sand Flathead were the main species caught and comprised 19\% of the total catch in that region, followed by Dusky Flathead (14\%), Ghost Nippers (11\%), Saltwater Prawns (9\%) and Bream (8\%) (Figure 19). For each coastal region, all other species caught comprised $\leq 5 \%$ of the total regional catch.

Australian Bass (62\%) were the main species caught in the Eastern region, followed by Freshwater Shrimp (11\%) and European Carp (8\%) (Figure 20). In the Murray-Darling region, Freshwater Shrimp were the main species caught and comprised $22 \%$ of the total catch in
that region, followed by Murray Cod (21\%), European Carp (16\%), Freshwater Yabbies (14\%), Golden Perch (10\%) and Redfin Perch (6\%) (Figure 20). In the South East region, Brown Trout were the main species caught and comprised $46 \%$ of the total catch in that region, followed by Rainbow Trout (43\%) and Freshwater Yabbies (8\%) (Figure 20). For each inland region, all other species caught comprised $\leq 5 \%$ of the total regional catch.

Figure 19. Total catch estimates (numbers kept plus released) for the 3 coastal saltwater regions (Northern, Central and Southern) of key species caught during 2017/18 by RFL households. Key species were those that, cumulatively, comprised $90 \%$ of the total catch estimated for each region during the Diary Survey. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch. Note: different scales on the catch axes.


Figure 20. Total catch estimates (kept plus released) for the 3 Freshwater regions (Eastern, Murray-Darling and South East) of key species caught during 2017/18 by RFL households. Key species were those that, cumulatively, comprised $90 \%$ of the total catch estimated for each region during the Diary Survey. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch. Note: different scales on the catch axes.


## Catch by Method

Catch details by fishing method are summarised across all species/groups in Figure 21. A summary of catch by fishing method for all species/groups caught is provided in Appendix 3 e . The majority of organisms were caught using line methods (75\%). Methods classified as 'Other' (e.g. hand collecting, pumping) and pots/traps accounted for similar levels of total catch (10 and $9 \%$ respectively), followed by netting methods (5\%). The lowest levels of catch were estimated for diving methods (1\%).

Figure 21. Total catch estimates (numbers kept plus released) by fishing method across all species/groups caught during 2017/18 by RFL households. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## Key Species Summaries

In the following section, the total estimated catch by RFL households for 25 key species/groups have been described in terms of: waterbody type; fishing platform; fishing region and method. For each of these categories, the numbers of fish retained and released are also displayed in the figures presented below. Catch estimates for all species caught for each of these reporting categories are also presented in a series of appendices at the end of this report. See catch by waterbody type (Appendix 3b); fishing platform (Appendix 3c); fishing region (Appendix 3d) and method (Appendix 3e).

Catch information provided by fishers during the Diary Survey is presented here as expanded estimates of the total catch during 2017/18 by all RFL households. The species/groups included in this section refer to those with relatively large total catch estimates for the period and are also of interest in terms of recreational and commercial fisheries management in NSW. However, species were excluded from this chapter if the RSE for the total catch estimate was greater than $40 \%$ or where the total catch estimate was based on less than 30 households (refer Table 3).

## Bream

'Bream' is a grouping of two species, Yellowfin Bream (Acanthopagrus australis) and Black Bream (Acanthopagrus butcheri) with Yellowfin Bream dominating the state-wide catch for this group. Yellowfin Bream are found coast-wide in NSW while Black Bream are confined to estuaries on the southern coast. The two species are known to hybridise (Ochwada-Doyle et al., 2012; Roberts et al., 2011). These species were grouped to account for instances where fishers could not reasonably be expected to identify individual species.

The total state-wide catch of Bream was 943,966 with $24 \%$ being kept and $76 \%$ released during 2017/18 (Table 3). The majority of the total catch of Bream by waterbody was from estuarine waters (Figure 22A). The boat-based catch and shore-based catch for Bream were similar (Figure 22B). In terms of fishing method, Bream were primarily caught by line fishing (using bait) (Figure 22C). The total catch of Bream by region was highest in the Northern and Central coastal regions (Figure 22D).

Figure 22. Characteristics of the recreational fishery for Bream caught during 2017/18 in terms of total catch (numbers kept plus released) by RFL households for A) waterbody fished; B) boat and shore-based fishing; C) fishing method and D) region. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## Dusky Flathead

The total state-wide catch of Dusky Flathead was 886,749 with $35 \%$ being kept and $65 \%$ released during 2017/18 (Table 3). The majority of Dusky Flathead total catch was taken in estuarine waters, (Figure 23A). The boat-based catch was higher than the shore-based catch (Figure 23B) and all Dusky Flathead were caught by line fishing (using both bait and lures) (Figure 23C). Dusky Flathead were caught in similar quantities in all 3 coastal regions (Figure 23D).

Figure 23. Characteristics of the recreational fishery for Dusky Flathead caught during 2017/18 in terms of total catch (numbers kept plus released) by RFL households for A) waterbody fished; B) boat and shore-based fishing; C) fishing method and D) region. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## Sand Flathead

'Sand Flathead' is a grouping of several Platycephalidae species but recreational catch in NSW is dominated by Eastern Bluespotted Flathead (Platycephalus caeruleopunctatus) (West et al., 2015). Other Sand Flatheads in this group include Southern Bluespotted Flathead (P. bassensis), Northern Sand Flathead (P. endrachtensis), and Long-Spined Flathead (P. grandispinis). These species were grouped to account for instances where fishers could not reasonably be expected to identify individual species.

The total state-wide catch of Sand Flathead was 648,602 with 43\% being kept and 57\% released during 2017/18 (Table 3). The majority of the Sand Flathead catch was from oceanic waters, (Figure 24A), and by boat-based fishing (Figure 24B). In terms of fishing method, Sand Flathead were primarily caught by line fishing (using bait) (Figure 24C). The total catch of Sand Flathead by region was highest in the Southern coastal region (Figure 24D).

Figure 24. Characteristics of the recreational fishery for Sand Flathead caught during 2017/18 in terms of total catch (numbers kept plus released) by RFL households
A) waterbody fished; B) boat and shore-based fishing; C) fishing method and
D) region. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## Snapper

The total state-wide catch of Snapper was 451,247 with $35 \%$ being kept and $65 \%$ released during 2017/18 (Table 3). Snapper were caught in estuarine and oceanic waters (Figure 25A), predominantly by boat-based fishing (Figure 25B). In terms of fishing method, Snapper were all caught by line fishing (predominantly using bait) (Figure 25C). Snapper were caught in all 3 coastal regions in similar numbers (Figure 25D).

Figure 25. Characteristics of the recreational fishery for Snapper caught during 2017/18 in terms of total catch (numbers kept plus released) by RFL households for A) waterbody fished; B) boat and shore-based fishing; C) fishing method and D) region. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## Sand Whiting

The total state-wide catch of Sand Whiting was 340,783 with $35 \%$ being kept and $65 \%$ released during 2017/18 (Table 3). Catch was highest in estuarine waters (Figure 26A). The boat and shore-based catch of Sand Whiting were similar (Figure 26B). In terms of fishing method, Sand Whiting were primarily caught by line fishing (using bait) (Figure 26C). The total catch of Sand Whiting by region was highest in the Northern coastal zone (Figure 26D).

Figure 26. Characteristics of the recreational fishery for Sand Whiting caught during 2017/18 in terms of total catch (numbers kept plus released) by RFL households. Relative catch is presented by A) waterbody fished; B) boat and shore-based fishing; C) fishing method and D) region. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## Tailor

The total state-wide catch of Tailor was 237,200 with $40 \%$ being kept and $60 \%$ released during 2017/18 (Table 3). Tailor were mainly caught in estuarine and oceanic waters (Figure 27A) and in similar numbers for both boat and shore-based methods (Figure 27B). In terms of fishing method, all Tailor were caught by line fishing (using bait and lures) (Figure 27C). The total catch of Tailor by region was highest in the Central coastal zone (Figure 27D).

Figure 27. Characteristics of the recreational fishery for Tailor caught during 2017/18 in terms of total catch (numbers kept plus released) by RFL households for A) waterbody fished; B) boat and shore-based fishing; C) fishing method; D) seasonality and E) region. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## Yellowtail Kingfish

The total state-wide catch of Yellowtail Kingfish was 107,865 with $42 \%$ being kept and $58 \%$ released during 2017/18 (Table 3). Yellowtail Kingfish were caught in both estuarine and oceanic waters (Figure 28A) and by both boat and shore-based methods (Figure 28B). In terms of fishing method, Yellowtail Kingfish were primarily caught by line fishing (using bait and lure) (Figure 28C). Catches of Yellowtail Kingfish occurred throughout the 3 coastal regions (Figure 28D).

Figure 28. Characteristics of the recreational fishery for Yellowtail Kingfish during 2017/18 in terms of total catch (numbers kept plus released) by RFL households for A) waterbody fished; B) boat and shore-based fishing; C) fishing method and D) region. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## Luderick

The total state-wide catch of Luderick was 83,544 with $61 \%$ being kept and $39 \%$ released during 2017/18 (Table 3). The total catch of Luderick by waterbody was highest in estuarine waters (Figure 29A). The majority of Luderick was caught from shore-based platforms (Figure 29B). In terms of fishing method, Luderick were primarily caught by line fishing (using bait) (Figure 29C). Luderick were caught in all 3 coastal regions (Figure 29D).

Figure 29. Characteristics of the recreational fishery for Luderick caught during 2017/18 in terms of total catch (numbers kept plus released) by RFL households for A) waterbody fished; B) boat and shore-based fishing; C) fishing method and D) region. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## Australian Salmon

The total state-wide catch of Australian Salmon was 60,556 with $56 \%$ being kept and $44 \%$ released during 2017/18 (Table 3). Australian Salmon were caught in estuarine and oceanic waters (Figure 30A). They were caught from both boat and shore-based platforms, predominantly using line fishing methods (Figure 30B \& 30C). Australian Salmon were caught in all 3 coastal regions with the largest catch in the southern region (Figure 30D).

Figure 30. Characteristics of the recreational fishery for Australian Salmon caught during 2017/18 in terms of total catch (numbers kept plus released) by RFL households for A) waterbody fished; B) boat and shore-based fishing; C) fishing method and D) region. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## Leatherjacket

'Leatherjacket' is a grouping of several Balistidae and Monacanthidae species. There are more than 20 species of Leatherjackets found throughout NSW waters and, depending on the species, can be caught in estuarine, coastal and offshore waters. In estuarine waters, examples of Leatherjacket species include Fan-belly (Monacanthus chinensis), Six Spine (Meuschenia freycineti) and Rough Leatherjackets (Scobinichthys granulatus). In coastal and offshore areas examples include Six Spine, Yellow-finned (Meuschenia trachylepis), Black Reef (Eubalichthys Bucephalus) and Ocean (Nelusetta ayraud) Leatherjackets. Leatherjacket species were grouped to account for instances where fishers could not reasonably be expected to identify individual species.

The total state-wide catch of Leatherjacket was 53,063 with $59 \%$ being kept and $41 \%$ released during 2017/18 (Table 3). Leatherjacket were caught in estuarine and oceanic waters (Figure 31A), from both boat and shore-based platforms (Figure 31B). In terms of fishing method, Leatherjacket were primarily caught by line fishing (using bait) (Figure 31C). Leatherjacket were caught in all 3 coastal regions, with lowest numbers in the Northern region (Figure 31D).

Figure 31. Characteristics of the recreational fishery for Leatherjacket caught during 2017/18 in terms of total catch (numbers kept plus released) by RFL households for A) waterbody fished; B) boat and shore-based fishing; C) fishing method and D) region. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## Silver Trevally

The total state-wide catch of Silver Trevally was 49,258 with 31\% being kept and 69\% released during 2017/18 (Table 3). Silver Trevally were caught in both estuarine and oceanic waters (Figure 32A) from both boat and shore-based platforms using line fishing methods (Figure 32B \& 32C). Silver Trevally were caught in all 3 coastal regions but predominantly in the Central and Southern regions (Figure 32D).

Figure 32. Characteristics of the recreational fishery for Silver Trevally caught during 2017/18 in terms of total catch (numbers kept plus released) by RFL households for A) waterbody fished; B) boat and shore-based fishing; C) fishing method and D) region. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## Grey Morwong

The total state-wide catch of Grey Morwong was 33,859 with $79 \%$ being kept and $21 \%$ released during 2017/18 (Table 3). Grey Morwong were caught predominantly in oceanic waters (Figure 33A) and the boat-based catch was dominant (Figure 33B). In terms of fishing method, Grey Morwong were primarily caught by line fishing (using bait) (Figure 33C). The total catch of Grey Morwong by region was highest in the Southern coastal zone (Figure 33D).

Figure 33. Characteristics of the recreational fishery for Grey Morwong caught during 2017/18 in terms of total catch (numbers kept plus released) by RFL households for A) waterbody fished; B) boat and shore-based fishing; C) fishing method and D) region. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## Mulloway

The total state-wide catch of Mulloway was 27,173 with $50 \%$ being kept and $50 \%$ released during 2017/18 (Table 3). Mulloway were caught in both estuarine and oceanic waters (Figure 34A), from both boat and shore-based platforms using bait and lure line fishing techniques (Figures 34B \& 34C). Mulloway were caught in all 3 coastal regions with highest catches in the Northern coastal zone (Figure 34D).

Figure 34. Characteristics of the recreational fishery for Mulloway caught during 2017/18 in terms of total catch (numbers kept plus released) by RFL households for A) waterbody fished; B) boat and shore-based fishing; C) fishing method and D) region. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## Yellowtail Scad

The total state-wide catch of Yellowtail Scad was 238,626 with $72 \%$ being kept and $28 \%$ released during 2017/18 (Table 3). Yellowtail Scad were caught in both estuarine and oceanic waters (Figure 35A), from both boat and shore-based platforms, using bait and lure line fishing techniques (Figures 35B \& 35C). Yellowtail Scad were caught in all 3 coastal regions, but catch was highest in the Central zone (Figure 35D).

Figure 35. Characteristics of the recreational fishery for Yellowtail Scad caught during 2017/18 in terms of total catch (numbers kept plus released) by RFL households for A) waterbody fished; B) boat and shore-based fishing; C) fishing method and D) region. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## Blue Mackerel

The total state-wide catch of Blue Mackerel was 145,229 with $78 \%$ being kept and $22 \%$ released during 2017/18 (Table 3). The total catch of Blue Mackerel by waterbody was dominant in oceanic waters with a small amount taken in estuaries (Figure 36A). The catch was predominantly boat-based and caught using both bait and lure line fishing methods (Figures 36B \& 36C). Blue Mackerel were caught in all 3 coastal regions (Figure 36D).

Figure 36. Characteristics of the recreational fishery for Blue Mackerel caught during 2017/18 in terms of total catch (numbers kept plus released) by RFL households for A) waterbody fished; B) boat and shore-based fishing; C) fishing method and D) region. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## Mullet

'Mullet' is a grouping of several Mugilidae species. There are several species of Mullet caught by recreational fishers in NSW and these include Sand Mullet, (Myxus elongatus), Yellow-eye Mullet (Aldrichetta forsteri), Flat-tail Mullet (Liza argentea) and Sea Mullet (Mugil cephalus). These species were grouped to account for instances where fishers could not reasonably be expected to identify individual species.
The total state-wide catch of Mullet was 68,446 with $50 \%$ being kept and $50 \%$ released during 2017/18 (Table 3). Mullet were caught in estuarine and oceanic waters, with small amounts in freshwater rivers (Figure 37A). The catch was predominantly taken from shorebased platforms (Figure 37B) and by both Line and Pot/Trap fishing methods (Figure 37C). Mullet were caught in all 3 coastal regions with highest catches in the Southern zone (Figure 37D).

Figure 37. Characteristics of the recreational fishery for Mullet caught during 2017/18 in terms of total catch (numbers kept plus released) by RFL households for A) waterbody fished; B) boat and shore-based fishing; C) fishing method and D) region. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## Murray Cod

The total state-wide catch of Murray Cod was 416,677 with $9 \%$ being kept and $91 \%$ released during 2017/18 (Table 3). The total catch of Murray Cod by waterbody was highest in rivers (Figure 38A). Murray Cod were primarily caught from boat-based platforms using line fishing methods (both bait and lures) (Figure 38B \& 38C). All Murray Cod catch occurred in the Murray-Darling region (Figure 38D).

Figure 38. Characteristics of the recreational fishery for Murray Cod during 2017/18 in terms of total catch (numbers kept plus released) by RFL households for A) waterbody fished; B) boat and shore-based fishing; C) fishing method and D) region. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## European Carp

The total state-wide catch of European Carp was 370,332 with $100 \%$ being kept and none released during 2017/18 (Table 3). The total catch of European Carp by waterbody was highest in rivers (Figure 39A). The catch was taken by both boat and shore-based line fishing methods (both bait and lures) (Figures 39B \& 39C). The total catch of European Carp by region was predominantly in the Murray-Darling region (Figure 39D).

Figure 39. Characteristics of the recreational fishery for European Carp during 2017/18 in terms of total catch (numbers kept plus released) by RFL households for A) waterbody fished; B) boat and shore-based fishing; C) fishing method and D) region. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## Australian Bass

'Australian Bass' is a grouping of two species, Australian Bass (Macquaria novemaculeata) and Estuary Perch (Macquaria colonorum) with the former dominating the state-wide catch for this group. Australian Bass is an anadromous fish found in coastal freshwater streams and estuaries. Estuary Perch are confined to coastal estuaries and is commonly confused with the Australian Bass. These species were grouped to account for instances where fishers could not reasonably be expected to identify individual species.

The total state-wide catch of Australian Bass was 245,690 with 5\% being kept and 95\% released during 2017/18 (Table 3). The catch of Australian Bass came from estuaries, rivers and lakes and dams (Figure 40A). Boat-based fishing accounted for most of the catch of Australian Bass (Figure 40B). In terms of fishing method, Australian Bass were primarily caught by line fishing methods using lures (Figure 40C). The total catch of Australian Bass by region was highest in the Eastern region (Figure 40D).

Figure 40. Characteristics of the recreational fishery for Australian Bass caught during 2017/18 in terms of total catch (numbers kept plus released) by RFL households for A) waterbody fished; B) boat and shore-based fishing; C) fishing method and D) region. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## Golden Perch

The total state-wide catch of Golden Perch was 194,758 with $41 \%$ being kept and $59 \%$ released during 2017/18 (Table 3). The total catch of Golden Perch by waterbody was from rivers and lakes/dams (Figure 41A). The boat-based catch was greater than the shore-based catch for Golden Perch (Figure 41B). In terms of fishing method, Golden Perch were primarily caught through line fishing (both bait and lures) (Figure 41C). The majority of the Golden Perch catch was taken in the Murray-Darling region (Figure 41D).

Figure 41. Characteristics of the recreational fishery for Golden Perch caught during 2017/18 in terms of total catch (numbers kept plus released) by RFL households for A) waterbody fished; B) boat and shore-based fishing; C) fishing method and D) region. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## Redfin Perch

The total state-wide catch of Redfin Perch was 125,612 with $87 \%$ being kept and $13 \%$ released during 2017/18 (Table 3). The total catch of Redfin Perch by waterbody was highest in lakes and dams (Figure 42A). The boat-based catch was greater than the shore-based catch for Redfin Perch (Figure 42B). Redfin Perch were primarily caught by line fishing methods (using lures) (Figure 42C). All Redfin Perch catch occurred in the Murray-Darling region (Figure 42D).

Figure 42. Characteristics of the recreational fishery for Redfin Perch waters during 2017/18 in terms of total catch (numbers kept plus released) by RFL households for A) waterbody fished; B) boat and shore-based fishing; C) fishing method; D) seasonality and E) region. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## Brown Trout

The total state-wide catch of Brown Trout was 90,086 with $42 \%$ being kept and $58 \%$ released during 2017/18 (Table 3). Brown Trout were caught in rivers and lakes/dams (Figure 43A), from both boat and shore-based platforms primarily using line fishing with lures (Figures 43B \& 43C). Brown Trout catches mainly occurred in the Murray-Darling and South East regions (Figure 43D).

Figure 43. Characteristics of the recreational fishery for Brown Trout caught during 2017/18 in terms of total catch (numbers kept plus released) by RFL households for A) waterbody fished; B) boat and shore-based fishing; C) fishing method and D) region. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## Rainbow Trout

The total state-wide catch of Rainbow Trout was 85,200 with $34 \%$ being kept and $66 \%$ released during 2017/18 (Table 3). Rainbow Trout were caught in in rivers and lakes/dams (Figure 44A) from both boat and shore-based platforms, primarily using line fishing methods with lures (Figures 44B \& 44C). Rainbow Trout catch occurred in all 3 inland regions (Figure 44D).

Figure 44. Characteristics of the recreational fishery for Rainbow Trout caught during 2017/18 in terms of total catch (numbers kept and released) by RFL households for A) waterbody fished; B) boat and shore-based fishing; C) fishing method and D) region. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## Blue Swimmer Crab

The total state-wide catch of Blue Swimmer Crab was 84,000 with $75 \%$ being kept and $25 \%$ released during 2017/18 (Table 3). All Blue Swimmer Crab catch was from estuarine waters (Figure 45A), predominantly from boat-based fishing (Figure 45B). In terms of fishing method, Blue Swimmer Crabs were primarily caught by potting/trapping methods (Figure 45C). Catch of Blue Swimmer Crabs was highest in the Northern and Central coastal regions (Figure 45D).

Figure 45. Characteristics of the recreational fishery for Blue Swimmer Crabs during 2017/18 in terms of total catch (numbers kept plus released) by RFL households for A) waterbody fished; B) boat and shore-based fishing; C) fishing method and $D$ region. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## Ghost Nippers

The total state-wide catch of Ghost Nippers was 700,810 with $94 \%$ being kept and $6 \%$ released during 2017/18 (Table 3). The total catch of Ghost Nippers was in estuarine waters (Figure 46A) from shore-based platforms using methods classified as 'Other', but predominantly hand pumping methods (Figures 46B \& 46C). Ghost Nippers were predominantly caught in the Northern and Southern regions (Figure 46D).

Figure 46. Characteristics of the recreational fishery for Ghost Nippers (saltwater) during 2017/18 in terms of total catch (numbers kept plus released) by RFL households for A) waterbody fished; B) boat and shore-based fishing; C) fishing method and D) region. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## Harvest Weights

Catch information collected during the Diary Survey and reported in the preceding chapters was based on the numbers of fish kept or released, rather than the weight of fish caught, since weight information tends to be less reliable when self-reported by recreational fishers. However, the weight of the recreational harvest is of interest to resource managers, scientists and the various stakeholder groups, particularly for species which are shared among multiple harvesting sectors. From a stock assessment perspective, estimates of recreational harvest weights enable comparison with other sectors (e.g. the commercial fishery), for which harvest is routinely reported by weight.

Recreational harvest weight estimates can be obtained for a given species by multiplying the number of individual fish harvested (kept) by the average weight of an individual of that species, which, in turn, may be obtained using established length-weight relationships. Prior to this however, an appropriate average length must be estimated for each species of interest. Size composition data in terms of length can be accessed from various current and historical fisheries datasets. However, individual species can vary by size over a range of temporal and spatial scales. Fishing methods and skills can also have an impact, along with differences in size-selectivity among sectors. Consequently, the application of any average individual weight introduces additional uncertainty to recreational harvest weight estimates, i.e. in addition to the uncertainty already specified for harvest numbers. Furthermore, any grouping of species for reporting purposes can confound this issue, due to variations in size among the species within the group.
This uncertainty can be reduced if 'off-site' diary surveys are complemented by parallel 'onsite' monitoring programs that collect accurate length data that are representative of the recreational fishery over comprehensive spatio-temporal scales. The 2017/18 off-site Diary Survey was complemented by a simultaneous 12-month on-site Charter Fishery Monitoring Program, which included a state-wide on-board observer component. This component provided representative size data that could be used to calculate recreational harvest weights for the more common species captured in marine waters. For species not adequately represented in the on-board observer component, either due to a species' habitation of nonmarine waters or relatively low sample sizes, it was decided to access a range of alternative existing data sources to estimate the average size. These alternate data sources were initially restricted to the most recent on-site recreational fishing surveys within NSW for both estuarine and marine environments (Murray-Jones and Steffe, 2000; Reid and Montgomery, 2005; Steffe and Murphy, 2011; Ochwada-Doyle et al., 2014). In cases where inadequate recreational length data were available from either the on-board observer component of the Charter Fishery Monitoring Program or recent on-site recreational surveys, mean weights were obtained from data collected for the commercial fishery sector.

As detailed in Appendix 5, separate mean weight calculations were applied to species caught within estuaries and oceanic waters, due to the different size structures that can occur in each case. Where recreational data were available, length/weight relationships were used to derive a mean weight and these were then applied to survey harvest numbers to estimate total harvest weights. For each species considered in this section, weight estimates for the commercial sector were obtained from reported landings by NSW commercial fisheries for the same time period as the Diary Survey ( $1^{\text {st }}$ October 2017 to $30^{\text {th }}$ September 2018). Table 4 presents weight comparisons between the recreational and commercial fishery sectors. This
list is restricted to 16 species of key interest to commercial and recreational fisheries management and also where harvest estimates from the survey were considered sufficiently robust, i.e. the state-wide estimate for the kept component of the catch had an RSE $<40 \%$ and a minimum of 30 households reported the catch (refer Table 4). Also, it should again be noted, harvest estimates for the recreational sector presented in this report are confined to the harvest from RFL households (as at $31^{\text {st }}$ March 2017) only and do not include estimates from fisher households comprised exclusively of short-term and/or exempt fishers, nor households which may have taken up a long-term licence after $31^{\text {st }}$ March (just prior to and during the diary period). Therefore, weight estimates from RFL households are considered to be underestimates of the total recreational harvest but it is highly likely that RFL households are responsible for a large majority of the harvest for many of the species contained in Table 4.

For all the above reasons, it is strongly recommended that the recreational harvest weights in this report be regarded as indicative only.
Noting the above caveats, a majority of the total (recreational plus commercial) harvest weight in 2017/18 was attributable to RFL households for three of the sixteen species - with over 60\% of the total harvest for Dusky Flathead, followed by Yellowtail Kingfish (58\%) and Mulloway (55\%) (Table 4). Sand Flathead and Grey Morwong caught by RFL households accounted for almost half the total catch.

Table 4. Indicative estimates of recreational harvest weight (tonnes) for key species taken during 2017/18 by RFL households, compared with reported landings for the commercial fisheries sector during 2017/18.

|  | Harvest (tonnes) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Recreational | Commercial | Total | \% Recreational |
| Blue Mackerel | 41 | 393 | 434 | 9.5 |
| Blue Swimmer Crab | 14 | 94 | 108 | 13.1 |
| Sand Flathead | 129 | 135 | 265 | 48.8 |
| Dusky Flathead | 190 | 124 | 314 | 60.4 |
| Australian Salmon | 83 | 851 | 934 | 8.9 |
| Morwong, Grey | 22 | 25 | 46 | 47.0 |
| Luderick | 30 | 241 | 272 | 11.2 |
| Mulloway | 90 | 72 | 162 | 55.5 |
| Sand Whiting | 34 | 78 | 112 | 30.0 |
| Silver Trevally | 8 | 70 | 79 | 10.8 |
| Snapper | 107 | 176 | 283 | 37.8 |
| Tailor | 49 | 88 | 137 | 35.9 |
| Bream | 124 | 265 | 388 | 31.8 |
| Yellowtail Kingfish | 129 | 93 | 222 | 58.1 |
| Yellowtail Scad | 30 | 438 | 468 | 6.4 |
| Ghost Nipper | 2 | 6 | 31.4 |  |

## Comparison of Key Survey Results - 2013/14 and 2017/18

## Comparability of Results

The 2013/14 Survey of Recreational Fishing included a component where a separate sample of RFL households were surveyed. This independent RFL survey was conducted in parallel with the White Pages sample that was used as the core sampling frame for that study. See West et al. (2015) for details about the methods used and justification for the parallel 2013/14 RFL Survey.
It is important to note that the survey of RFL households from 2013/14 has not previously been analysed or reported. Information in the 2013/14 survey report by West et al. (2015) was based on the White Pages listings as the core sample frame which enabled direct comparability with data from the 2000/01 NRFS survey which also sampled the White Pages listings.

Therefore, it must clearly be stated that results presented in the West et al. (2015) report are not comparable to results presented here due to the different survey methods used. Data from the 2013/14 RFL Survey only form the basis of the comparison to the 2017/18 RFL Survey results.
Queensland residents were not included in the 2013/14 RFL Survey mainly due to sampling and cost constraints at the time. Therefore participation, catch and effort information from Queensland residents collected during the 2017/18 RFL Survey have been omitted for the temporal comparisons described in this section, allowing for direct comparability of results with the 2013/14 RFL Survey.
Results from the two RFL surveys have been compared to identify any changes or developments that occurred in the recreational fishery over the intervening four-year period. Standard Errors (SEs) are included in all substantive figures as a vertical range above and below the point estimates. The degree of overlap between error bars on the 2013/14 and 2017/18 point estimates provides an indication of statistical significance. Overlapping error bars suggests that the differences between the point estimates for the two surveys are unlikely to be statistically significant. Where there is no overlap of SE bars between groups, the difference may be significant (and statistical testing can be applied to obtain a valid conclusion). It is important to note, however, that despite the robust nature and fundamental comparability of the two studies, the comparison of just two points in time and the issue of inter-annual variability is a critical factor here - especially in terms of variation in the natural availability of certain species and therefore the catch levels in a given year.

## Sample and Response Profiles

## Screening Survey

The sample for the 2013/14 RFL Survey was drawn from the database of individual long-term RFL holders current as at 1 November 2012 - namely 392,194 persons resident in NSW, the ACT and Victoria, compared with 418,295 for the 2017/18 RFL survey. Note: the original sample for the latter was selected from 432,218 RFL holders, which included 13,923 QLD residents. Therefore, (as discussed above) the following comparisons between the two surveys exclude all results for QLD residents.
The initial sample for the 2013/14 survey was 2,153 RFL licence holders, compared with 1,935 for the 2017/18 RFL Survey. In the 2013/14 Screening Survey, sample loss (disconnected numbers etc) was 271 , compared with 117 for 2017/18 and the net sample was 1,882 , compared with 1,818 for 2017/18. Full response of 1,686 RFL households (close to $90 \%$ ) was achieved in 2013/14, compared with 1,498 ( $82 \%$ ) for 2017/18. The higher response rate in the former was primarily due to fewer non-contacts (after 10 calls) - 111 in 2013/14 vs. 208 in 2017/18.

## Diary Survey

Of the 1,686 fully responding households at screening in the 2013/14 RFL Survey, over 90\% $(1,521)$ were identified as having at least one resident (aged five years or more) with an intention to do some recreational fishing during the diary period (June 2013 to May 2014). Similar 'eligibility rates' for the Diary Survey occurred in 2017/18 (87\%).

High completion rates were also achieved for the Diary Surveys ( $84 \%$ of eligible households for 2013/14 and $89 \%$ for 2017/18) and among these, large proportions fished during the diary period ( $80 \%$ and $74 \%$ respectively). Within these households, a total of 1,777 residents fished in the 2013/14 diary period for a total of 13,940 person-based fishing events. Comparable results for the 2017/18 diary period are 1,525 resident fishers and a total of 10,352 person-based fishing events.

## Non-Intending Fisher Survey

In the 2013/14 RFL Survey, 165 RFL households at screening reported no intention to fish in the diary period. A proportional sample of 70 such households was selected across the strata and 56 (80\%) responded fully to the Non-intending Fisher Follow-up Survey (NIF). This compares with 190 NIF RFL households in the 2017/18 RFMP Screening Survey, from which a sample of 88 households was drawn, with 82 (93\%) households responding fully to the follow-up survey.

## Survey Results - 2013/14 vs 2017/18

## Number of Fishers

In 2013/14, an estimated $68 \%(265,036 ; S E=6,360)$ of RFL holders fished during the diary period, while in 2017/18 the participation rate was $61 \%$ ( 244,$370 ; \mathrm{SE}=6,828$ ). When all Persons within RFL households were considered, participation was estimated at 41\% (442,797; $S E=14,705$ ) in 2013/14 and $38 \%(374,125 ; S E=15,815)$ in 2017/18 (Table 5).

Table 5. Estimated proportion of people in RFL households who fished in Freshwater or Saltwater during 2013/14 and 2017/18 (excluding Queensland). RSE (\%) is Relative Standard Error.

|  | Freshwater |  |  | Saltwater |  | Total Fished* |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Year | Number | Prop (\%) | RSE (\%) | Number | Prop (\%) | RSE (\%) | Number | RSE (\%) |
| $2013 / 14$ | 193,215 | 0.44 | 6 | 334,372 | 0.76 | 4 | 442,797 | 3 |
| $2017 / 18$ | 139,870 | 0.37 | 6 | 290,168 | 0.78 | 5 | 374,125 | 4 |

*Note: The numbers of fishers who fished Fresh and Salt waters are not directly additive as some fished both.

## Participation by Waterbody

The proportion of fishers in RFL households that fished recreationally in Saltwater was similar for 2013/14 and 2017/18 (Table 5). For both surveys, the majority of fishers in RFL households fished in estuaries ( $60 \%$ in 2013/14 and 63\% in 2017/18), followed by oceanic waters ( $39 \%$ and $36 \%$ respectively) (Figure 47).

Rates of participation in Freshwater systems were lower than Saltwater, with $44 \%$ of fishers fishing in Freshwater in 2013/14 and 37\% in 2017/18 (Table 5). In both surveys river systems ( $32 \%$ in 2013/14 and $29 \%$ in 2017/18) were fished by more people than lakes/dams ( $22 \%$ and 18\% respectively) (Figure 47).

Figure 47. Estimated participation rate of fishers in RFL households by waterbody (as a proportion of the estimated total number of fishers). Error bars represent 1 standard error.


## Participation by Platform

Rates of participation were similar for Shore-based and Boat-based fishing, with $71 \%$ and 66\% of fishers in RFL Households doing some form of shore-based fishing during the 2013/14 and 2017/18 surveys respectively (Figure 48). An estimated 66\% and 70\% of fishers in RFL households participated in boat-based fishing events during the 2013/14 and 2017/18 surveys respectively (Figure 48).

Figure 48. Estimated participation rate of fishers in RFL households by fishing platform (as a proportion of the estimated total number of fishers). Error bars represent 1 standard error.


## Participation by Region

Rates of participation were high in all 3 marine regions but were highest in the Central region and lowest in the Northern region in both surveys (Figure 49). Participation rates were slightly lower in 2013/14 compared to 2017/18 in the Central region; from $30 \%$ to $38 \%$ of fishers in RFL households (Figure 49).

For the freshwater regions, the Murray-Darling had by far the greatest level of participation, with $32 \%$ and $31 \%$ of fishers in RFL households fishing there during the 2013/14 and 2017/18 surveys respectively. Fewer than 10\% of fishers in RFL households fished the smaller Eastern and South East regions in both surveys (Figure 49).

Figure 49. Estimated participation rate of fishers in RFL households by region (as a proportion of the estimated total number of fishers). Error bars represent 1 standard error.


## Participation by Method

Line fishing was the dominant method with nearly all fishers in RFL households participating in fishing events using lines (more than 99\% in both surveys; Figure 50). Fewer than 10\% of fishers in RFL households used each of the other fishing methods in either survey, and rates of participation were similar for all methods (Figure 50).

Figure 50. Estimated participation rate of fishers in RFL households by fishing method (as a proportion of the estimated total number of fishers). Error bars represent 1 standard error.


## Fishing Effort

In 2013/14, RFL households accounted for an estimated 2,391,456 (SE 134,773) fisher days of effort compared to $2,061,271$ (SE 117,506) during the $2017 / 18$ survey, a reduction of $14 \%$. In 2013/14 an annual mean of 8.6 days per fisher was recorded compared to an annual mean of 7.9 days per fisher for 2017/18. The number of fisher days was lower in 2017/18 compared to 2013/14 for both Freshwater and Saltwater waterbodies (Table 6).

Table 6. Comparison of fishing effort (fisher days) by RFL households who fished in Freshwater or Saltwater waterbodies during 2013/14, compared with 2017/18.

|  | Freshwater |  | Saltwater |  | Total* |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Year | Fisher Days | RSE (\%) | Fisher Days | RSE (\%) | Fisher Days | RSE (\%) |
| $2013 / 14$ | 862,693 | 10 | $1,529,223$ | 8 | $2,391,456$ | 6 |
| $2017 / 18$ | 663,893 | 8 | $1,404,530$ | 7 | $2,061,271$ | 6 |

*Note: The addition of the numbers of fishers who fished Fresh and Salt waters do not equal Total fisher days as some RFL households fished both systems.

## Effort by Waterbody

Fishing effort (fisher days) was lower in 2017/18 compared to 2013/14 in all waterbodies except estuaries. Rivers, oceans and lakes/dams showed a $25 \%, 19 \%$ and 19\% decline in effort respectively (Figure 51). Effort declined by only 3\% in estuaries and standard error bars overlap substantially (Figure 51).

Figure 51. Comparison of recreational fishing effort (fisher days) by RFL households for 2013/14 compared with 2017/18 - by waterbody type. Error bars represent 1 standard error.


## Effort by Platform

Boat-based fishing effort in both survey periods was slightly greater than shore-based effort, although standard error bars clearly overlap in 2013/14 (Figure 52). Both boat and shorebased fishing effort (fisher days) were lower in 2017/18 compared to 2013/14, although standard error bars overlap for boat-based effort estimates.

Figure 52. Comparison of recreational fishing effort (fisher days) by RFL households for 2013/14 compared with 2017/18 - by fishing platform. Error bars represent 1 standard error.


## Effort by Region

Fishing effort (fisher days) in the Southern coastal and Murray-Darling inland region was 33\% and $27 \%$ lower, respectively, in 2017/18. The Northern and South East regions, respectively, showed $13 \%$ and $18 \%$ lower effort in the second survey year, but with overlapping standard errors (Figure 53). Fishing effort in the Central region displayed was greater during 2017/18 (22\%) compared to 2013/14 with no real change in the Eastern region ( $3 \%$ greater in 2017/18) (Figure 53).

Figure 53. Comparison of recreational fishing effort (fisher days) by RFL households for 2013/14 compared with 2017/18 - by fishing region. Error bars represent 1 standard error.


## Effort by Method

Line fishing, which accounted for the majority of fishing effort, was $14 \%$ lower in 2017/18 (Figure 54). Effort attributable to methods classified as 'other' also showed a $26 \%$ decline between the two survey periods (Figure 54), although error bars overlap. Fishing effort by pot/trap, net and dive methods was $21 \%, 4 \%$ and $5 \%$ greater in 2017/18, respectively, but with overlapping error bars (Figure 54).

Figure 54. Comparison of recreational fishing effort (fisher days) by RFL households for 2013/14 compared with 2017/18 - by fishing method. Error bars represent 1 standard error.


## Catch

An estimated state-wide total number of 11,613,141 (SE 1,099,465) organisms were caught (kept plus released) by RFL households during 2013/14, compared to an estimated 8,881,688 (SE 877,648) in 2017/18. The number of individual organisms caught was $24 \%$ lower during 2017/18. The estimated kept (harvested) catch of all organisms was 6,305,957 (SE 874,314) in 2013/14 and 4,530,558 (SE 410,224) in 2017/18, representing a $28 \%$ lower harvest in 2017/18. The estimated number of released animals was 5,307,177 (SE 425,353) in 2013/14 and 4,351,130 (SE 297,332) in 2017/18 ( $18 \%$ lower in 2017/18).

## Catch by Waterbody

Total estimated catch by waterbody type was highest in estuarine waters and lowest in lakes/dams in both survey years (Figure 55). The total catch within ocean waters was 29\% lower in 2017/18. Catch in estuaries, lake/dams and rivers, respectively, were $22 \%, 18 \%$ and $23 \%$ lower in the second survey year, although error bars overlap substantially for river and lake/dam estimates (Figure 55).

Figure 55. Total catch numbers (kept plus released) by RFL households across all species/groups caught during 2013/14 compared with 2017/18 - by waterbody type. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## Catch by Platform

Estimated boat-based total catch in both survey periods, was greater than shore-based total catch (Figure 56). Boat- and shore-based total catch were $30 \%$ and $13 \%$, respectively, lower in 2017/18 compared to 2013/14, although shore-based error bars overlap (Figure 56).

Figure 56. Total catch numbers (kept plus released) by RFL households across all species/groups caught during 2013/14 compared with 2017/18 - by platform. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## Catch by Region

Total catch by region was highest in the Southern region and lowest in the South East region during both survey periods (Figure 57). The total catch within the Northern, Central, Southern, Murray-Darling and South East regions during 2017/18 was 31\%, 13\%, 41\%, 25\% and $29 \%$, respectively, lower but the error bars between survey years overlap for all Regions other than Southern (Figure 57). The total catch within the Eastern region was $15 \%$ greater in 2017/18 (Figure 57) but with substantially overlapping error bars.

Figure 57. Total catch numbers (kept plus released) by RFL households across all species/groups caught during 2013/14 compared with 2017/18-by fishing region. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## Catch by Method

Line fishing resulted in the largest number of animals caught during both 2013/14 and 2017/18 (Figure 58). The numbers of animals caught using pot/trap methods and dive methods were $10 \%$ and $58 \%$, respectively, higher in 2017/18. However, the total catch was $27 \%, 17 \%$, and $23 \%$ lower in the second year for line fishing, netting and other methods when comparing 2013/14 to 2017/18 (Figure 58). Error bars did not overlap for line fishing methods (only).

Figure 58. Total catch numbers (kept plus released) by RFL households across all species/groups caught during 2013/14 compared with 2017/18 - by fishing method. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## Key Species Comparisons

Comparative estimates for the two survey periods of total, kept and released catch, are presented for key saltwater and freshwater species. Except for Dusky Flathead (7\% higher catch numbers in 2017/18), Yellowtail Scad (46\% higher catch numbers in 2017/18) and Tailor ( $32 \%$ higher catch numbers in 2017/18), most key saltwater species had lower total catch numbers in 2017/18 compared to 2013/14 (Figure 59). However, standard error bars between years overlap for all species apart from Bream, Sand Flathead, Luderick, Tailor, Leatherjacket and Mulloway. Catch numbers for Leatherjacket and Luderick, respectively, were $59 \%$ and $54 \%$ lower in 2017/18.

For key freshwater species, total catch was $53 \%$ higher in 2017/18 was observed for Murray Cod (Figure 60). European Carp was the only fresh water species with a large (57\%) decline in catch when comparing the two survey periods (Figure 60). Details of the catch for both survey years for all species are also presented in Appendices 6a-c.

Figure 59. Total catch numbers (kept plus released) by RFL households across all species/groups caught during 2013/14 compared with 2017/18 - by key marine species/groups. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


Figure 59, continued


Figure 60. Total catch numbers (kept plus released) by RFL households across all species/groups caught during 2013/14 compared with 2017/18 - by key freshwater species/groups. Error bars represent 1 standard error on the total catch.


## References

Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) (2016). 1270.0.55.001 - Australian Statistical Geography Standard (ASGS): Volume 1 - Main Structure and Greater Capital City Statistical Areas, July 2016. [ONLINE] Available at: https://www.abs.gov.au/.

Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) (2019). 2017-18 National Health Survey 2017-18 [MRDF], ABS, Belconnen, ACT.

Beckmann, C., Tracey, S., Murphy, J., Moore, A., Cleary, B. and Steer, M., South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences) (2019). Assessing new technologies and techniques that could improve the cost-effectiveness and robustness of recreational fishing surveys. Proceedings of the national workshop, Adelaide, South Australia, 10-12 July 2018. Adelaide.

Brownscombe, J, Hyder, K, Potts, W, Wilson, K, Pope, K, Danylchuk, A, Cooke, J, Clarke, A, Arlinghaus, R, Post, J. (2019). The future of recreational fisheries: Advances in science, monitoring, management, and practice, Fisheries Research, Volume 211, 2019, Pages 247-255

Cooke, S.J. and Cowx, I.G. (2006). Contrasting recreational and commercial fishing: searching for common issues to promote unified conservation of fisheries resources and aquatic environments. Biological Conservation 128, 93-108.

Crowe F.M., Longson I.G. and Joll, L.M. (2013). Development and implementation of allocation arrangements for recreational and commercial fishing sectors in Western Australia. Fisheries Management and Ecology 20, 201-210.
Fenichel, E.P., Gentner B. and Arlinghaus, R. (2013). Normative considerations for recreational fishery management: a bioeconomic framework for linking positive science and normative fisheries policy decisions. Fisheries Management and Ecology, 20: 223-233.
Georgeson, L, Moore, A, Ward, P, Stenekes, N, Kancans, R, Mazur, K, Curtotti, R, Tracey, S, Lyle, J, Hansen, S, Chambers, M, Finn, M and Stobutzki, I. (2015). A framework for regular national recreational fishing surveys, ABARES, Canberra.

Gray, C.A, and Kennelly, S.J. (2017). Evaluation of observer-and industry-based catch data in a recreational charter fishery. Fisheries Management and Ecology, 2017; 24: 126-138.

Henry, G.W. and Lyle, J.M. (2003). The National Recreational and Indigenous Fishing Survey. Fisheries Research and Development Corporation, Project No. 99/158. Canberra, Australia: Australian Government Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry.
Hughes, J.M., Johnson, D.D., Murphy, J.J. and Ochwada-Doyle, F.A. (2020). The NSW Recreational Fisheries Monitoring Program - Charter Fishery monitoring, 2017/18. NSW DPI - Fisheries Final Report Series No. 159.

Hyder, K., Weltersbach, M.S., Armstrong, M., Ferter, K., Townhill, B., Ahvonen, A., Arlinghaus, R., Baikov, A., Bellanger, M., Birzaks, J. and Borch, T., et al. (2018). Recreational sea fishing in Europe in a global context-Participation rates, fishing effort, expenditure, and implications for monitoring and assessment. Fish and Fisheries, 19(2): 225-243.

Ihde T.F., Wilberg, M.J., Loewensteiner, D.A., Secor, D.H. and Miller T.J. (2011). The increasing importance of marine recreational fishing in the US: challenges for management. Fisheries Research 108, 268-276.

Lloret J. and Font T. (2013). A comparative analysis between recreational and artisanal fisheries in a Mediterranean coastal area. Fisheries Management and Ecology, 20: 148160.

Lumley, T. (2004). Analysis of complex survey samples. Journal of Statistical Software 9, 1-19.
Lumley, T. (2010). Complex Surveys: a guide to analysis using R. John Wiley and Sons Inc., New Jersey. 276 pp.

Lyle, J.M. and Campbell, D.A. (1999). Species and size composition of recreational catches with particular reference to licensed fishing methods. Tasmanian Aquaculture and Fisheries Institute Final Report to Marine Recreational Fishery Advisory Committee, 46p.

Lyle, J.M., Coleman, A.P.M, West, L., Campbell, D., and Henry, G.W. (2002a). New large-scale survey methods for evaluating sport fisheries. In: Recreational Fisheries: Ecological, Economic and Social Evaluation, Pitcher, T.J., and Hollingworth, C.E. (eds). pp 207-226. Fish and Aquatic Resources Series No. 8, Blackwell Science, Oxford, UK.

Lyle, J.M., Forward, J. and Morton. A. (2002b). Species and size composition of recreational catches based on 2000/2001 creel surveys. Tasmanian Aquaculture and Fisheries Institute Internal Report, 29p.

Lyle J.M, Wotherspoon, S. and Stark K.E. (2010). Developing an analytical module for largescale recreational fishery data based on phone-diary survey methodology. Final report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation Project No. 2007/064. Tasmanian Aquaculture and Fisheries Institute, Hobart. 105 pp.
Lyle, J.M., Stark, K.E., and Tracey, S.R. (2014). 2012-13 Survey of Recreational Fishing in Tasmania. IMAS, University of Tasmania.

Lyle, J.M., Stark, K.E., Ewing, G.P. and Tracey, S.R. (2019). 2017-18 Survey of Recreational Fishing in Tasmania. IMAS, University of Tasmania.

Mcllgorm, A. and Pepperell, J. (2013). Developing a cost-effective state-wide expenditure survey method to measure the economic contribution of the recreational fishing sector in NSW in 2012. A report to the NSW Recreational Fishing Trust, NSW Department of Primary Industries, November 2013. Produced by the Australian National Centre for Ocean Resources and Security (ANCORS), University of Wollongong.

McPhee, D.P. (2017). Urban Recreational Fisheries in the Australian Coastal Zone: The Sustainability Challenge. Sustainability 2017, 9, 422.

Murray-Jones, S., Steffe, A.S. (2000). A comparison between the commercial and recreational fisheries of the surf clam, Donax deltoides. Fisheries Research 44, 219-233.

NOAA Fisheries (2020). Recreational Fishing Data Survey - Statistics Overview. [ONLINE] Available at: https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov
Ochwada-Doyle, F., Roberts, D., Gray, C., Barnes, L., Haddy, J. and Fearman, J. (2012). Characterizing the biological traits and life history of Acanthopagrus (Sparidae) hybrid complexes: Implications for conservation and management. Journal of Fish Biology, 81 (5), 1540-1558.

Pollock, K.H., Jones, C.M. and Brown, T.L. (1994). Angler survey methods and their applications in fisheries management, American Fisheries Society, Special Publication 25, Bethesda, Maryland.

Productivity Commission (2016). Marine Fisheries and Aquaculture, Final Report.
R Core Team (2016). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.

Reid, D.D. and Montgomery, S.S. (2005). Creel survey based estimation of recreational harvest of penaeid prawns in four southeastern Australian estuaries and comparison with commercial catches, Fisheries Research, Volume 74, Issues 1-3, 169-185,

Roy, P.S., Williams, R.J., Jones, A.R., Yassini, I., Gibbs, P.J., Coates, B., West, R.J., Scanes, P.R., Hudson, J.P. and Nichol, S. (2001). Structure and function of South-east Australian estuaries. Estuarine, Coastal Shelf and Science 53:351-384.

Roberts, D., Gary, C.A., West, R.J. and Ayre, D.J. (2011). Temporal stability of a hybrid swarm between the migratory marine and estuarine fishes Acanthopagrus australis and $A$. butcheri. Marine Ecology Progress Series 421:199-204.

Ryan K.L., Morison A.K., Conron S. (2009). Evaluating methods of obtaining total catch estimates for individual Victorian bay and inlet recreational fisheries. Final report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation Project No. 2003/047. Department of Primary Industries, Queenscliff.

Ryan, K. L., Hall, N. G., Lai, E. K., Smallwood, C. B., Taylor, S. M., and Wise, B. S. (2015). Statewide survey of boat-based recreational fishing in Western Australia 2013/14. Fisheries Research Report No. 268. 208 pp.

Ryan, K.L., Trinnie, F.I., Jones, R., Hart, A.M. and Wise, B.S. (2016). Recreational fisheries data requirements for monitoring catch shares. Fisheries Management and Ecology, 23: 218-233.

Ryan, K. L., Hall, N. G., Lai, E. K., Smallwood, C. B., Taylor, S. M., and Wise, B. (2017). Statewide survey of boat-based recreational fishing in Western Australia 2015/16. Fisheries Research Report No. 287. 205 pp.
Ryan K.L., Hall N.G., Lai E.K., Smallwood C.B., Tate, A. Taylor S.M. and Wise B.S. (2019). Statewide survey of boat-based recreational fishing in Western Australia 2017/18. Fisheries Research Report No. 297, Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development, Western Australia.

Sloan, S.R., Smith, A.D.M., Gardner, C., Crosthwaite, K., Triantafillos, L., Jeffries, B. and Kimber, N. (2014). National Guidelines to Develop Fishery Harvest Strategies. FRDC Report Project 2010/061. Primary Industries and Regions, South Australia, Adelaide.

Steffe, A.S. and Murphy, J.J. (2011). Recreational fishing surveys in the Greater Sydney Region. NSW Department of Primary Industries, Cronulla, NSW.

Stewart, J. and Hughes, J. (2008). Determining appropriate sizes at harvest for species shared by the commercial trap and recreational fisheries in New South Wales. NSW Department of Primary Industries, Cronulla NSW.

Sutinen, J.G. and Johnston, R. (2003). Angling management organizations: integrating the recreational sector into fishery management, Marine Policy, 27, issue 6, 471-487.

Voyer, M., Barclay, K., Mcllgorm, A and Mazur, N. (2016). Social and Economic Evaluation of NSW Coastal Professional Wild-Catch Fisheries: Valuing Coastal Fisheries (FRDC 2014301). Canberra, Australia, Fisheries Research and Development Corporation (FRDC).

West, L.D., Stark, K.E., Murphy, J.J., Lyle, J.M. and Ochwada-Doyle, F.A. (2015). Survey of Recreational Fishing in New South Wales and the ACT 2013/14, Fisheries Final Report Series No. 149, Department of Primary Industries (NSW Government), NSW.

## Appendices

Appendix 1a. The number of individual long-term RFL holders current as at 31 March 2017, sample size and sample loss/response profiles for the Screening Survey, by Stratum.

| Residential Stratum | Total RFL Holders | Initial sample | Sample loss | Net sample | Non response | Full response | Response rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1_Sydney | 162,104 | 374 | 17 | 357 | 89 | 268 | 75.1\% |
| 2_Hunter | 43,711 | 195 | 16 | 179 | 21 | 158 | 88.3\% |
| 3_lllawarra | 29,857 | 164 | 13 | 151 | 16 | 135 | 89.4\% |
| 4_Richmond_Tweed | 16,825 | 122 | 6 | 116 | 15 | 101 | 87.1\% |
| 5_Mid North Coast | 31,035 | 190 | 17 | 173 | 39 | 134 | 77.5\% |
| 6_Central West/North | 24,556 | 134 | 8 | 126 | 12 | 114 | 90.5\% |
| 7_Far West/North West | 6,437 | 118 | 6 | 112 | 12 | 100 | 89.3\% |
| 8_NSW South East | 21,428 | 163 | 9 | 154 | 24 | 130 | 84.4\% |
| 9_Murray/Murrumbidgee | 23,265 | 159 | 9 | 150 | 41 | 109 | 72.7\% |
| 10_ACT | 15,207 | 145 | 5 | 140 | 31 | 109 | 77.9\% |
| 11_QLD | 13,923 | 154 | 12 | 142 | 22 | 120 | 84.5\% |
| 12_VIC | 43,870 | 171 | 11 | 160 | 20 | 140 | 87.5\% |
| Grand Total | 432,218 | 2089 | 129 | 1960 | 342 | 1618 | 82.6\% |

Appendix 1b. Response profiles of RFL holders for the Diary Survey, by stratum.

| Residential Stratum | Full response at screening | Eligible for the Diary Survey | Diary Survey uptake | Diary Survey completed | Uptake rate (among eligibles) | Completion rate (among uptake) | Completion rate (among eligibles) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1_Sydney | 268 | 232 | 205 | 199 | 88.4\% | 97.1\% | 85.8\% |
| 2_Hunter | 158 | 131 | 119 | 110 | 90.8\% | 92.4\% | 84.0\% |
| 3_lllawarra | 135 | 119 | 110 | 105 | 92.4\% | 95.5\% | 88.2\% |
| 4_Richmond_Tweed | 101 | 92 | 85 | 80 | 92.4\% | 94.1\% | 87.0\% |
| 5_Mid North Coast | 134 | 120 | 113 | 110 | 94.2\% | 97.3\% | 91.7\% |
| 6_Central West/North | 114 | 100 | 96 | 86 | 96.0\% | 89.6\% | 86.0\% |
| 7_Far West/North West | 100 | 88 | 84 | 80 | 95.5\% | 95.2\% | 90.9\% |
| 8_NSW South East | 130 | 104 | 95 | 93 | 91.3\% | 97.9\% | 89.4\% |
| 9_Murray/Murrumbidgee | 109 | 96 | 93 | 87 | 96.9\% | 93.5\% | 90.6\% |
| 10_ACT | 109 | 98 | 94 | 94 | 95.9\% | 100.0\% | 95.9\% |
| 11_QLD | 120 | 105 | 96 | 93 | 91.4\% | 96.9\% | 88.6\% |
| 12_VIC | 140 | 128 | 122 | 120 | 95.3\% | 98.4\% | 93.8\% |
| Grand Total | 1618 | 1413 | 1312 | 1257 | 92.9\% | 95.8\% | 89.0\% |

Appendix 2. Annual recreational fishing effort (number of fisher days) by Waterbody, Platform, Fishing Method and Region for RFL households who fished in NSW waters during 2017/18.

|  |  | Fisher days | SE | RSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Waterbody | Estuary | 1,046,245 | 91,751 | 9\% |
|  | Ocean | 444,965 | 38,292 | 9\% |
|  | Lake/Dam | 240,827 | 27,080 | 11\% |
|  | River | 452,830 | 39,483 | 9\% |
| Platform | Boat | 1,206,348 | 80,097 | 7\% |
|  | Shore | 972,771 | 80,512 | 8\% |
|  | Both | 18,117 | 4,444 | 25\% |
| Method | Line | 2,075,120 | 115,561 | 6\% |
|  | Pot/Trap | 102,935 | 21,357 | 21\% |
|  | Dive | 25,898 | 7,362 | 28\% |
|  | Net | 18,844 | 7,268 | 39\% |
|  | Other | 52,581 | 10,137 | 19\% |
| Saltwater Regions | Northern | 491,252 | 43,874 | 9\% |
|  | Central | 588,682 | 83,019 | 14\% |
|  | Southern | 392,394 | 37,280 | 10\% |
| Freshwater Regions | Eastern | 90,177 | 16,326 | 18\% |
|  | Murray-Darling | 542,261 | 46,634 | 9\% |
|  | South East | 59,729 | 12,839 | 21\% |

Appendix 3a. Annual recreational catch (total, kept and released numbers) caught by RFL households in NSW waters during 2017/18 by reporting and species/groups. Values in bold indicate a relative standard error $>40 \%$ and values in italics indicate fewer than 30 households recorded catches of the species/species group. Note: estimates are presented by reporting group then sorted by Total numbers from highest to lowest for species/groups.

| Appendix 3a-State-wide annual catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species/group | Scientific Names | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| FINFISH - SALTWATER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bream | Acanthopagrus spp. | 943,966 | 80,442 | 9\% | 228,553 | 27,897 | 12\% | 715,412 | 64,663 | 9\% |
| Flathead, Dusky | Platycephalus fuscus | 886,749 | 99,617 | 11\% | 310,650 | 37,439 | 12\% | 576,099 | 73,290 | 13\% |
| Flathead, Sand | Platycephalus caeruleopunctatus, P.bassensis, P. endrachtensis \& P. grandispinis | 648,602 | 106,147 | 16\% | 281,844 | 46,361 | 16\% | 366,758 | 64,745 | 18\% |
| Snapper | Chrysophrys auratus | 451,427 | 51,973 | 12\% | 157,534 | 23,407 | 15\% | 293,893 | 39,277 | 13\% |
| Whiting, Sand | Sillago ciliata | 340,783 | 48,075 | 14\% | 120,831 | 22,245 | 18\% | 219,953 | 33,200 | 15\% |
| Tailor | Pomatomus saltatrix | 227,200 | 35,137 | 15\% | 89,914 | 20,845 | 23\% | 137,286 | 22,912 | 17\% |
| Yellowtail Kingfish | Seriola lalandi | 107,865 | 25,659 | 24\% | 45,791 | 16,668 | 36\% | 62,074 | 14,265 | 23\% |
| Whiting, Trumpeter | Sillago maculata | 83,671 | 64,394 | 77\% | 31,562 | 27,058 | 86\% | 52,109 | 37,789 | 73\% |
| Luderick | Girella tricuspidata | 83,544 | 27,673 | 33\% | 51,272 | 20,086 | 39\% | 32,272 | 9,567 | 30\% |
| Red Rock Cod | Scorpaena jacksoniensis | 67,854 | 16,378 | 24\% | 14,727 | 5,780 | 39\% | 53,127 | 12,411 | 23\% |
| Australian Salmon | Arripis spp. | 60,556 | 16,958 | 28\% | 33,948 | 10,800 | 32\% | 26,607 | 9,939 | 37\% |
| Leatherjacket | Balistidae \& Monacanthidae undifferentiated | 53,063 | 12,114 | 23\% | 31,106 | 8,854 | 28\% | 21,956 | 7,720 | 35\% |
| Sergeant Baker | Latropiscus purpurissatus | 52,938 | 21,661 | 41\% | 6,368 | 3,746 | 59\% | 46,570 | 18,641 | 40\% |


| Appendix 3a-State-wide annual catch |  | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species/group | Scientific Names | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Trevally, Silver | Pseudocaranx georgianus | 49,258 | 9,813 | 20\% | 15,315 | 3,963 | 26\% | 33,942 | 8,133 | 24\% |
| Flathead, Tiger | Platycephalus richardsoni | 48,893 | 22,643 | 46\% | 25,001 | 13,330 | 53\% | 23,891 | 10,199 | 43\% |
| Dolphinfish | Coryphaena hippurus | 47,280 | 17,397 | 37\% | 25,413 | 9,662 | 38\% | 21,867 | 10,256 | 47\% |
| Sweep | Scorpis lineolata | 41,277 | 17,511 | 42\% | 13,051 | 7,058 | 54\% | 28,226 | 14,830 | 53\% |
| Tuna, Bonito | Sarda spp. | 39,538 | 12,635 | 32\% | 21,231 | 5,934 | 28\% | 18,307 | 8,199 | 45\% |
| Wrasse, other | Labridae - undifferentiated | 36,432 | 16,611 | 46\% | 7,631 | 4,292 | 56\% | 28,801 | 13,796 | 48\% |
| Morwong, Grey | Nemadactylus douglasii | 33,859 | 8,464 | 25\% | 26,770 | 6,777 | 25\% | 7,090 | 3,109 | 44\% |
| Swallowtail Dart | Trachinotus coppingeri | 32,719 | 9,456 | 29\% | 6,511 | 3,248 | 50\% | 26,208 | 8,256 | 32\% |
| Flounder/sole | Bothidae \& Pleuronectidae spp, Soleidae | 31,519 | 5,260 | 17\% | 12,735 | 2,702 | 21\% | 18,785 | 3,750 | 20\% |
| Tarwhine | Rhabdosargus sarba | 30,628 | 10,481 | 34\% | 12,376 | 8,396 | 68\% | 18,253 | 6,257 | 34\% |
| Whiting, School | Sillago bassensis, S. flindersi \& S. robusta | 29,938 | 15,831 | 53\% | 10,933 | 8,016 | 73\% | 19,006 | 10,352 | 54\% |
| Redfish | Centroberyx affinis | 29,792 | 11,067 | 37\% | 17,018 | 8,550 | 50\% | 12,775 | 6,923 | 54\% |
| Mulloway | Argyrosomus japonicus | 27,173 | 5,355 | 20\% | 13,641 | 2,843 | 21\% | 13,533 | 3,497 | 26\% |
| Ray, other | Dasyatidae undifferentiated | 23,131 | 5,742 | 25\% | - | - | - | 23,131 | 5,742 | 25\% |
| Drummer, Rock Blackfish | Girella elevata | 22,602 | 9,866 | 44\% | 9,983 | 3,951 | 40\% | 12,619 | 6,822 | 54\% |
| Tuna, Skipjack | Katsuwonus pelamis | 21,875 | 15,586 | 71\% | 4,164 | 3,195 | 77\% | 17,712 | 15,283 | 86\% |


| Appendix 3a-State-wide annual catch |  | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species/group | Scientific Names | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Toads/pufferfish | Tetraodontidae \& Ostraciidae undifferentiated | 19,434 | 5,626 | 29\% | 592 | 365 | 62\% | 18,842 | 5,614 | 30\% |
| Pike | Sphyraenidae undifferentiated | 18,784 | 6,340 | 34\% | 9,266 | 4,892 | 53\% | 9,518 | 3,528 | 37\% |
| Ray, Shovelnose | Aptychotrema rostrata | 17,792 | 4,845 | 27\% | 2,435 | 2,112 | 87\% | 15,358 | 3,824 | 25\% |
| Pearl Perch | Glaucosoma scapulare | 17,486 | 6,946 | 40\% | 13,794 | 6,325 | 46\% | 3,693 | 1,689 | 46\% |
| Teraglin | Atractoscion aequidens | 13,051 | 4,113 | 32\% | 11,363 | 3,848 | 34\% | 1,689 | 941 | 56\% |
| Shark, Whaler | Carcharhinidae | 12,026 | 3,366 | 28\% | 3,068 | 1,431 | 47\% | 8,958 | 2,917 | 33\% |
| Garfish | Hemiramphidae undifferentiated | 12,004 | 5,120 | 43\% | 9,036 | 4,496 | 50\% | 2,968 | 2,463 | 83\% |
| Tuna, Mackerel | Euthynnus affinis | 11,847 | 6,237 | 53\% | 6,166 | 4,134 | 67\% | 5,682 | 2,748 | 48\% |
| Cod/groupers | Serranidae undifferentiated | 11,130 | 2,895 | 26\% | 3,862 | 1,800 | 47\% | 7,267 | 1,954 | 27\% |
| Trumpeter/grunter s | Terapontidae undifferentiated | 10,526 | 3,610 | 34\% | 1,759 | 1,484 | 84\% | 8,767 | 3,005 | 34\% |
| Wrasse, Maori | Ophthalmolepis lineolata | 9,022 | 2,840 | 31\% | 4,062 | 1,904 | 47\% | 4,960 | 2,034 | 41\% |
| Morwong, Red | Cheilodactylus fuscus | 8,652 | 3,260 | 38\% | 8,652 | 3,260 | 38\% | - | - | - |
| Marlin, Black | Makaira indica | 8,445 | 3,485 | 41\% | 200 | 200 | 100\% | 8,245 | 3,468 | 42\% |
| Silverbiddy | Gerreidae undifferentiated | 7,888 | 6,887 | 87\% | 637 | 637 | 100\% | 7,252 | 6,838 | 94\% |
| Pigfish | Bodianus unimaculatus | 6,704 | 4,725 | 70\% | 6,519 | 4,720 | 72\% | 186 | 165 | 89\% |


| Appendix 3a-State-wide annual catch |  | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species/group | Scientific Names | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Shark, other | Several families undifferentiated | 3,638 | 2,619 | 72\% | - | - | - | 3,638 | 2,619 | 72\% |
| Tuna, Yellowfin | Thunnus albacares | 3,568 | 1,997 | 56\% | 2,817 | 1,673 | 59\% | 751 | 530 | 71\% |
| Batfish/butter bream | Monodactylus argenteus | 3,332 | 1,653 | 50\% | - | - | - | 3,332 | 1,653 | 50\% |
| Parrotfish | Scaridae - undifferentiated | 3,312 | 1,628 | 49\% | 2,456 | 1,141 | 46\% | 856 | 618 | 72\% |
| Mackerel, Narrowbarred | Scomberomorus commerson | 3,301 | 1,697 | 51\% | 2,820 | 1,550 | 55\% | 481 | 309 | 64\% |
| Moses Snapper | Lutjanus russellii | 3,170 | 1,492 | 47\% | 968 | 482 | 50\% | 2,202 | 1,357 | 62\% |
| Shark, Port Jackson | Heterodontus portusjacksoni | 2,894 | 1,255 | 43\% | - | - | - | 2,894 | 1,255 | 43\% |
| Shark, Wobbegong | Brachaeluridae undifferentiated | 2,797 | 2,057 | 74\% | - | - | - | 2,797 | 2,057 | 74\% |
| Gurnard | Triglidae \& Peristediidae undifferentiated | 2,587 | 1,536 | 59\% | 756 | 491 | 65\% | 1,831 | 1,148 | 63\% |
| Cobia | Rachycentron canadum | 2,336 | 999 | 43\% | 2,105 | 970 | 46\% | 231 | 163 | 71\% |
| Surgeonfish | Prionurus microlepidotus | 2,068 | 2,033 | 98\% | 2,046 | 2,033 | 99\% | 22 | 22 | 100\% |
| Shark, Gummy | Mustelus antarcticus | 2,022 | 1,117 | 55\% | 1,550 | 1,083 | 70\% | 471 | 248 | 53\% |
| Wrasse, unspecified | Labridae - undifferentiated | 1,869 | 892 | 48\% | 100 | 100 | 100\% | 1,769 | 887 | 50\% |
| Mackerel, Spotted | Scomberomorus munroi | 1,693 | 945 | 56\% | 1,602 | 940 | 59\% | 90 | 90 | 100\% |
| Barracuda | Sphyraena barracuda | 1,670 | 1,283 | 77\% | - | - | - | 1,670 | 1,283 | 77\% |
| Marlin, Striped | Tetrapturus audax | 1,551 | 1,020 | 66\% | 115 | 92 | 80\% | 1,436 | 931 | 65\% |
| Shark, Mako | Isurus oxyrinchus | 1,449 | 1,108 | 76\% | 1,050 | 1,050 | 100\% | 398 | 354 | 89\% |




| Appendix 3a-State-wide annual catch |  | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species/group | Scientific Names | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| European Carp | Cyprinus carpio | 370,332 | 88,697 | 24\% | 370,332 | 88,697 | 24\% | - | - | - |
| Golden Perch | Macquaria ambigua | 194,758 | 32,339 | 17\% | 79,297 | 15,095 | 19\% | 115,461 | 22,931 | 20\% |
| Redfin Perch | Perca fluviatilis | 125,612 | 36,877 | 29\% | 109,095 | 35,537 | 33\% | 16,517 | 7,048 | 43\% |
| Trout, Brown | Salmo trutta | 90,086 | 23,334 | 26\% | 37,865 | 11,298 | 30\% | 52,221 | 14,543 | 28\% |
| Trout, Rainbow | Oncorhynchus mykiss | 85,200 | 24,816 | 29\% | 28,595 | 6,788 | 24\% | 56,606 | 19,614 | 35\% |
| Silver Perch | Bidyanus bidyanus | 39,926 | 10,712 | 27\% | 5,138 | 2,272 | 44\% | 34,787 | 10,178 | 29\% |
| Trout Cod | Maccullochella macquariensis | 37,762 | 21,060 | 56\% | 2,813 | 2,011 | 71\% | 34,949 | 19,534 | 56\% |
| Spangled Perch | Leiopotherapon unicolor | 8,764 | 7,037 | 80\% | - | - | - | 8,764 | 7,037 | 80\% |
| Bony Bream | Nematalosa erebi \& Nematalosa vlaminghi | 2,243 | 1,576 | 70\% | 92 | 91 | 100\% | 2,151 | 1,568 | 73\% |
| Bullrout | Notesthes robusta | 40 | 40 | 100\% | - | - | - | 40 | 40 | 100\% |

## FINFISH - SALT \& FRESH

| Australian Bass | Macquaria novemaculeata | 245,690 | 62,215 | 25\% | 11,142 | 5,111 | 46\% | 234,548 | 61,858 | 26\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catfish, eeltail | Plotosidae undifferentiated | 28,293 | 10,066 | 36\% | 1,694 | 1,255 | 74\% | 26,600 | 9,083 | 34\% |
| Eel | Several families undifferentiated | 11,699 | 5,532 | 47\% | 2,955 | 2,636 | 89\% | 8,744 | 3,154 | 36\% |
| Catfish, forktail | Ariidae - undifferentiated | 11,551 | 4,573 | 40\% | - | - | - | 11,551 | 4,573 | 40\% |



| CRABS \& LOBSTERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crab, Mud | Scylla spp. | 111,425 | 56,380 | 51\% | 58,212 | 24,614 | 42\% | 53,213 | 32,365 | 61\% |
| Crab, Blue Swimmer | Portunus pelagicus | 84,000 | 26,714 | 32\% | 63,034 | 21,011 | 33\% | 20,966 | 7,177 | 34\% |


| Appendix 3a-State-wide annual catch |  | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species/group | Scientific Names | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Murray Crayfish | Euastacus armatus | 42,373 | 26,422 | 62\% | 3,967 | 2,507 | 63\% | 38,406 | 24,910 | 65\% |
| Crab, other | Brachyura undifferentiated | 11,513 | 10,546 | 92\% | 11,513 | 10,546 | 92\% | - | - | - |
| Lobster, Rock | Palinuridae undifferentiated | 10,329 | 5,062 | 49\% | 6,492 | 3,176 | 49\% | 3,837 | 2,091 | 54\% |
| Lobster, Tropical | Panulirus longipes and $P$. ornatus | 409 | 407 | 99\% | 409 | 407 | 99\% | - | - | - |
| PRAWNS \& YABBIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ghost Nippers | Trypaea australiensis | 700,810 | 166,029 | 24\% | 662,210 | 161,832 | 24\% | 38,600 | 15,160 | 39\% |
| Shrimp (freshwater) | Palaemonidae undifferentiated | 468,314 | 153,556 | 33\% | 422,026 | 148,947 | 35\% | 46,288 | 31,233 | 67\% |
| Prawns (saltwater) | Penaeidae undifferentiated | 328,698 | 140,954 | 43\% | 327,809 | 140,951 | 43\% | 889 | 887 | 100\% |
| Yabbies (freshwater) | Cherax spp. | 280,681 | 102,215 | 36\% | 180,446 | 47,100 | 26\% | 100,234 | 71,272 | 71\% |
| MOLLUSCS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipis | Donax (Plebidonax) deltoides | 144,296 | 80,579 | 56\% | 75,696 | 42,424 | 56\% | 68,601 | 68,507 | 100\% |
| Abalone | Haliotidae undifferentiated | 2,094 | 1,183 | 56\% | 2,094 | 1,183 | 56\% | - | - | - |
| Blue Mussel | Mytilus galloprovincialis | 1,428 | 1,426 | 100\% | 1,428 | 1,426 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Cockles | Katelysia scalarina Anadara trapezius | 818 | 643 | 79\% | 818 | 643 | 79\% | - | - | - |


| Appendix 3a-State-wide annual catch |  | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species/group | Scientific Names | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| WORMS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beach worms | Arenicolidae undifferentiated | 54,046 | 20,044 | 37\% | 54,046 | 20,044 | 37\% | - | - | - |
| MISCELLANEOUS TAXA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-fish, other | Several families undifferentiated | 3,015 | 1,069 | 35\% | - | - | - | 3,015 | 1,069 | 35\% |
| Sea Urchin | Heliocidaris erythrogramma | 2,429 | 2,414 | 99\% | 2,429 | 2,414 | 99\% | - | - | - |
| Cunjevoi | Pyura praeputialis | 1,065 | 1,059 | 99\% | 1,065 | 1,059 | 99\% | - | - | - |

Appendix 3b. Annual recreational catch (total, kept and released numbers) caught by RFL households in NSW waters during 2017/18 by reporting and species/group and WATERBODY. Values in bold indicate a relative standard error $\mathbf{~ 4 0 \%}$ and values in italics indicate fewer than 30 households recorded catches of the species/species group. Note: estimates are presented by reporting group and Waterbody then sorted by species/group common name.

| Appendix 3b - catch by Waterbody <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| FINFISH - ESTUARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Australian Bass | 39,881 | 24,751 | 62\% | 1,343 | 841 | 63\% | 38,539 | 24,731 | 64\% |
| Australian Salmon | 24,152 | 11,200 | 46\% | 9,038 | 3,569 | 39\% | 15,114 | 8,577 | 57\% |
| Batfish/butter bream | 2,319 | 1,467 | 63\% | - | - | - | 2,319 | 1,467 | 63\% |
| Bream | 772,372 | 71,181 | 9\% | 170,679 | 23,994 | 14\% | 601,693 | 57,950 | 10\% |
| Bullrout | 40 | 40 | 100\% | - | - | - | 40 | 40 | 100\% |
| Bullseye | 1,193 | 1,191 | 100\% | 795 | 794 | 100\% | 398 | 397 | 100\% |
| Catfish, eeltail | 6,977 | 2,659 | 38\% | 106 | 106 | 100\% | 6,871 | 2,644 | 38\% |
| Catfish, forktail | 6,518 | 2,564 | 39\% | - | - | - | 6,518 | 2,564 | 39\% |
| Cobia | 670 | 481 | 72\% | 670 | 481 | 72\% | - | - | - |
| Cod/groupers | 5,036 | 1,916 | 38\% | 268 | 198 | 74\% | 4,768 | 1,752 | 37\% |
| Drummer, Rock Blackfish | 6,228 | 5,743 | 92\% | 1,342 | 1,082 | 81\% | 4,886 | 4,675 | 96\% |
| Drummer, Silver | 452 | 371 | 82\% | 90 | 90 | 100\% | 362 | 360 | 99\% |
| Eastern Wirrah | 212 | 212 | 100\% | - | - | - | 212 | 212 | 100\% |


| Appendix 3b - catch by Waterbody <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Eel | 8,433 | 5,342 | 63\% | 2,626 | 2,624 | 100\% | 5,807 | 2,807 | 48\% |
| Fish, unknown | 227 | 227 | 100\% | - | - | - | 227 | 227 | 100\% |
| Flathead, Dusky | 864,726 | 99,274 | 11\% | 297,929 | 37,078 | 12\% | 566,797 | 73,178 | 13\% |
| Flathead, Sand | 64,830 | 19,426 | 30\% | 21,719 | 6,186 | 28\% | 43,111 | 15,456 | 36\% |
| Flathead, Tiger | 1,205 | 1,201 | 100\% | - | - | - | 1,205 | 1,201 | 100\% |
| Flounder/sole | 27,340 | 4,550 | 17\% | 10,774 | 2,405 | 22\% | 16,566 | 3,374 | 20\% |
| Garfish | 7,145 | 3,742 | 52\% | 7,113 | 3,742 | 53\% | 32 | 32 | 99\% |
| Gurnard | 1,679 | 1,427 | 85\% | 675 | 484 | 72\% | 1,005 | 1,001 | 100\% |
| Leatherjacket | 21,996 | 7,680 | 35\% | 13,797 | 6,907 | 50\% | 8,199 | 2,864 | 35\% |
| Lizardfish/Grinners | 1,106 | 875 | 79\% | - | - | - | 1,106 | 875 | 79\% |
| Long Tom | 760 | 411 | 54\% | 177 | 176 | 100\% | 583 | 371 | 64\% |
| Luderick | 74,362 | 27,428 | 37\% | 43,637 | 19,778 | 45\% | 30,725 | 9,548 | 31\% |
| Mangrove Jack | 1,138 | 936 | 82\% | 202 | 201 | 99\% | 936 | 914 | 98\% |
| Morwong, Grey | 6,818 | 4,593 | 67\% | 3,916 | 2,460 | 63\% | 2,902 | 2,161 | 74\% |
| Morwong, Red | 2,760 | 2,133 | 77\% | 2,760 | 2,133 | 77\% | - | - | - |
| Moses Snapper | 1,842 | 814 | 44\% | 573 | 348 | 61\% | 1,269 | 627 | 49\% |
| Mulloway | 16,660 | 4,323 | 26\% | 6,907 | 2,184 | 32\% | 9,752 | 2,976 | 31\% |
| Pike | 9,372 | 4,885 | 52\% | 7,433 | 4,793 | 64\% | 1,940 | 970 | 50\% |


| Appendix 3b - catch by Waterbody <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Ray, other | 15,846 | 5,096 | 32\% | - | - | - | 15,846 | 5,096 | 32\% |
| Ray, Shovelnose | 10,677 | 4,019 | 38\% | 2,101 | 2,100 | 100\% | 8,576 | 2,717 | 32\% |
| Red Rock Cod | 12,915 | 4,120 | 32\% | 4,240 | 2,898 | 68\% | 8,675 | 2,902 | 33\% |
| Redfish | 2,201 | 1,915 | 87\% | 2,083 | 1,911 | 92\% | 119 | 118 | 99\% |
| Sergeant Baker | 4,603 | 1,768 | 38\% | - | - | - | 4,603 | 1,768 | 38\% |
| Shark, other | 47 | 47 | 99\% | - | - | - | 47 | 47 | 99\% |
| Shark, Port Jackson | 803 | 492 | 61\% | - | - | - | 803 | 492 | 61\% |
| Shark, Whaler | 6,923 | 2,636 | 38\% | 2,027 | 1,300 | 64\% | 4,896 | 2,293 | 47\% |
| Shark, Wobbegong | 578 | 527 | 91\% | - | - | - | 578 | 527 | 91\% |
| Silverbiddy | 7,888 | 6,887 | 87\% | 637 | 637 | 100\% | 7,252 | 6,838 | 94\% |
| Snapper | 233,500 | 38,995 | 17\% | 36,209 | 11,672 | 32\% | 197,290 | 35,053 | 18\% |
| Stargazer | 50 | 50 | 100\% | - | - | - | 50 | 50 | 100\% |
| Surgeonfish | 22 | 22 | 100\% | - | - | - | 22 | 22 | 100\% |
| Swallowtail Dart | 4,110 | 2,123 | 52\% | 1,294 | 920 | 71\% | 2,816 | 1,476 | 52\% |
| Sweep | 13,758 | 7,986 | 58\% | 8,081 | 6,212 | 77\% | 5,677 | 3,004 | 53\% |
| Tailor | 149,493 | 28,319 | 19\% | 44,608 | 15,434 | 35\% | 104,885 | 19,814 | 19\% |
| Tarwhine | 18,610 | 6,452 | 35\% | 3,288 | 2,009 | 61\% | 15,322 | 6,133 | 40\% |
| Toads/pufferfish | 10,789 | 3,023 | 28\% | 592 | 365 | 62\% | 10,197 | 3,001 | 29\% |


| Appendix 3b - catch by Waterbody <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Trevally, Giant | 67 | 67 | 100\% | - | - | - | 67 | 67 | 100\% |
| Trevally, other | 40 | 40 | 100\% | 40 | 40 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Trevally, Silver | 33,303 | 8,330 | 25\% | 8,446 | 2,800 | 33\% | 24,857 | 7,185 | 29\% |
| Trumpeter/grunters | 7,950 | 2,869 | 36\% | 1,455 | 1,453 | 100\% | 6,495 | 2,077 | 32\% |
| Tuna, Bonito | 18,664 | 10,046 | 54\% | 8,713 | 3,609 | 41\% | 9,951 | 6,704 | 67\% |
| Tuna, Skipjack | 16,508 | 15,258 | 92\% | 127 | 127 | 100\% | 16,381 | 15,257 | 93\% |
| Whiting, Sand | 259,750 | 39,851 | 15\% | 81,477 | 16,461 | 20\% | 178,272 | 30,024 | 17\% |
| Whiting, School | 1,465 | 1,055 | 72\% | 130 | 130 | 100\% | 1,335 | 1,015 | 76\% |
| Whiting, Trumpeter | 83,671 | 64,394 | 77\% | 31,562 | 27,058 | 86\% | 52,109 | 37,789 | 73\% |
| Wrasse, Maori | 1,524 | 1,150 | 75\% | 1,050 | 1,050 | 100\% | 473 | 471 | 99\% |
| Wrasse, other | 7,850 | 3,962 | 50\% | 3,151 | 3,149 | 100\% | 4,699 | 2,404 | 51\% |
| Wrasse, unspecified | 1,275 | 836 | 66\% | - | - | - | 1,275 | 836 | 66\% |
| Yellowtail Kingfish | 49,368 | 21,119 | 43\% | 23,034 | 15,456 | 67\% | 26,334 | 8,762 | 33\% |
| FINFISH - BAITFISHES - ESTUARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue Mackerel | 11,674 | 5,561 | 48\% | 6,809 | 3,802 | 56\% | 4,865 | 4,059 | 83\% |
| Mullet | 48,814 | 20,894 | 43\% | 26,227 | 9,522 | 36\% | 22,587 | 16,454 | 73\% |
| Yellowtail Scad | 101,829 | 43,030 | 42\% | 80,631 | 34,880 | 43\% | 21,198 | 10,789 | 51\% |
| Other small baitfish | 24,745 | 11,720 | 47\% | 21,889 | 11,367 | 52\% | 2,856 | 2,853 | 100\% |


| Appendix 3b - catch by Waterbody | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reporting \& Species/group | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| CEPHALOPODS - ESTUARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Octopus | 3,745 | 1,434 | 38\% | 1,045 | 642 | 61\% | 2,700 | 1,286 | 48\% |
| Southern Calamari | 14,325 | 6,432 | 45\% | 14,325 | 6,432 | 45\% | - | - | - |
| Squids | 102,877 | 56,178 | 55\% | 98,569 | 55,897 | 57\% | 4,308 | 2,356 | 55\% |
| CRABS \& LOBSTERS - ESTUARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crab, Blue Swimmer | 84,000 | 26,714 | 32\% | 63,034 | 21,011 | 33\% | 20,966 | 7,177 | 34\% |
| Crab, Mud | 108,931 | 56,326 | 52\% | 56,549 | 24,559 | 43\% | 52,381 | 32,355 | 62\% |
| Crab, other | 11,513 | 10,546 | 92\% | 11,513 | 10,546 | 92\% | - | - | - |
| Lobster, Rock | 99 | 76 | 77\% | 99 | 76 | 77\% | - | - | - |
| PRAWNS \& YABBIES - ESTUARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ghost Nippers | 700,810 | 166,029 | 24\% | 662,210 | 161,832 | 24\% | 38,600 | 15,160 | 39\% |
| Prawns (saltwater) | 328,698 | 140,954 | 43\% | 327,809 | 140,951 | 43\% | 889 | 887 | 100\% |
| MOLLUSCS - ESTUARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abalone | 286 | 285 | 100\% | 286 | 285 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Cockles | 818 | 643 | 79\% | 818 | 643 | 79\% | - | - | - |
| FINFISH - OCEAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amberjack | 1,386 | 861 | 62\% | 754 | 706 | 94\% | 632 | 306 | 48\% |
| Australian Salmon | 36,404 | 10,654 | 29\% | 24,910 | 8,921 | 36\% | 11,493 | 4,224 | 37\% |


| Appendix 3b - catch by Waterbody <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Barracuda | 1,670 | 1,283 | 77\% | - | - | - | 1,670 | 1,283 | 77\% |
| Bass Groper | 350 | 350 | 100\% | 350 | 350 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Batfish/butter bream | 1,013 | 565 | 56\% | - | - | - | 1,013 | 565 | 56\% |
| Bream | 169,595 | 28,093 | 17\% | 57,875 | 10,755 | 19\% | 111,721 | 21,519 | 19\% |
| Catfish, eeltail | 106 | 106 | 100\% | - | - | - | 106 | 106 | 100\% |
| Catfish, forktail | 212 | 212 | 100\% | - | - | - | 212 | 212 | 100\% |
| Cobia | 1,665 | 875 | 53\% | 1,435 | 842 | 59\% | 231 | 163 | 71\% |
| Cod/groupers | 6,094 | 2,156 | 35\% | 3,595 | 1,789 | 50\% | 2,499 | 843 | 34\% |
| Dolphinfish | 47,280 | 17,397 | 37\% | 25,413 | 9,662 | 38\% | 21,867 | 10,256 | 47\% |
| Drummer, Rock Blackfish | 16,374 | 8,020 | 49\% | 8,641 | 3,797 | 44\% | 7,733 | 4,969 | 64\% |
| Drummer, Silver | 679 | 447 | 66\% | 300 | 250 | 83\% | 378 | 376 | 99\% |
| Eel | 1,517 | 1,136 | 75\% | 102 | 101 | 100\% | 1,415 | 1,131 | 80\% |
| Flathead, Dusky | 21,824 | 9,877 | 45\% | 12,622 | 6,074 | 48\% | 9,202 | 4,088 | 44\% |
| Flathead, Sand | 583,772 | 102,053 | 17\% | 260,125 | 44,777 | 17\% | 323,647 | 61,893 | 19\% |
| Flathead, Tiger | 47,687 | 22,611 | 47\% | 25,001 | 13,330 | 53\% | 22,686 | 10,128 | 45\% |
| Flounder/sole | 4,179 | 2,592 | 62\% | 1,961 | 1,274 | 65\% | 2,218 | 1,466 | 66\% |
| Garfish | 4,859 | 3,117 | 64\% | 1,923 | 1,911 | 99\% | 2,936 | 2,462 | 84\% |
| Gurnard | 908 | 568 | 63\% | 82 | 82 | 100\% | 826 | 562 | 68\% |


| Appendix 3b - catch by Waterbody <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Leatherjacket | 31,067 | 9,486 | 31\% | 17,310 | 5,623 | 32\% | 13,757 | 7,212 | 52\% |
| Long Tom | 67 | 67 | 100\% | - | - | - | 67 | 67 | 100\% |
| Luderick | 9,182 | 3,406 | 37\% | 7,635 | 3,174 | 42\% | 1,547 | 696 | 45\% |
| Mackerel, Narrow-barred | 3,301 | 1,697 | 51\% | 2,820 | 1,550 | 55\% | 481 | 309 | 64\% |
| Mackerel, Queensland School | 304 | 302 | 99\% | - | - | - | 304 | 302 | 99\% |
| Mackerel, Spotted | 1,693 | 945 | 56\% | 1,602 | 940 | 59\% | 90 | 90 | 100\% |
| Marlin, Black | 8,445 | 3,485 | 41\% | 200 | 200 | 100\% | 8,245 | 3,468 | 42\% |
| Marlin, Blue | 378 | 228 | 60\% | 181 | 180 | 100\% | 197 | 140 | 71\% |
| Marlin, Striped | 1,551 | 1,020 | 66\% | 115 | 92 | 80\% | 1,436 | 931 | 65\% |
| Morwong, Grey | 27,041 | 7,019 | 26\% | 22,853 | 6,263 | 27\% | 4,188 | 2,235 | 53\% |
| Morwong, Red | 5,892 | 2,458 | 42\% | 5,892 | 2,458 | 42\% | - | - | - |
| Morwong, unspecified | 353 | 351 | 99\% | - | - | - | 353 | 351 | 99\% |
| Moses Snapper | 1,328 | 875 | 66\% | 395 | 334 | 84\% | 933 | 806 | 86\% |
| Mulloway | 10,514 | 2,613 | 25\% | 6,733 | 1,672 | 25\% | 3,780 | 1,354 | 36\% |
| Parrotfish | 3,312 | 1,628 | 49\% | 2,456 | 1,141 | 46\% | 856 | 618 | 72\% |
| Pearl Perch | 17,486 | 6,946 | 40\% | 13,794 | 6,325 | 46\% | 3,693 | 1,689 | 46\% |
| Perch, unspecified | 1,273 | 1,062 | 83\% | 1,273 | 1,062 | 83\% | - | - | - |
| Pigfish | 6,704 | 4,725 | 70\% | 6,519 | 4,720 | 72\% | 186 | 165 | 89\% |


| Appendix 3b - catch by Waterbody <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Pike | 9,412 | 4,051 | 43\% | 1,833 | 1,000 | 55\% | 7,579 | 3,393 | 45\% |
| Ray, other | 7,285 | 2,651 | 36\% | - | - | - | 7,285 | 2,651 | 36\% |
| Ray, Shovelnose | 7,115 | 2,706 | 38\% | 334 | 233 | 70\% | 6,782 | 2,693 | 40\% |
| Red Rock Cod | 54,939 | 15,647 | 28\% | 10,488 | 5,026 | 48\% | 44,451 | 11,852 | 27\% |
| Redfish | 27,591 | 10,900 | 40\% | 14,935 | 8,334 | 56\% | 12,656 | 6,922 | 55\% |
| Rock Cale/Kelpfish | 246 | 245 | 100\% | - | - | - | 246 | 245 | 100\% |
| Sailfish | 101 | 101 | 99\% | - | - | - | 101 | 101 | 99\% |
| Sergeant Baker | 48,335 | 21,587 | 45\% | 6,368 | 3,746 | 59\% | 41,967 | 18,554 | 44\% |
| Shark, Gummy | 2,022 | 1,117 | 55\% | 1,550 | 1,083 | 70\% | 471 | 248 | 53\% |
| Shark, Hammerhead | 180 | 127 | 70\% | - | - | - | 180 | 127 | 70\% |
| Shark, Mako | 1,449 | 1,108 | 76\% | 1,050 | 1,050 | 100\% | 398 | 354 | 89\% |
| Shark, other | 3,590 | 2,618 | 73\% | - | - | - | 3,590 | 2,618 | 73\% |
| Shark, Port Jackson | 2,091 | 1,157 | 55\% | - | - | - | 2,091 | 1,157 | 55\% |
| Shark, School | 666 | 527 | 79\% | 164 | 163 | 100\% | 502 | 502 | 100\% |
| Shark, Tiger | 101 | 101 | 99\% | - | - | - | 101 | 101 | 99\% |
| Shark, unspecified | 428 | 210 | 49\% | - | - | - | 428 | 210 | 49\% |
| Shark, Whaler | 5,103 | 2,103 | 41\% | 1,042 | 600 | 58\% | 4,061 | 1,815 | 45\% |
| Shark, Wobbegong | 2,220 | 1,988 | 90\% | - | - | - | 2,220 | 1,988 | 90\% |


| Appendix 3b - catch by Waterbody <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Snapper | 217,927 | 32,463 | 15\% | 121,324 | 18,893 | 16\% | 96,603 | 16,107 | 17\% |
| Surgeonfish | 2,046 | 2,033 | 99\% | 2,046 | 2,033 | 99\% | - | - | - |
| Swallowtail Dart | 28,609 | 9,060 | 32\% | 5,217 | 2,956 | 57\% | 23,392 | 8,099 | 35\% |
| Sweep | 27,519 | 15,426 | 56\% | 4,970 | 3,067 | 62\% | 22,549 | 14,469 | 64\% |
| Sweetlip, Grass | 202 | 201 | 99\% | 202 | 201 | 99\% | - | - | - |
| Tailor | 77,707 | 20,679 | 27\% | 45,306 | 14,048 | 31\% | 32,401 | 11,663 | 36\% |
| Tarwhine | 12,018 | 8,258 | 69\% | 9,088 | 8,147 | 90\% | 2,931 | 1,276 | 44\% |
| Teraglin | 13,051 | 4,113 | 32\% | 11,363 | 3,848 | 34\% | 1,689 | 941 | 56\% |
| Toads/pufferfish | 8,645 | 4,764 | 55\% | - | - | - | 8,645 | 4,764 | 55\% |
| Trevally, Giant | 100 | 100 | 100\% | - | - | - | 100 | 100 | 100\% |
| Trevally, Golden | 100 | 100 | 100\% | - | - | - | 100 | 100 | 100\% |
| Trevally, Silver | 15,955 | 4,725 | 30\% | 6,869 | 2,809 | 41\% | 9,085 | 3,060 | 34\% |
| Trumpeter, Bastard | 502 | 502 | 100\% | 502 | 502 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Trumpeter/grunters | 2,576 | 2,216 | 86\% | 304 | 302 | 99\% | 2,272 | 2,195 | 97\% |
| Tuna, Bonito | 20,874 | 6,964 | 33\% | 12,517 | 4,398 | 35\% | 8,357 | 4,170 | 50\% |
| Tuna, Mackerel | 11,847 | 6,237 | 53\% | 6,166 | 4,134 | 67\% | 5,682 | 2,748 | 48\% |
| Tuna, Northern Bluefin | 212 | 149 | 70\% | 212 | 149 | 70\% | - | - | - |
| Tuna, Skipjack | 5,367 | 3,304 | 62\% | 4,037 | 3,193 | 79\% | 1,330 | 849 | 64\% |


| Appendix 3b - catch by Waterbody <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Tuna, Yellowfin | 3,568 | 1,997 | 56\% | 2,817 | 1,673 | 59\% | 751 | 530 | 71\% |
| Tuskfish | 1,246 | 842 | 68\% | 1,180 | 836 | 71\% | 65 | 65 | 100\% |
| Wahoo | 855 | 806 | 94\% | 855 | 806 | 94\% | - | - | - |
| Whiting, Sand | 81,033 | 17,888 | 22\% | 39,353 | 9,950 | 25\% | 41,680 | 10,255 | 25\% |
| Whiting, School | 28,473 | 15,813 | 56\% | 10,802 | 8,015 | 74\% | 17,671 | 10,311 | 58\% |
| Wrasse, Blue Groper | 1,190 | 620 | 52\% | 515 | 434 | 84\% | 675 | 442 | 66\% |
| Wrasse, Maori | 7,498 | 2,602 | 35\% | 3,011 | 1,596 | 53\% | 4,487 | 1,979 | 44\% |
| Wrasse, other | 28,582 | 16,058 | 56\% | 4,480 | 2,916 | 65\% | 24,102 | 13,485 | 56\% |
| Wrasse, unspecified | 594 | 315 | 53\% | 100 | 100 | 100\% | 494 | 298 | 60\% |
| Yellowtail Kingfish | 58,497 | 13,641 | 23\% | 22,757 | 6,297 | 28\% | 35,740 | 10,028 | 28\% |
| FINFISH - BAITFISHES - OCEAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue Mackerel | 133,555 | 36,820 | 28\% | 106,880 | 33,331 | 31\% | 26,675 | 10,295 | 39\% |
| Mullet | 12,634 | 11,000 | 87\% | 5,245 | 4,882 | 93\% | 7,388 | 6,140 | 83\% |
| Yellowtail Scad | 136,797 | 64,035 | 47\% | 91,849 | 33,636 | 37\% | 44,947 | 34,990 | 78\% |
| Other small baitfish | 8,590 | 5,524 | 64\% | 7,280 | 5,273 | 72\% | 1,310 | 862 | 66\% |
| CEPHALOPODS - OCEAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cuttlefish | 178 | 131 | 73\% | 115 | 115 | 100\% | 63 | 63 | 99\% |
| Octopus | 302 | 225 | 74\% | 302 | 225 | 74\% | - | - | - |


| Appendix 3b - catch by Waterbody <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Southern Calamari | 922 | 835 | 91\% | 922 | 835 | 91\% | - | - | - |
| Squids | 13,608 | 5,646 | 41\% | 9,644 | 3,685 | 38\% | 3,963 | 2,528 | 64\% |
| CRABS \& LOBSTERS - OCEAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crab, Mud | 2,495 | 2,490 | 100\% | 1,663 | 1,660 | 100\% | 832 | 830 | 100\% |
| Lobster, Rock | 10,230 | 5,061 | 49\% | 6,393 | 3,175 | 50\% | 3,837 | 2,091 | 54\% |
| Lobster, Tropical | 409 | 407 | 99\% | 409 | 407 | 99\% | - | - | - |
| MOLLUSCS - OCEAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abalone | 1,809 | 1,148 | 63\% | 1,809 | 1,148 | 63\% | - | - | - |
| Blue Mussel | 1,428 | 1,426 | 100\% | 1,428 | 1,426 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Pipis | 144,296 | 80,579 | 56\% | 75,696 | 42,424 | 56\% | 68,601 | 68,507 | 100\% |
| WORMS - OCEAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beach worms | 54,046 | 20,044 | 37\% | 54,046 | 20,044 | 37\% | - | - | - |
| MISCELLANEOUS TAXA - OCEAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cunjevoi | 1,065 | 1,059 | 99\% | 1,065 | 1,059 | 99\% | - | - | - |
| Sea Urchin | 2,429 | 2,414 | 99\% | 2,429 | 2,414 | 99\% | - | - | - |
| FINFISH - RIVERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Australian Bass | 159,394 | 46,819 | 29\% | 7,354 | 4,752 | 65\% | 152,041 | 46,468 | 31\% |
| Bony Bream | 2,060 | 1,565 | 76\% | - | - | - | 2,060 | 1,565 | 76\% |


| Appendix 3b - catch by Waterbody <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Bream | 1,998 | 2,000 | 100\% | - | - | - | 1,998 | 2,000 | 100\% |
| Catfish, eeltail | 4,778 | 1,640 | 34\% | 257 | 255 | 99\% | 4,521 | 1,622 | 36\% |
| Catfish, forktail | 799 | 642 | 80\% | - | - | - | 799 | 642 | 80\% |
| Eel | 656 | 360 | 55\% | - | - | - | 656 | 360 | 55\% |
| European Carp | 301,371 | 86,382 | 29\% | 301,371 | 86,382 | 29\% | - | - | - |
| Flathead, Dusky | 200 | 200 | 100\% | 100 | 100 | 100\% | 100 | 100 | 100\% |
| Golden Perch | 106,349 | 20,346 | 19\% | 51,604 | 12,422 | 24\% | 54,745 | 11,439 | 21\% |
| Mullet | 6,998 | 5,776 | 83\% | 3,019 | 2,398 | 79\% | 3,979 | 3,463 | 87\% |
| Murray Cod | 375,390 | 51,081 | 14\% | 29,359 | 6,307 | 21\% | 346,032 | 48,339 | 14\% |
| Redfin Perch | 13,579 | 5,955 | 44\% | 9,835 | 5,603 | 57\% | 3,744 | 2,049 | 55\% |
| Silver Perch | 27,004 | 7,954 | 29\% | 2,488 | 1,145 | 46\% | 24,516 | 7,882 | 32\% |
| Trout Cod | 37,476 | 21,058 | 56\% | 2,813 | 2,011 | 71\% | 34,663 | 19,532 | 56\% |
| Trout, Brown | 27,255 | 8,997 | 33\% | 7,246 | 2,714 | 37\% | 20,009 | 7,373 | 37\% |
| Trout, Rainbow | 49,548 | 23,026 | 46\% | 10,624 | 5,155 | 49\% | 38,924 | 18,352 | 47\% |
| CRABS \& LOBSTERS - RIVERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Murray Crayfish | 42,373 | 26,422 | 62\% | 3,967 | 2,507 | 63\% | 38,406 | 24,910 | 65\% |
| PRAWNS \& YABBIES - RIVERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shrimp (freshwater) | 405,074 | 143,707 | 35\% | 358,787 | 138,652 | 39\% | 46,288 | 31,233 | 67\% |


| Appendix 3b - catch by Waterbody <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Yabbies (freshwater) | 50,419 | 22,618 | 45\% | 49,064 | 22,312 | 45\% | 1,354 | 772 | 57\% |
| MISCELLANEOUS TAXA - RIVERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-fish, other | 2,595 | 1,027 | 40\% | - | - | - | 2,595 | 1,027 | 40\% |
| FINFISH - LAKES \& DAMS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Australian Bass | 46,415 | 15,659 | 34\% | 2,446 | 1,684 | 69\% | 43,969 | 15,291 | 35\% |
| Bony Bream | 183 | 183 | 100\% | 92 | 91 | 100\% | 92 | 91 | 100\% |
| Catfish, eeltail | 16,433 | 9,589 | 58\% | 1,331 | 1,224 | 92\% | 15,102 | 8,559 | 57\% |
| Catfish, forktail | 4,022 | 3,744 | 93\% | - | - | - | 4,022 | 3,744 | 93\% |
| Eel | 1,093 | 891 | 82\% | 227 | 227 | 100\% | 866 | 864 | 100\% |
| European Carp | 68,962 | 20,329 | 29\% | 68,962 | 20,329 | 29\% | - | - | - |
| Golden Perch | 88,410 | 21,025 | 24\% | 27,693 | 6,006 | 22\% | 60,716 | 18,536 | 31\% |
| Murray Cod | 41,286 | 12,002 | 29\% | 7,076 | 3,338 | 47\% | 34,211 | 9,134 | 27\% |
| Redfin Perch | 112,033 | 32,374 | 29\% | 99,260 | 30,838 | 31\% | 12,773 | 6,752 | 53\% |
| Silver Perch | 12,922 | 7,182 | 56\% | 2,650 | 1,962 | 74\% | 10,272 | 6,445 | 63\% |
| Spangled Perch | 8,764 | 7,037 | 80\% | - | - | - | 8,764 | 7,037 | 80\% |
| Trout Cod | 286 | 285 | 100\% | - | - | - | 286 | 285 | 100\% |
| Trout, Brown | 62,831 | 21,392 | 34\% | 30,619 | 10,996 | 36\% | 32,212 | 12,298 | 38\% |
| Trout, Rainbow | 35,653 | 9,590 | 27\% | 17,971 | 4,538 | 25\% | 17,682 | 6,944 | 39\% |


| Appendix 3b - catch by Waterbody | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reporting \& Species/group | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| PRAWNS \& YABBIES - LAKES \& DAMS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shrimp (freshwater) | 63,240 | 32,450 | 51\% | 63,240 | 32,450 | 51\% | - | - | - |
| Yabbies (freshwater) | 230,262 | 98,968 | 43\% | 131,382 | 39,670 | 30\% | 98,880 | 71,269 | 72\% |
| MISCELLANEOUS TAXA - LAKES \& DAMS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-fish, other | 420 | 298 | 71\% | - | - | - | 420 | 298 | 71\% |

Appendix 3c Annual recreational catch (total, kept and released numbers) caught by RFL households in NSW waters during 2017/18 by reporting and species/groups and PLATFORM. Values in bold indicate a relative standard error $>40 \%$ and values in italics indicate fewer than 30 households recorded catches of the species/group. Note: estimates are presented by reporting group and Platform then sorted by species/group common name.

| Appendix 3c - catch by Platform <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| FINFISH - SALTWATER - BOAT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amberjack | 1,386 | 861 | 62\% | 754 | 706 | 94\% | 632 | 306 | 48\% |
| Australian Salmon | 19,959 | 11,036 | 55\% | 6,579 | 3,028 | 46\% | 13,381 | 8,518 | 64\% |
| Barracuda | 1,670 | 1,283 | 77\% | - | - | - | 1,670 | 1,283 | 77\% |
| Bass Groper | 350 | 350 | 100\% | 350 | 350 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Batfish/butter bream | 710 | 471 | 66\% | - | - | - | 710 | 471 | 66\% |
| Bream | 543,088 | 62,402 | 11\% | 112,551 | 17,128 | 15\% | 430,537 | 52,695 | 12\% |
| Bullseye | 1,193 | 1,191 | 100\% | 795 | 794 | 100\% | 398 | 397 | 100\% |
| Cobia | 1,911 | 905 | 47\% | 1,681 | 872 | 52\% | 231 | 163 | 71\% |
| Cod/groupers | 8,825 | 2,765 | 31\% | 3,862 | 1,800 | 47\% | 4,963 | 1,768 | 36\% |
| Dolphinfish | 47,280 | 17,397 | 37\% | 25,413 | 9,662 | 38\% | 21,867 | 10,256 | 47\% |
| Drummer, Rock Blackfish | 5,557 | 4,815 | 87\% | 3,026 | 2,421 | 80\% | 2,531 | 2,405 | 95\% |
| Drummer, Silver | 300 | 250 | 83\% | 300 | 250 | 83\% | - | - | - |
| Eastern Wirrah | 212 | 212 | 100\% | - | - | - | 212 | 212 | 100\% |
| Flathead, Dusky | 743,846 | 93,608 | 13\% | 262,559 | 34,930 | 13\% | 481,288 | 68,232 | 14\% |


| Appendix 3c - catch by Platform <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Flathead, Sand | 584,310 | 103,676 | 18\% | 262,765 | 45,758 | 17\% | 321,545 | 62,662 | 19\% |
| Flathead, Tiger | 48,840 | 22,643 | 46\% | 25,001 | 13,330 | 53\% | 23,838 | 10,199 | 43\% |
| Flounder/sole | 25,147 | 4,409 | 18\% | 10,892 | 2,595 | 24\% | 14,255 | 3,233 | 23\% |
| Garfish | 8,834 | 3,952 | 45\% | 7,113 | 3,742 | 53\% | 1,721 | 1,283 | 75\% |
| Gurnard | 2,587 | 1,536 | 59\% | 756 | 491 | 65\% | 1,831 | 1,148 | 63\% |
| Leatherjacket | 34,009 | 9,522 | 28\% | 16,259 | 5,461 | 34\% | 17,750 | 7,399 | 42\% |
| Lizardfish/Grinners | 273 | 272 | 100\% | - | - | - | 273 | 272 | 100\% |
| Long Tom | 249 | 190 | 76\% | 177 | 176 | 100\% | 72 | 72 | 100\% |
| Luderick | 25,696 | 10,386 | 40\% | 14,231 | 6,215 | 44\% | 11,465 | 4,875 | 43\% |
| Mackerel, Narrow-barred | 2,884 | 1,676 | 58\% | 2,403 | 1,526 | 63\% | 481 | 309 | 64\% |
| Mackerel, Queensland School | 304 | 302 | 99\% | - | - | - | 304 | 302 | 99\% |
| Mackerel, Spotted | 1,268 | 844 | 67\% | 1,178 | 839 | 71\% | 90 | 90 | 100\% |
| Mangrove Jack | 772 | 584 | 76\% | 202 | 201 | 99\% | 569 | 549 | 96\% |
| Marlin, Black | 8,445 | 3,485 | 41\% | 200 | 200 | 100\% | 8,245 | 3,468 | 42\% |
| Marlin, Blue | 378 | 228 | 60\% | 181 | 180 | 100\% | 197 | 140 | 71\% |
| Marlin, Striped | 1,551 | 1,020 | 66\% | 115 | 92 | 80\% | 1,436 | 931 | 65\% |
| Morwong, Grey | 29,835 | 8,064 | 27\% | 22,745 | 6,269 | 28\% | 7,090 | 3,109 | 44\% |
| Morwong, Red | 4,054 | 2,156 | 53\% | 4,054 | 2,156 | 53\% | - | - | - |


| Appendix 3c - catch by Platform <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Morwong, unspecified | 353 | 351 | 99\% | - | - | - | 353 | 351 | 99\% |
| Moses Snapper | 1,908 | 1,345 | 70\% | 219 | 165 | 75\% | 1,690 | 1,334 | 79\% |
| Mulloway | 15,903 | 4,223 | 27\% | 8,570 | 2,352 | 27\% | 7,333 | 2,762 | 38\% |
| Parrotfish | 3,312 | 1,628 | 49\% | 2,456 | 1,141 | 46\% | 856 | 618 | 72\% |
| Pearl Perch | 17,486 | 6,946 | 40\% | 13,794 | 6,325 | 46\% | 3,693 | 1,689 | 46\% |
| Perch, unspecified | 1,273 | 1,062 | 83\% | 1,273 | 1,062 | 83\% | - | - | - |
| Pigfish | 6,704 | 4,725 | 70\% | 6,519 | 4,720 | 72\% | 186 | 165 | 89\% |
| Pike | 12,667 | 4,596 | 36\% | 3,956 | 2,260 | 57\% | 8,711 | 3,486 | 40\% |
| Ray, other | 13,046 | 4,899 | 38\% | - | - | - | 13,046 | 4,899 | 38\% |
| Ray, Shovelnose | 5,621 | 2,224 | 40\% | 82 | 82 | 100\% | 5,539 | 2,219 | 40\% |
| Red Rock Cod | 60,737 | 16,115 | 27\% | 11,555 | 5,147 | 45\% | 49,181 | 12,319 | 25\% |
| Redfish | 29,792 | 11,067 | 37\% | 17,018 | 8,550 | 50\% | 12,775 | 6,923 | 54\% |
| Sailfish | 101 | 101 | 99\% | - | - | - | 101 | 101 | 99\% |
| Sergeant Baker | 47,401 | 20,665 | 44\% | 6,368 | 3,746 | 59\% | 41,033 | 17,474 | 43\% |
| Shark, Gummy | 1,940 | 1,108 | 57\% | 1,550 | 1,083 | 70\% | 390 | 234 | 60\% |
| Shark, Hammerhead | 180 | 127 | 70\% | - | - | - | 180 | 127 | 70\% |
| Shark, Mako | 1,449 | 1,108 | 76\% | 1,050 | 1,050 | 100\% | 398 | 354 | 89\% |
| Shark, other | 406 | 222 | 55\% | - | - | - | 406 | 222 | 55\% |


| Appendix 3c - catch by Platform <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Shark, Port Jackson | 919 | 412 | 45\% | - | - | - | 919 | 412 | 45\% |
| Shark, School | 164 | 163 | 100\% | 164 | 163 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Shark, Tiger | 101 | 101 | 99\% | - | - | - | 101 | 101 | 99\% |
| Shark, unspecified | 382 | 205 | 54\% | - | - | - | 382 | 205 | 54\% |
| Shark, Whaler | 8,137 | 2,788 | 34\% | 2,378 | 1,327 | 56\% | 5,760 | 2,289 | 40\% |
| Shark, Wobbegong | 237 | 235 | 99\% | - | - | - | 237 | 235 | 99\% |
| Silverbiddy | 3,785 | 3,049 | 81\% | 637 | 637 | 100\% | 3,148 | 2,936 | 93\% |
| Snapper | 352,058 | 43,305 | 12\% | 149,670 | 23,190 | 15\% | 202,388 | 27,088 | 13\% |
| Stargazer | 50 | 50 | 100\% | - | - | - | 50 | 50 | 100\% |
| Swallowtail Dart | 2,644 | 1,784 | 67\% | 1,399 | 947 | 68\% | 1,245 | 883 | 71\% |
| Sweep | 31,842 | 16,054 | 50\% | 6,782 | 4,030 | 59\% | 25,059 | 14,721 | 59\% |
| Tailor | 134,274 | 28,961 | 22\% | 45,148 | 16,256 | 36\% | 89,126 | 19,924 | 22\% |
| Tarwhine | 11,128 | 4,320 | 39\% | 1,472 | 1,228 | 83\% | 9,656 | 4,151 | 43\% |
| Teraglin | 13,051 | 4,113 | 32\% | 17,363 | 3,848 | 34\% | 1,689 | 941 | 56\% |
| Toads/pufferfish | 10,155 | 4,798 | 47\% | 197 | 196 | 100\% | 9,959 | 4,794 | 48\% |
| Trevally, Giant | 67 | 67 | 100\% | - | - | - | 67 | 67 | 100\% |
| Trevally, other | 40 | 40 | 100\% | 40 | 40 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Trevally, Silver | 30,129 | 8,528 | 28\% | 8,437 | 3,057 | 36\% | 21,691 | 6,958 | 32\% |


| Appendix 3c - catch by Platform <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Trumpeter/grunters | 3,703 | 2,301 | 62\% | 304 | 302 | 99\% | 3,400 | 2,282 | 67\% |
| Tuna, Bonito | 37,625 | 12,580 | 33\% | 19,318 | 5,813 | 30\% | 18,307 | 8,199 | 45\% |
| Tuna, Mackerel | 10,574 | 6,111 | 58\% | 5,953 | 4,129 | 69\% | 4,621 | 2,544 | 55\% |
| Tuna, Northern Bluefin | 212 | 149 | 70\% | 212 | 149 | 70\% | - | - | - |
| Tuna, Skipjack | 20,040 | 15,528 | 77\% | 4,164 | 3,195 | 77\% | 15,876 | 15,225 | 96\% |
| Tuna, Yellowfin | 3,568 | 1,997 | 56\% | 2,817 | 1,673 | 59\% | 751 | 530 | 71\% |
| Tuskfish | 1,246 | 842 | 68\% | 1,180 | 836 | 71\% | 65 | 65 | 100\% |
| Wahoo | 855 | 806 | 94\% | 855 | 806 | 94\% | - | - | - |
| Whiting, Sand | 177,403 | 34,467 | 19\% | 50,007 | 12,408 | 25\% | 127,396 | 27,816 | 22\% |
| Whiting, School | 28,296 | 15,812 | 56\% | 10,625 | 8,013 | 75\% | 17,671 | 10,311 | 58\% |
| Whiting, Trumpeter | 83,628 | 64,394 | 77\% | 31,519 | 27,058 | 86\% | 52,109 | 37,789 | 73\% |
| Wrasse, Blue Groper | 206 | 146 | 71\% | 91 | 91 | 100\% | 115 | 115 | 100\% |
| Wrasse, Maori | 7,073 | 2,582 | 37\% | 3,011 | 1,596 | 53\% | 4,062 | 1,971 | 49\% |
| Wrasse, other | 27,821 | 16,102 | 58\% | 3,422 | 2,719 | 79\% | 24,399 | 13,604 | 56\% |
| Wrasse, unspecified | 316 | 238 | 75\% | 100 | 100 | 100\% | 217 | 216 | 100\% |
| Yellowtail Kingfish | 75,382 | 17,181 | 23\% | 25,252 | 6,740 | 27\% | 50,130 | 13,398 | 27\% |
| FINFISH - FRESHWATER - BOAT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bony Bream | 1,933 | 1,560 | 81\% | - | - | - | 1,933 | 1,560 | 81\% |


| Appendix 3c - catch by Platform <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| European Carp | 118,533 | 22,095 | 19\% | 118,533 | 22,095 | 19\% | - | - | - |
| Golden Perch | 144,058 | 29,012 | 20\% | 58,277 | 13,507 | 23\% | 85,781 | 20,903 | 24\% |
| Murray Cod | 286,595 | 43,753 | 15\% | 26,721 | 7,079 | 26\% | 259,874 | 40,068 | 15\% |
| Redfin Perch | 97,231 | 34,588 | 36\% | 83,235 | 33,322 | 40\% | 13,995 | 6,902 | 49\% |
| Silver Perch | 22,744 | 7,030 | 31\% | 3,589 | 1,713 | 48\% | 19,155 | 6,786 | 35\% |
| Spangled Perch | 7,939 | 6,910 | 87\% | - | - | - | 7,939 | 6,910 | 87\% |
| Trout Cod | 35,077 | 19,549 | 56\% | 1,179 | 1,177 | 100\% | 33,898 | 19,526 | 58\% |
| Trout, Brown | 53,884 | 19,376 | 36\% | 24,928 | 9,009 | 36\% | 28,956 | 11,980 | 41\% |
| Trout, Rainbow | 29,460 | 7,813 | 27\% | 12,649 | 3,892 | 31\% | 16,810 | 5,762 | 34\% |
| FINFISH - SALT \& FRESH - BOAT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Australian Bass | 201,202 | 57,102 | 28\% | 5,223 | 2,124 | 41\% | 195,978 | 56,939 | 29\% |
| Catfish, eeltail | 12,481 | 4,224 | 34\% | 360 | 275 | 76\% | 12,121 | 4,217 | 35\% |
| Catfish, forktail | 3,937 | 1,999 | 51\% | - | - | - | 3,937 | 1,999 | 51\% |
| Eel | 5,004 | 1,695 | 34\% | 227 | 227 | 100\% | 4,777 | 1,682 | 35\% |
| FINFISH - BAITFISHES - BOAT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue Mackerel | 140,956 | 39,699 | 28\% | 110,104 | 36,246 | 33\% | 30,852 | 11,048 | 36\% |
| Mullet | 12,048 | 5,148 | 43\% | 7,467 | 4,451 | 60\% | 4,581 | 2,584 | 56\% |
| Yellowtail Scad | 164,201 | 79,522 | 48\% | 112,621 | 45,758 | 41\% | 51,580 | 35,720 | 69\% |


| Appendix 3c - catch by Platform <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Other small baitfish | 18,273 | 8,958 | 49\% | 14,917 | 8,358 | 56\% | 3,356 | 2,896 | 86\% |
| CEPHALOPODS - BOAT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cuttlefish | 178 | 131 | 73\% | 115 | 115 | 100\% | 63 | 63 | 99\% |
| Octopus | 1,842 | 1,126 | 61\% | 424 | 358 | 84\% | 1,418 | 1,068 | 75\% |
| Southern Calamari | 10,119 | 4,815 | 48\% | 10,119 | 4,815 | 48\% | - | - | - |
| Squids | 85,614 | 54,098 | 63\% | 81,869 | 53,793 | 66\% | 3,745 | 2,208 | 59\% |
| CRABS \& LOBSTERS - BOAT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crab, Blue Swimmer | 73,107 | 23,286 | 32\% | 55,731 | 18,057 | 32\% | 17,376 | 6,709 | 39\% |
| Crab, Mud | 102,271 | 56,257 | 55\% | 50,772 | 24,415 | 48\% | 51,499 | 32,353 | 63\% |
| Lobster, Rock | 2,915 | 1,755 | 60\% | 1,084 | 587 | 54\% | 1,830 | 1,221 | 67\% |
| Murray Crayfish | 17,529 | 10,274 | 59\% | 3,214 | 2,392 | 74\% | 14,315 | 8,003 | 56\% |
| PRAWNS \& YABBIES - BOAT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prawns (saltwater) | 95,852 | 52,407 | 55\% | 95,852 | 52,407 | 55\% | - | - | - |
| Shrimp (freshwater) | 51,081 | 25,462 | 50\% | 51,081 | 25,462 | 50\% | - | - | - |
| Yabbies (freshwater) | 47,080 | 19,115 | 41\% | 46,484 | 19,067 | 41\% | 596 | 416 | 70\% |
| MOLLUSCS - BOAT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abalone | 977 | 601 | 62\% | 977 | 601 | 62\% | - | - | - |


| Appendix 3c - catch by Platform <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Cockles | 206 | 206 | 100\% | 206 | 206 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| MISCELLANEOUS TAXA - BOAT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-fish, other | 1,780 | 829 | 47\% | - | - | - | 1,780 | 829 | 47\% |
| FINFISH - SALTWATER - SHORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Australian Salmon | 40,596 | 11,776 | 29\% | 27,370 | 9,824 | 36\% | 13,226 | 5,139 | 39\% |
| Batfish/butter bream | 2,622 | 1,524 | 58\% | - | - | - | 2,622 | 1,524 | 58\% |
| Bream | 397,285 | 46,349 | 12\% | 114,907 | 21,079 | 18\% | 282,379 | 32,908 | 12\% |
| Cobia | 424 | 425 | 100\% | 424 | 425 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Cod/groupers | 2,092 | 818 | 39\% | - | - | - | 2,092 | 818 | 39\% |
| Drummer, Rock Blackfish | 17,045 | 7,087 | 42\% | 6,957 | 2,908 | 42\% | 10,089 | 5,484 | 54\% |
| Drummer, Silver | 831 | 528 | 64\% | 90 | 90 | 100\% | 740 | 521 | 70\% |
| Flathead, Dusky | 136,595 | 22,450 | 16\% | 44,973 | 8,627 | 19\% | 91,621 | 18,079 | 20\% |
| Flathead, Sand | 63,208 | 18,689 | 30\% | 17,995 | 7,304 | 41\% | 45,213 | 12,371 | 27\% |
| Flathead, Tiger | 53 | 53 | 100\% | - | - | - | 53 | 53 | 100\% |
| Flounder/sole | 6,000 | 2,284 | 38\% | 1,470 | 708 | 48\% | 4,530 | 1,834 | 40\% |
| Garfish | 3,170 | 2,264 | 71\% | 1,923 | 1,911 | 99\% | 1,248 | 1,214 | 97\% |
| Leatherjacket | 19,054 | 7,557 | 40\% | 14,848 | 6,968 | 47\% | 4,206 | 2,316 | 55\% |
| Lizardfish/Grinners | 833 | 831 | 100\% | - | - | - | 833 | 831 | 100\% |


| Appendix 3c - catch by Platform <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Long Tom | 578 | 370 | 64\% | - | - | - | 578 | 370 | 64\% |
| Luderick | 57,723 | 25,515 | 44\% | 36,916 | 19,003 | 51\% | 20,807 | 8,182 | 39\% |
| Mackerel, Narrow-barred | 417 | 294 | 71\% | 417 | 294 | 71\% | - | - | - |
| Mackerel, Spotted | 424 | 425 | 100\% | 424 | 425 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Mangrove Jack | 366 | 366 | 100\% | - | - | - | 366 | 366 | 100\% |
| Morwong, Grey | 4,025 | 2,655 | 66\% | 4,025 | 2,655 | 66\% | - | - | - |
| Morwong, Red | 4,598 | 2,432 | 53\% | 4,598 | 2,432 | 53\% | - | - | - |
| Moses Snapper | 1,262 | 616 | 49\% | 749 | 453 | 60\% | 512 | 260 | 51\% |
| Mulloway | 11,270 | 3,158 | 28\% | 5,070 | 1,580 | 31\% | 6,200 | 1,950 | 31\% |
| Pike | 6,118 | 4,376 | 72\% | 5,310 | 4,342 | 82\% | 807 | 546 | 68\% |
| Ray, other | 10,085 | 2,996 | 30\% | - | - | - | 10,085 | 2,996 | 30\% |
| Ray, Shovelnose | 12,171 | 4,322 | 36\% | 2,353 | 2,111 | 90\% | 9,818 | 3,139 | 32\% |
| Red Rock Cod | 7,117 | 3,146 | 44\% | 3,172 | 2,680 | 84\% | 3,946 | 1,657 | 42\% |
| Rock Cale/Kelpfish | 246 | 245 | 100\% | - | - | - | 246 | 245 | 100\% |
| Sergeant Baker | 5,537 | 5,265 | 95\% | - | - | - | 5,537 | 5,265 | 95\% |
| Shark, Gummy | 82 | 82 | 100\% | - | - | - | 82 | 82 | 100\% |
| Shark, other | 3,232 | 2,609 | 81\% | - | - | - | 3,232 | 2,609 | 81\% |
| Shark, Port Jackson | 1,975 | 1,153 | 58\% | - | - | - | 1,975 | 1,153 | 58\% |


| Appendix 3c - catch by Platform <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Shark, School | 502 | 502 | 100\% | - | - | - | 502 | 502 | 100\% |
| Shark, unspecified | 46 | 45 | 99\% | - | - | - | 46 | 45 | 99\% |
| Shark, Whaler | 3,889 | 1,895 | 49\% | 691 | 536 | 78\% | 3,198 | 1,818 | 57\% |
| Shark, Wobbegong | 2,561 | 2,044 | 80\% | - | - | - | 2,561 | 2,044 | 80\% |
| Silverbiddy | 4,104 | 3,909 | 95\% | - | - | - | 4,104 | 3,909 | 95\% |
| Snapper | 99,369 | 28,021 | 28\% | 7,864 | 3,017 | 38\% | 91,505 | 26,920 | 29\% |
| Surgeonfish | 2,068 | 2,033 | 98\% | 2,046 | 2,033 | 99\% | 22 | 22 | 100\% |
| Swallowtail Dart | 30,075 | 9,115 | 30\% | 5,112 | 2,923 | 57\% | 24,963 | 8,194 | 33\% |
| Sweep | 9,435 | 7,005 | 74\% | 6,269 | 5,795 | 92\% | 3,166 | 1,836 | 58\% |
| Sweetlip, Grass | 202 | 201 | 99\% | 202 | 201 | 99\% | - | - | - |
| Tailor | 92,926 | 20,179 | 22\% | 44,766 | 12,953 | 29\% | 48,160 | 11,737 | 24\% |
| Tarwhine | 19,500 | 8,938 | 46\% | 10,904 | 8,305 | 76\% | 8,597 | 3,255 | 38\% |
| Toads/pufferfish | 9,279 | 2,881 | 31\% | 395 | 308 | 78\% | 8,884 | 2,865 | 32\% |
| Trevally, Giant | 100 | 100 | 100\% | - | - | - | 100 | 100 | 100\% |
| Trevally, Golden | 100 | 100 | 100\% | - | - | - | 100 | 100 | 100\% |
| Trevally, Silver | 19,129 | 4,949 | 26\% | 6,878 | 2,540 | 37\% | 12,251 | 4,200 | 34\% |
| Trumpeter, Bastard | 502 | 502 | 100\% | 502 | 502 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Trumpeter/grunters | 6,822 | 2,803 | 41\% | 1,455 | 1,453 | 100\% | 5,367 | 1,986 | 37\% |


| Appendix 3c - catch by Platform <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Tuna, Bonito | 1,913 | 1,220 | 64\% | 1,913 | 1,220 | 64\% | - | - | - |
| Tuna, Mackerel | 1,273 | 1,274 | 100\% | 212 | 212 | 100\% | 1,061 | 1,062 | 100\% |
| Tuna, Skipjack | 1,835 | 1,297 | 71\% | - | - | - | 1,835 | 1,297 | 71\% |
| Whiting, Sand | 160,382 | 27,748 | 17\% | 70,824 | 16,531 | 23\% | 89,558 | 14,824 | 17\% |
| Whiting, School | 1,642 | 1,070 | 65\% | 307 | 219 | 71\% | 1,335 | 1,015 | 76\% |
| Whiting, Trumpeter | 43 | 43 | 100\% | 43 | 43 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Wrasse, Blue Groper | 985 | 602 | 61\% | 424 | 425 | 100\% | 560 | 427 | 76\% |
| Wrasse, Maori | 1,949 | 1,163 | 60\% | 1,050 | 1,050 | 100\% | 899 | 500 | 56\% |
| Wrasse, other | 8,611 | 4,342 | 50\% | 4,210 | 3,321 | 79\% | 4,402 | 2,668 | 61\% |
| Wrasse, unspecified | 1,553 | 861 | 55\% | - | - | - | 1,553 | 861 | 55\% |
| Yellowtail Kingfish | 32,483 | 19,383 | 60\% | 20,539 | 15,330 | 75\% | 11,944 | 5,176 | 43\% |
| FINFISH - FRESHWATER - SHORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bony Bream | 309 | 222 | 72\% | 92 | 91 | 100\% | 218 | 155 | 71\% |
| Bullrout | 40 | 40 | 100\% | - | - | - | 40 | 40 | 100\% |
| European Carp | 235,220 | 77,735 | 33\% | 235,220 | 77,735 | 33\% | - | - | - |
| Golden Perch | 44,610 | 10,466 | 23\% | 18,468 | 4,408 | 24\% | 26,142 | 7,413 | 28\% |
| Murray Cod | 115,570 | 27,075 | 23\% | 6,506 | 2,188 | 34\% | 109,065 | 26,698 | 24\% |
| Redfin Perch | 28,257 | 10,524 | 37\% | 25,860 | 10,278 | 40\% | 2,398 | 1,254 | 52\% |


| Appendix 3c - catch by Platform <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Silver Perch | 11,497 | 4,253 | 37\% | 1,549 | 864 | 56\% | 9,948 | 4,102 | 41\% |
| Spangled Perch | 825 | 822 | 100\% | - | - | - | 825 | 822 | 100\% |
| Trout Cod | 2,524 | 1,727 | 68\% | 1,635 | 1,631 | 100\% | 889 | 569 | 64\% |
| Trout, Brown | 35,850 | 10,339 | 29\% | 12,585 | 4,171 | 33\% | 23,265 | 7,845 | 34\% |
| Trout, Rainbow | 55,741 | 22,969 | 41\% | 15,945 | 5,483 | 34\% | 39,795 | 18,246 | 46\% |
| FINFISH - SALT \& FRESH - SHORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Australian Bass | 42,547 | 14,380 | 34\% | 5,521 | 4,627 | 84\% | 37,026 | 13,425 | 36\% |
| Catfish, eeltail | 10,083 | 3,340 | 33\% | 106 | 106 | 100\% | 9,977 | 3,325 | 33\% |
| Catfish, forktail | 6,070 | 2,809 | 46\% | - | - | - | 6,070 | 2,809 | 46\% |
| Eel | 6,695 | 5,278 | 79\% | 2,728 | 2,626 | 96\% | 3,967 | 2,680 | 68\% |
| Fish, unknown | 227 | 227 | 100\% | - | - | - | 227 | 227 | 100\% |
| FINFISH - BAITFISHES - SHORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue Mackerel | 4,273 | 2,350 | 55\% | 3,585 | 2,263 | 63\% | 688 | 642 | 93\% |
| Mullet | 56,398 | 23,671 | 42\% | 27,025 | 10,013 | 37\% | 29,373 | 17,714 | 60\% |
| Yellowtail Scad | 74,425 | 37,947 | 51\% | 59,859 | 32,095 | 54\% | 14,566 | 8,042 | 55\% |
| Yellowtail Scad | 74,425 | 37,947 | 51\% | 59,859 | 32,095 | 54\% | 14,566 | 8,042 | 55\% |
| Other small baitfish | 15,062 | 9,408 | 62\% | 14,251 | 9,382 | 66\% | 811 | 707 | 87\% |


| Appendix 3c - catch by Platform <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| CEPHALOPODS - SHORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Octopus | 2,205 | 921 | 42\% | 923 | 579 | 63\% | 1,282 | 717 | 56\% |
| Southern Calamari | 5,128 | 3,353 | 65\% | 5,128 | 3,353 | 65\% | - | - | - |
| Squids | 30,871 | 20,285 | 66\% | 26,344 | 19,769 | 75\% | 4,527 | 2,649 | 59\% |
| CRABS \& LOBSTERS - SHORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crab, Blue Swimmer | 10,894 | 6,957 | 64\% | 7,303 | 5,327 | 73\% | 3,590 | 1,932 | 54\% |
| Crab, Mud | 9,154 | 3,706 | 40\% | 7,441 | 3,050 | 41\% | 1,714 | 964 | 56\% |
| Crab, other | 11,513 | 10,546 | 92\% | 11,513 | 10,546 | 92\% | - | - | - |
| Lobster, Rock | 7,414 | 4,108 | 55\% | 5,407 | 2,787 | 52\% | 2,007 | 1,420 | 71\% |
| Lobster, Tropical | 409 | 407 | 99\% | 409 | 407 | 99\% | - | - | - |
| Murray Crayfish | 24,844 | 24,330 | 98\% | 753 | 752 | 100\% | 24,091 | 23,577 | 98\% |
| PRAWNS \& YABBIES - SHORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ghost Nippers | 700,810 | 166,029 | 24\% | 662,210 | 161,832 | 24\% | 38,600 | 15,160 | 39\% |
| Prawns (saltwater) | 232,846 | 124,971 | 54\% | 231,957 | 124,968 | 54\% | 889 | 887 | 100\% |
| Shrimp (freshwater) | 406,598 | 142,966 | 35\% | 360,310 | 137,977 | 38\% | 46,288 | 31,233 | 67\% |
| Yabbies (freshwater) | 233,601 | 98,832 | 42\% | 133,962 | 41,287 | 31\% | 99,639 | 71,271 | 72\% |
| MOLLUSCS - SHORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abalone | 1,118 | 1,019 | 91\% | 1,118 | 1,019 | 91\% | - | - | - |


| Appendix 3c - catch by Platform <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Blue Mussel | 1,428 | 1,426 | 100\% | 1,428 | 1,426 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Cockles | 611 | 610 | 100\% | 611 | 610 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Pipis | 144,296 | 80,579 | 56\% | 75,696 | 42,424 | 56\% | 68,601 | 68,507 | 100\% |
| WORMS - SHORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beach worms | 54,046 | 20,044 | 37\% | 54,046 | 20,044 | 37\% | - | - | - |
| MISCELLANEOUS TAXA - SHORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cunjevoi | 1,065 | 1,059 | 99\% | 1,065 | 1,059 | 99\% | - | - | - |
| Non-fish, other | 1,235 | 676 | 55\% | - | - | - | 1,235 | 676 | 55\% |
| Sea Urchin | 2,429 | 2,414 | 99\% | 2,429 | 2,414 | 99\% | - | - | - |
| FINFISH - SALTWATER - BOTH (Boat \& Shore platforms) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bream | 3,593 | 1,405 | 39\% | 1,096 | 614 | 56\% | 2,497 | 1,152 | 46\% |
| Cod/groupers | 212 | 212 | 100\% | - | - | - | 212 | 212 | 100\% |
| Flathead, Dusky | 6,308 | 2,353 | 37\% | 3,118 | 1,229 | 39\% | 3,190 | 1,583 | 50\% |
| Flathead, Sand | 1,084 | 1,081 | 100\% | 1,084 | 1,081 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Flounder/sole | 372 | 371 | 100\% | 372 | 371 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Luderick | 124 | 124 | 100\% | 124 | 124 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Whiting, Sand | 2,998 | 2,542 | 85\% | - | - | - | 2,998 | 2,542 | 85\% |
| FINFISH - FRESHWATER - BOTH (Boat \& Shore platforms) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Appendix 3c - catch by Platform <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| European Carp | 16,579 | 13,588 | 82\% | 16,579 | 13,588 | 82\% | - | - | - |
| Golden Perch | 6,090 | 3,191 | 52\% | 2,553 | 1,711 | 67\% | 3,538 | 2,202 | 62\% |
| Murray Cod | 14,512 | 5,820 | 40\% | 3,208 | 1,646 | 51\% | 11,304 | 4,834 | 43\% |
| Redfin Perch | 124 | 124 | 100\% | - | - | - | 124 | 124 | 100\% |
| Silver Perch | 5,685 | 3,529 | 62\% | - | - | - | 5,685 | 3,529 | 62\% |
| Trout Cod | 161 | 161 | 100\% | - | - | - | 161 | 161 | 100\% |
| Trout, Brown | 353 | 351 | 99\% | 353 | 351 | 99\% | - | - | - |
| FINFISH - SALT \& FRESH - BOTH (Boat \& Shore platforms) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Australian Bass | 1,941 | 1,588 | 82\% | 398 | 397 | 100\% | 1,544 | 1,537 | 100\% |
| Catfish, eeltail | 5,729 | 5,693 | 99\% | 1,228 | 1,220 | 99\% | 4,501 | 4,473 | 99\% |
| Catfish, forktail | 1,544 | 1,537 | 100\% | - | - | - | 1,544 | 1,537 | 100\% |
| PRAWNS \& YABBIES - FRESHWATER - BOTH (Boat \& Shore platforms) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shrimp (freshwater) | 10,635 | 10,606 | 100\% | 10,635 | 10,606 | 100\% | - | - | - |

Appendix 3d Annual recreational catch (total, kept and released numbers) caught by RFL households in NSW waters during 2017/18 by reporting and species/groups and REGION. Values in bold indicate a relative standard error $>40 \%$ and values in italics indicate fewer than 30 households recorded catches of the species/group. Note: estimates are presented by reporting group and Region, then sorted by species/group common name.

| Appendix 3d - catch by Region <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| FINFISH - NORTHERN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amberjack | 1,386 | 861 | 62\% | 754 | 706 | 94\% | 632 | 306 | 0 |
| Australian Bass | 2,078 | 1,126 | 54\% | 1,097 | 805 | 73\% | 981 | 588 | 60\% |
| Australian Salmon | 6,836 | 6,004 | 88\% | 6,351 | 5,997 | 94\% | 484 | 293 | 61\% |
| Barracuda | 477 | 477 | 100\% | - | - | - | 477 | 477 | 100\% |
| Bass Groper | 350 | 350 | 100\% | 350 | 350 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Batfish/butter bream | 1,350 | 673 | 50\% | - | - | - | 1,350 | 673 | 50\% |
| Bream | 365,653 | 50,561 | 14\% | 94,599 | 18,866 | 20\% | 271,054 | 37,415 | 14\% |
| Bullrout | 40 | 40 | 100\% | - | - | - | 40 | 40 | 100\% |
| Catfish, eeltail | 4,923 | 2,476 | 50\% | 106 | 106 | 100\% | 4,816 | 2,455 | 51\% |
| Catfish, forktail | 5,657 | 2,336 | 41\% | - | - | - | 5,657 | 2,336 | 41\% |
| Cobia | 1,363 | 658 | 48\% | 1,132 | 614 | 54\% | 231 | 163 | 71\% |
| Cod/groupers | 7,642 | 2,278 | 30\% | 3,685 | 1,791 | 49\% | 3,957 | 1,076 | 27\% |
| Dolphinfish | 25,884 | 14,117 | 55\% | 15,713 | 8,849 | 56\% | 10,171 | 6,146 | 60\% |
| Drummer, Rock Blackfish | 11,130 | 6,712 | 60\% | 4,109 | 2,638 | 64\% | 7,022 | 5,188 | 74\% |


| Appendix 3d - catch by Region <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Drummer, Silver | 82 | 82 | 100\% | 82 | 82 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Eastern Wirrah | 212 | 212 | 100\% | - | - | - | 212 | 212 | 100\% |
| Eel | 614 | 321 | 52\% | - | - | - | 614 | 321 | 52\% |
| Flathead, Dusky | 304,832 | 62,838 | 21\% | 83,936 | 17,407 | 21\% | 220,895 | 48,642 | 22\% |
| Flathead, Sand | 70,026 | 15,672 | 22\% | 35,227 | 9,752 | 28\% | 34,799 | 7,772 | 22\% |
| Flathead, Tiger | 220 | 132 | 60\% | 167 | 120 | 72\% | 53 | 53 | 100\% |
| Flounder/sole | 7,002 | 2,743 | 39\% | 2,157 | 1,289 | 60\% | 4,845 | 1,695 | 35\% |
| Garfish | 9,438 | 4,789 | 51\% | 6,470 | 4,107 | 63\% | 2,968 | 2,463 | 83\% |
| Leatherjacket | 8,560 | 4,067 | 48\% | 6,338 | 3,822 | 60\% | 2,222 | 1,301 | 59\% |
| Long Tom | 358 | 297 | 83\% | - | - | - | 358 | 297 | 83\% |
| Luderick | 28,515 | 10,799 | 38\% | 17,469 | 6,654 | 38\% | 11,046 | 4,889 | 44\% |
| Mackerel, Narrow-barred | 2,891 | 1,648 | 57\% | 2,683 | 1,544 | 58\% | 207 | 146 | 71\% |
| Mackerel, Queensland School | 304 | 302 | 99\% | - | - | - | 304 | 302 | 99\% |
| Mackerel, Spotted | 1,602 | 940 | 59\% | 1,602 | 940 | 59\% | - | - | - |
| Mangrove Jack | 1,138 | 936 | 82\% | 202 | 201 | 99\% | 936 | 914 | 98\% |
| Marlin, Black | 6,036 | 3,215 | 53\% | 200 | 200 | 100\% | 5,836 | 3,196 | 55\% |
| Marlin, Blue | 197 | 140 | 71\% | - | - | - | 197 | 140 | 71\% |
| Marlin, Striped | 92 | 91 | 100\% | - | - | - | 92 | 91 | 100\% |


| Appendix 3d - catch by Region <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Morwong, Grey | 1,739 | 1,231 | 71\% | 1,579 | 1,223 | 77\% | 160 | 140 | 87\% |
| Morwong, Red | 732 | 518 | 71\% | 732 | 518 | 71\% | - | - | - |
| Moses Snapper | 3,170 | 1,492 | 47\% | 968 | 482 | 50\% | 2,202 | 1,357 | 62\% |
| Mulloway | 16,054 | 4,034 | 25\% | 9,073 | 2,402 | 26\% | 6,980 | 2,290 | 33\% |
| Parrotfish | 3,312 | 1,628 | 49\% | 2,456 | 1,141 | 46\% | 856 | 618 | 72\% |
| Pearl Perch | 11,842 | 4,092 | 35\% | 8,150 | 2,915 | 36\% | 3,693 | 1,689 | 46\% |
| Perch, unspecified | 100 | 100 | 100\% | 100 | 100 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Pigfish | 429 | 284 | 66\% | 407 | 283 | 70\% | 22 | 22 | 100\% |
| Pike | 5,960 | 3,632 | 61\% | 774 | 677 | 88\% | 5,186 | 3,023 | 58\% |
| Ray, other | 9,129 | 2,416 | 26\% | - | - | - | 9,129 | 2,416 | 26\% |
| Ray, Shovelnose | 9,804 | 3,251 | 33\% | 334 | 233 | 70\% | 9,470 | 3,240 | 34\% |
| Red Rock Cod | 20,579 | 7,508 | 36\% | 1,276 | 750 | 59\% | 19,303 | 7,427 | 38\% |
| Redfish | 2,752 | 1,404 | 51\% | 1,416 | 985 | 70\% | 1,336 | 854 | 64\% |
| Sailfish | 101 | 101 | 99\% | - | - | - | 101 | 101 | 99\% |
| Sergeant Baker | 5,522 | 2,720 | 49\% | 764 | 540 | 71\% | 4,758 | 2,667 | 56\% |
| Shark, Gummy | 50 | 50 | 100\% | 50 | 50 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Shark, Hammerhead | 92 | 91 | 100\% | - | - | - | 92 | 91 | 100\% |
| Shark, Mako | 45 | 45 | 100\% | - | - | - | 45 | 45 | 100\% |


| Appendix 3d - catch by Region <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Shark, other | 425 | 257 | 61\% | - | - | - | 425 | 257 | 61\% |
| Shark, Port Jackson | 549 | 406 | 74\% | - | - | - | 549 | 406 | 74\% |
| Shark, Tiger | 101 | 101 | 99\% | - | - | - | 101 | 101 | 99\% |
| Shark, unspecified | 324 | 183 | 57\% | - | - | - | 324 | 183 | 57\% |
| Shark, Whaler | 6,710 | 2,555 | 38\% | 793 | 414 | 52\% | 5,917 | 2,364 | 40\% |
| Shark, Wobbegong | 2,036 | 1,975 | 97\% | - | - | - | 2,036 | 1,975 | 97\% |
| Silverbiddy | 1,038 | 870 | 84\% | 637 | 637 | 100\% | 401 | 284 | 71\% |
| Snapper | 140,030 | 26,634 | 19\% | 75,676 | 14,916 | 20\% | 64,354 | 13,839 | 22\% |
| Stargazer | 50 | 50 | 100\% | - | - | - | 50 | 50 | 100\% |
| Surgeonfish | 2,046 | 2,033 | 99\% | 2,046 | 2,033 | 99\% | - | - | - |
| Swallowtail Dart | 29,099 | 9,259 | 32\% | 6,330 | 3,243 | 51\% | 22,769 | 8,030 | 35\% |
| Sweep | 1,315 | 763 | 58\% | 366 | 366 | 100\% | 949 | 670 | 71\% |
| Sweetlip, Grass | 202 | 201 | 99\% | 202 | 201 | 99\% | - | - | - |
| Tailor | 55,924 | 16,240 | 29\% | 36,588 | 12,410 | 34\% | 19,337 | 6,809 | 35\% |
| Tarwhine | 12,148 | 8,251 | 68\% | 9,316 | 8,154 | 88\% | 2,832 | 1,127 | 40\% |
| Teraglin | 10,865 | 3,486 | 32\% | 9,176 | 3,169 | 35\% | 1,689 | 941 | 56\% |
| Toads/pufferfish | 4,126 | 1,860 | 45\% | 301 | 222 | 74\% | 3,824 | 1,847 | 48\% |
| Trevally, Giant | 167 | 120 | 72\% | - | - | - | 167 | 120 | 72\% |


| Appendix 3d - catch by Region <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Trevally, Golden | 100 | 100 | 100\% | - | - | - | 100 | 100 | 100\% |
| Trevally, other | 40 | 40 | 100\% | 40 | 40 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Trevally, Silver | 9,779 | 3,023 | 31\% | 2,110 | 986 | 47\% | 7,669 | 2,522 | 33\% |
| Trumpeter/grunters | 2,348 | 1,092 | 47\% | 304 | 302 | 99\% | 2,044 | 1,050 | 51\% |
| Tuna, Bonito | 9,334 | 4,097 | 44\% | 5,796 | 2,859 | 49\% | 3,537 | 2,616 | 74\% |
| Tuna, Mackerel | 11,847 | 6,237 | 53\% | 6,166 | 4,134 | 67\% | 5,682 | 2,748 | 48\% |
| Tuna, Northern Bluefin | 212 | 149 | 70\% | 212 | 149 | 70\% | - | - | - |
| Tuna, Skipjack | 4,365 | 3,261 | 75\% | 3,251 | 3,151 | 97\% | 1,114 | 840 | 75\% |
| Tuna, Yellowfin | 2,949 | 1,957 | 66\% | 2,552 | 1,661 | 65\% | 397 | 395 | 100\% |
| Tuskfish | 1,246 | 842 | 68\% | 1,180 | 836 | 71\% | 65 | 65 | 100\% |
| Wahoo | 855 | 806 | 94\% | 855 | 806 | 94\% | - | - | - |
| Whiting, Sand | 199,960 | 41,358 | 21\% | 69,616 | 19,344 | 28\% | 130,344 | 27,898 | 21\% |
| Whiting, School | 10,861 | 9,020 | 83\% | 348 | 208 | 60\% | 10,513 | 9,014 | 86\% |
| Whiting, Trumpeter | 81,368 | 64,375 | 79\% | 31,562 | 27,058 | 86\% | 49,806 | 37,757 | 76\% |
| Wrasse, Blue Groper | 912 | 587 | 64\% | 515 | 434 | 84\% | 397 | 395 | 100\% |
| Wrasse, Maori | 1,097 | 607 | 55\% | 199 | 198 | 100\% | 899 | 500 | 56\% |
| Wrasse, other | 1,148 | 728 | 63\% | - | - | - | 1,148 | 728 | 63\% |
| Wrasse, unspecified | 283 | 208 | 74\% | 100 | 100 | 100\% | 183 | 183 | 100\% |


| Appendix 3d - catch by Region <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Yellowtail Kingfish | 37,412 | 11,160 | 30\% | 15,513 | 4,492 | 29\% | 21,899 | 7,447 | 34\% |
| FINFISH - BAITFISHES - NORTHERN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue Mackerel | 48,564 | 15,777 | 32\% | 40,719 | 12,366 | 30\% | 7,845 | 4,689 | 60\% |
| Mullet | 8,739 | 5,073 | 58\% | 6,114 | 3,693 | 60\% | 2,624 | 1,683 | 64\% |
| Yellowtail Scad | 36,785 | 15,791 | 43\% | 28,711 | 12,284 | 43\% | 8,074 | 4,588 | 57\% |
| Other small baitfish | 14,676 | 11,636 | 79\% | 13,365 | 11,519 | 86\% | 1,310 | 862 | 66\% |
| CEPHALOPODS - NORTHERN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cuttlefish | 63 | 63 | 99\% | - | - | - | 63 | 63 | 99\% |
| Octopus | 550 | 271 | 49\% | 302 | 225 | 74\% | 248 | 152 | 61\% |
| Southern Calamari | 999 | 1,000 | 100\% | 999 | 1,000 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Squids | 2,632 | 1,724 | 65\% | 2,425 | 1,711 | 71\% | 207 | 206 | 99\% |
| CRABS \& LOBSTERS - NORTHERN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crab, Blue Swimmer | 27,829 | 16,083 | 58\% | 21,655 | 12,040 | 56\% | 6,174 | 4,989 | 81\% |
| Crab, Mud | 90,689 | 55,516 | 61\% | 41,158 | 23,505 | 57\% | 49,531 | 32,230 | 65\% |
| Crab, other | 1,009 | 1,005 | 100\% | 1,009 | 1,005 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Lobster, Rock | 5,220 | 3,912 | 75\% | 3,437 | 2,447 | 71\% | 1,783 | 1,516 | 85\% |
| Lobster, Tropical | 409 | 407 | 99\% | 409 | 407 | 99\% | - | - | - |
| PRAWNS \& YABBIES - NORTHER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Appendix 3d - catch by Region <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Ghost Nippers | 370,834 | 106,404 | 29\% | 356,258 | 105,149 | 30\% | 14,576 | 7,742 | 53\% |
| Prawns (saltwater) | 62,672 | 42,537 | 68\% | 62,672 | 42,537 | 68\% | - | - | - |
| MOLLUSCS - NORTHERN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abalone | 464 | 276 | 60\% | 464 | 276 | 60\% | - | - | - |
| Cockles | 206 | 206 | 100\% | 206 | 206 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Pipis | 104,209 | 71,745 | 69\% | 35,609 | 21,309 | 60\% | 68,601 | 68,507 | 100\% |
| WORMS - NORTHERN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beach worms | 28,122 | 13,244 | 47\% | 28,122 | 13,244 | 47\% | - | - | - |
| MISCELLANEOUS TAXA - NORTHERN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sea Urchin | 2,429 | 2,414 | 99\% | 2,429 | 2,414 | 99\% | - | - | - |
| FINFISH - CENTRAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Australian Salmon | 21,461 | 10,369 | 48\% | 14,171 | 7,450 | 53\% | 7,291 | 4,031 | 55\% |
| Australian Bass | 5,219 | 3,074 | 59\% | - | - | - | 5,219 | 3,074 | 59\% |
| Barracuda | 1,193 | 1,191 | 100\% | - | - | - | 1,193 | 1,191 | 100\% |
| Batfish/butter bream | 1,982 | 1,513 | 76\% | - | - | - | 1,982 | 1,513 | 76\% |
| Bream | 373,302 | 52,826 | 14\% | 86,878 | 17,978 | 21\% | 286,425 | 43,454 | 15\% |
| Bullseye | 1,193 | 1,191 | 100\% | 795 | 794 | 100\% | 398 | 397 | 100\% |


| Appendix 3d - catch by Region <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Catfish, eeltail | 1,279 | 831 | 65\% | - | - | - | 1,279 | 831 | 65\% |
| Catfish, forktail | 1,074 | 1,073 | 100\% | - | - | - | 1,074 | 1,073 | 100\% |
| Cobia | 973 | 751 | 77\% | 973 | 751 | 77\% | - | - | - |
| Cod/groupers | 773 | 546 | 71\% | - | - | - | 773 | 546 | 71\% |
| Dolphinfish | 20,779 | 8,395 | 40\% | 9,523 | 3,765 | 40\% | 11,256 | 5,908 | 52\% |
| Drummer, Rock Blackfish | 5,012 | 4,806 | 96\% | 2,504 | 2,404 | 96\% | 2,508 | 2,404 | 96\% |
| Drummer, Silver | 90 | 90 | 100\% | 90 | 90 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Eel | 8,233 | 5,419 | 66\% | 2,728 | 2,626 | 96\% | 5,505 | 2,959 | 54\% |
| Fish, unknown | 227 | 227 | 100\% | - | - | - | 227 | 227 | 100\% |
| Flathead, Dusky | 227,412 | 36,173 | 16\% | 122,064 | 25,484 | 21\% | 105,348 | 18,464 | 18\% |
| Flathead, Sand | 97,448 | 25,781 | 26\% | 41,875 | 13,590 | 32\% | 55,573 | 14,762 | 27\% |
| Flathead, Tiger | 994 | 992 | 100\% | 199 | 198 | 100\% | 795 | 794 | 100\% |
| Flounder/sole | 11,956 | 3,172 | 27\% | 5,780 | 1,905 | 33\% | 6,176 | 2,105 | 34\% |
| Garfish | 1,098 | 1,097 | 100\% | 1,098 | 1,097 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Gurnard | 273 | 272 | 100\% | 273 | 272 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Leatherjacket | 22,525 | 7,827 | 35\% | 14,074 | 6,878 | 49\% | 8,451 | 3,011 | 36\% |
| Lizardfish/Grinners | 1,106 | 875 | 79\% | - | - | - | 1,106 | 875 | 79\% |
| Long Tom | 293 | 231 | 79\% | - | - | - | 293 | 231 | 79\% |


| Appendix 3d - catch by Region <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Luderick | 31,890 | 24,347 | 76\% | 23,105 | 18,593 | 80\% | 8,785 | 5,882 | 67\% |
| Mackerel, Narrow-barred | 410 | 408 | 100\% | 137 | 136 | 100\% | 273 | 272 | 100\% |
| Mackerel, Spotted | 90 | 90 | 100\% | - | - | - | 90 | 90 | 100\% |
| Marlin, Black | 181 | 180 | 100\% | - | - | - | 181 | 180 | 100\% |
| Marlin, Blue | 181 | 180 | 100\% | 181 | 180 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Marlin, Striped | 23 | 23 | 100\% | - | - | - | 23 | 23 | 100\% |
| Morwong, Grey | 2,844 | 1,372 | 48\% | 2,411 | 1,304 | 54\% | 433 | 432 | 100\% |
| Morwong, Red | 4,088 | 2,451 | 60\% | 4,088 | 2,451 | 60\% | - | - | - |
| Mulloway | 6,783 | 2,805 | 41\% | 2,225 | 854 | 38\% | 4,557 | 2,265 | 50\% |
| Pigfish | 398 | 397 | 100\% | 398 | 397 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Pike | 8,645 | 4,814 | 56\% | 6,672 | 4,679 | 70\% | 1,973 | 1,013 | 51\% |
| Ray, other | 8,899 | 4,696 | 53\% | - | - | - | 8,899 | 4,696 | 53\% |
| Ray, Shovelnose | 6,896 | 3,528 | 51\% | 2,101 | 2,100 | 100\% | 4,795 | 1,916 | 40\% |
| Red Rock Cod | 29,861 | 13,536 | 45\% | 10,484 | 5,497 | 52\% | 19,377 | 8,728 | 45\% |
| Redfish | 189 | 143 | 76\% | - | - | - | 189 | 143 | 76\% |
| Sergeant Baker | 31,290 | 20,328 | 65\% | 4,870 | 3,634 | 75\% | 26,420 | 17,095 | 65\% |
| Shark, Gummy | 213 | 155 | 73\% | - | - | - | 213 | 155 | 73\% |
| Shark, Mako | 1,050 | 1,050 | 100\% | 1,050 | 1,050 | 100\% | - | - | - |


| Appendix 3d - catch by Region <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Shark, other | 657 | 552 | 84\% | - | - | - | 657 | 552 | 84\% |
| Shark, Port Jackson | 1,133 | 615 | 54\% | - | - | - | 1,133 | 615 | 54\% |
| Shark, Whaler | 885 | 712 | 80\% | 700 | 700 | 100\% | 185 | 130 | 71\% |
| Shark, Wobbegong | 525 | 525 | 100\% | - | - | - | 525 | 525 | 100\% |
| Snapper | 178,419 | 35,505 | 20\% | 38,620 | 12,485 | 32\% | 139,799 | 31,430 | 22\% |
| Surgeonfish | 22 | 22 | 100\% | - | - | - | 22 | 22 | 100\% |
| Swallowtail Dart | 2,472 | 1,635 | 66\% | 181 | 180 | 100\% | 2,292 | 1,625 | 71\% |
| Sweep | 8,021 | 6,927 | 86\% | 5,777 | 5,774 | 100\% | 2,243 | 1,588 | 71\% |
| Tailor | 127,182 | 27,778 | 22\% | 42,315 | 15,783 | 37\% | 84,867 | 19,302 | 23\% |
| Tarwhine | 9,987 | 3,745 | 37\% | 1,472 | 1,228 | 83\% | 8,515 | 3,551 | 42\% |
| Teraglin | 2,186 | 2,183 | 100\% | 2,186 | 2,183 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Toads/pufferfish | 2,322 | 1,249 | 54\% | 290 | 290 | 100\% | 2,031 | 1,216 | 60\% |
| Trevally, Silver | 20,431 | 6,770 | 33\% | 7,904 | 3,321 | 42\% | 12,526 | 5,564 | 44\% |
| Trumpeter/grunters | 5,469 | 2,652 | 48\% | 1,455 | 1,453 | 100\% | 4,014 | 1,766 | 44\% |
| Tuna, Bonito | 27,788 | 11,564 | 42\% | 13,620 | 4,518 | 33\% | 14,167 | 7,725 | 55\% |
| Tuna, Skipjack | 16,552 | 15,261 | 92\% | 82 | 82 | 100\% | 16,471 | 15,260 | 93\% |
| Whiting, Sand | 80,881 | 21,173 | 26\% | 27,410 | 8,697 | 32\% | 53,471 | 15,722 | 29\% |
| Whiting, Trumpeter | 1,475 | 1,378 | 93\% | - | - | - | 1,475 | 1,378 | 93\% |


| Appendix 3d - catch by Region <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Wrasse, Maori | 2,447 | 1,532 | 63\% | 2,218 | 1,515 | 68\% | 230 | 229 | 100\% |
| Wrasse, other | 4,776 | 3,290 | 69\% | 3,970 | 3,254 | 82\% | 806 | 486 | 60\% |
| Wrasse, unspecified | 551 | 426 | 77\% | - | - | - | 551 | 426 | 77\% |
| Yellowtail Kingfish | 49,953 | 20,555 | 41\% | 26,148 | 15,859 | 61\% | 23,805 | 8,357 | 35\% |
| FINFISH - BAITFISHES - CENTRAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue Mackerel | 25,314 | 12,101 | 48\% | 13,219 | 5,130 | 39\% | 12,095 | 8,425 | 70\% |
| Mullet | 21,007 | 12,205 | 58\% | 11,682 | 6,543 | 56\% | 9,325 | 6,600 | 71\% |
| Yellowtail Scad | 182,679 | 86,549 | 47\% | 132,158 | 54,673 | 41\% | 50,521 | 35,967 | 71\% |
| Other small baitfish | 12,721 | 9,226 | 73\% | 12,721 | 9,226 | 73\% | - | - | - |
| MOLLUSCS - CENTRAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cuttlefish | 115 | 115 | 100\% | 115 | 115 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Octopus | 1,806 | 1,192 | 66\% | 525 | 525 | 100\% | 1,281 | 1,075 | 84\% |
| Southern Calamari | 14,248 | 6,402 | 45\% | 14,248 | 6,402 | 45\% | - | - | - |
| Squids | 103,386 | 57,576 | 56\% | 96,402 | 57,149 | 59\% | 6,985 | 3,370 | 48\% |
| CRABS \& LOBSTERS - CENTRAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crab, Blue Swimmer | 51,006 | 21,403 | 42\% | 36,793 | 17,232 | 47\% | 14,213 | 5,211 | 37\% |
| Crab, Mud | 18,164 | 9,778 | 54\% | 14,522 | 7,196 | 50\% | 3,641 | 2,963 | 81\% |
| Crab, other | 10,504 | 10,498 | 100\% | 10,504 | 10,498 | 100\% | - | - | - |


| Appendix 3d - catch by Region <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Lobster, Rock | 3,983 | 2,558 | 64\% | 1,929 | 1,257 | 65\% | 2,054 | 1,440 | 70\% |
| PRAWNS \& YABBIES - CENTRAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ghost Nippers | 41,138 | 23,918 | 58\% | 33,187 | 17,903 | 54\% | 7,951 | 7,940 | 100\% |
| Prawns (saltwater) | 30,165 | 24,638 | 82\% | 30,165 | 24,638 | 82\% | - | - | - |
| MOLLUSCS - CENTRAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cockles | 611 | 610 | 100\% | 611 | 610 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| FINFISH - SOUTHERN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Australian Bass | 32,584 | 23,890 | 73\% | 246 | 245 | 100\% | 32,338 | 23,888 | 74\% |
| Australian Salmon | 32,258 | 11,725 | 36\% | 13,426 | 4,335 | 32\% | 18,832 | 9,077 | 48\% |
| Bream | 203,012 | 31,705 | 16\% | 47,077 | 9,722 | 21\% | 155,935 | 27,251 | 17\% |
| Catfish, eeltail | 881 | 592 | 67\% | - | - | - | 881 | 592 | 67\% |
| Dolphinfish | 617 | 614 | 99\% | 176 | 175 | 99\% | 441 | 438 | 99\% |
| Drummer, Rock Blackfish | 6,460 | 2,353 | 36\% | 3,370 | 1,273 | 38\% | 3,090 | 1,816 | 59\% |
| Drummer, Silver | 959 | 545 | 57\% | 218 | 171 | 78\% | 740 | 521 | 70\% |
| Eel | 1,103 | 493 | 45\% | - | - | - | 1,103 | 493 | 45\% |
| Flathead, Dusky | 354,306 | 67,579 | 19\% | 104,550 | 21,418 | 20\% | 249,756 | 50,569 | 20\% |
| Flathead, Sand | 481,128 | 100,714 | 21\% | 204,741 | 42,163 | 21\% | 276,387 | 62,368 | 23\% |
| Flathead, Tiger | 47,679 | 22,621 | 47\% | 24,636 | 13,328 | 54\% | 23,043 | 10,168 | 44\% |


| Appendix 3d - catch by Region <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Flounder/sole | 12,562 | 3,075 | 24\% | 4,798 | 1,463 | 30\% | 7,764 | 2,408 | 31\% |
| Garfish | 1,468 | 1,464 | 100\% | 1,468 | 1,464 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Gurnard | 2,314 | 1,512 | 65\% | 484 | 409 | 84\% | 1,831 | 1,148 | 63\% |
| Leatherjacket | 21,978 | 8,418 | 38\% | 10,694 | 4,142 | 39\% | 11,284 | 7,034 | 62\% |
| Long Tom | 177 | 176 | 100\% | 177 | 176 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Luderick | 23,139 | 7,563 | 33\% | 10,698 | 3,745 | 35\% | 12,440 | 5,734 | 46\% |
| Marlin, Black | 2,229 | 1,311 | 59\% | - | - | - | 2,229 | 1,311 | 59\% |
| Marlin, Striped | 1,436 | 1,015 | 71\% | 115 | 92 | 80\% | 1,321 | 927 | 70\% |
| Morwong, Grey | 29,276 | 8,275 | 28\% | 22,780 | 6,549 | 29\% | 6,496 | 3,076 | 47\% |
| Morwong, Red | 3,832 | 1,858 | 48\% | 3,832 | 1,858 | 48\% | - | - | - |
| Morwong, unspecified | 353 | 351 | 99\% | - | - | - | 353 | 351 | 99\% |
| Mulloway | 4,337 | 1,534 | 35\% | 2,342 | 1,125 | 48\% | 1,995 | 1,021 | 51\% |
| Pearl Perch | 5,644 | 5,613 | 99\% | 5,644 | 5,613 | 99\% | - | - | - |
| Perch, unspecified | 1,173 | 1,057 | 90\% | 1,173 | 1,057 | 90\% | - | - | - |
| Pigfish | 5,878 | 4,700 | 80\% | 5,714 | 4,694 | 82\% | 164 | 163 | 100\% |
| Pike | 4,180 | 1,964 | 47\% | 1,820 | 1,257 | 69\% | 2,359 | 1,512 | 64\% |
| Ray, other | 5,103 | 2,317 | 45\% | - | - | - | 5,103 | 2,317 | 45\% |
| Ray, Shovelnose | 1,093 | 658 | 60\% | - | - | - | 1,093 | 658 | 60\% |


| Appendix 3d - catch by Region <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Red Rock Cod | 17,414 | 5,094 | 29\% | 2,968 | 1,630 | 55\% | 14,446 | 4,553 | 32\% |
| Redfish | 26,851 | 10,978 | 41\% | 15,602 | 8,493 | 54\% | 11,249 | 6,870 | 61\% |
| Rock Cale/Kelpfish | 246 | 245 | 100\% | - | - | - | 246 | 245 | 100\% |
| Sergeant Baker | 16,126 | 7,011 | 43\% | 734 | 732 | 100\% | 15,392 | 6,973 | 45\% |
| Shark, Gummy | 1,759 | 1,105 | 63\% | 1,500 | 1,082 | 72\% | 258 | 193 | 75\% |
| Shark, Hammerhead | 88 | 88 | 99\% | - | - | - | 88 | 88 | 99\% |
| Shark, Mako | 353 | 351 | 99\% | - | - | - | 353 | 351 | 99\% |
| Shark, other | 2,556 | 2,508 | 98\% | - | - | - | 2,556 | 2,508 | 98\% |
| Shark, Port Jackson | 1,212 | 1,018 | 84\% | - | - | - | 1,212 | 1,018 | 84\% |
| Shark, School | 666 | 527 | 79\% | 164 | 163 | 100\% | 502 | 502 | 100\% |
| Shark, unspecified | 104 | 103 | 100\% | - | - | - | 104 | 103 | 100\% |
| Shark, Whaler | 4,432 | 2,077 | 47\% | 1,575 | 1,184 | 75\% | 2,856 | 1,707 | 60\% |
| Shark, Wobbegong | 237 | 235 | 99\% | - | - | - | 237 | 235 | 99\% |
| Silverbiddy | 6,851 | 6,832 | 100\% | - | - | - | 6,851 | 6,832 | 100\% |
| Snapper | 132,977 | 25,301 | 19\% | 43,238 | 10,045 | 23\% | 89,740 | 19,293 | 21\% |
| Swallowtail Dart | 1,148 | 1,145 | 100\% | - | - | - | 1,148 | 1,145 | 100\% |
| Sweep | 31,941 | 16,065 | 50\% | 6,907 | 4,043 | 59\% | 25,033 | 14,730 | 59\% |
| Tailor | 44,093 | 13,200 | 30\% | 11,011 | 3,836 | 35\% | 33,082 | 10,321 | 31\% |


| Appendix 3d - catch by Region <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Tarwhine | 8,493 | 5,281 | 62\% | 1,587 | 1,579 | 99\% | 6,906 | 5,040 | 73\% |
| Toads/pufferfish | 12,987 | 5,177 | 40\% | - | - | - | 12,987 | 5,177 | 40\% |
| Trevally, Silver | 19,047 | 6,462 | 34\% | 5,301 | 1,906 | 36\% | 13,747 | 5,388 | 39\% |
| Trumpeter, Bastard | 502 | 502 | 100\% | 502 | 502 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Trumpeter/grunters | 2,709 | 2,229 | 82\% | - | - | - | 2,709 | 2,229 | 82\% |
| Tuna, Bonito | 2,417 | 1,654 | 68\% | 1,814 | 1,199 | 66\% | 603 | 460 | 76\% |
| Tuna, Skipjack | 958 | 567 | 59\% | 831 | 525 | 63\% | 127 | 127 | 100\% |
| Tuna, Yellowfin | 619 | 441 | 71\% | 264 | 263 | 100\% | 354 | 354 | 100\% |
| Whiting, Sand | 59,942 | 13,845 | 23\% | 23,804 | 6,981 | 29\% | 36,138 | 9,684 | 27\% |
| Whiting, School | 19,078 | 13,010 | 68\% | 10,585 | 8,013 | 76\% | 8,493 | 5,090 | 60\% |
| Whiting, Trumpeter | 829 | 825 | 100\% | - | - | - | 829 | 825 | 100\% |
| Wrasse, Blue Groper | 279 | 199 | 72\% | - | - | - | 279 | 199 | 72\% |
| Wrasse, Maori | 5,477 | 2,320 | 42\% | 1,645 | 1,147 | 70\% | 3,832 | 1,958 | 51\% |
| Wrasse, other | 30,508 | 16,266 | 53\% | 3,662 | 2,799 | 76\% | 26,846 | 13,768 | 51\% |
| Wrasse, unspecified | 1,035 | 757 | 73\% | - | - | - | 1,035 | 757 | 73\% |
| Yellowtail Kingfish | 20,500 | 10,823 | 53\% | 4,130 | 2,334 | 57\% | 16,370 | 9,004 | 55\% |
| FINFISH - BAITFISHES - SOUTHERN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue Mackerel | 71,350 | 34,513 | 48\% | 59,751 | 33,802 | 57\% | 11,599 | 5,442 | 47\% |


| Appendix 3d - catch by Region <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Mullet | 31,702 | 19,534 | 62\% | 13,675 | 7,578 | 55\% | 18,026 | 16,189 | 90\% |
| Yellowtail Scad | 19,162 | 8,147 | 43\% | 11,611 | 5,347 | 46\% | 7,551 | 5,007 | 66\% |
| Other small baitfish | 5,938 | 3,445 | 58\% | 3,082 | 1,931 | 63\% | 2,856 | 2,853 | 100\% |
| MOLLUSCS - SOUTHERN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Octopus | 1,691 | 783 | 46\% | 519 | 370 | 71\% | 1,171 | 690 | 59\% |
| Squids | 10,466 | 4,457 | 43\% | 9,386 | 3,940 | 42\% | 1,080 | 713 | 66\% |
| CRABS \& LOBSTERS - SOUTHERN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crab, Blue Swimmer | 5,165 | 1,977 | 38\% | 4,586 | 1,766 | 38\% | 579 | 403 | 70\% |
| Crab, Mud | 2,573 | 1,579 | 61\% | 2,532 | 1,578 | 62\% | 41 | 41 | 100\% |
| Lobster, Rock | 1,126 | 730 | 65\% | 1,126 | 730 | 65\% | - | - | - |
| PRAWNS \& NIPPERS - SOUTHERN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ghost Nippers | 288,839 | 124,850 | 43\% | 272,765 | 121,954 | 45\% | 16,074 | 9,266 | 58\% |
| Prawns (saltwater) | 235,861 | 126,813 | 54\% | 234,972 | 126,810 | 54\% | 889 | 887 | 100\% |
| MOLLUSCS - SOUTHERN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abalone | 1,631 | 1,103 | 68\% | 1,631 | 1,103 | 68\% | - | - | - |
| Blue Mussel | 1,428 | 1,426 | 100\% | 1,428 | 1,426 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Pipis | 40,087 | 36,685 | 92\% | 40,087 | 36,685 | 92\% | - | - | - |
| WORMS - SOUTHERN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Appendix 3d - catch by Region <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Beach worms | 25,925 | 15,045 | 58\% | 25,925 | 15,045 | 58\% | - | - | - |
| MISCELLANEOUS TAXA - SOUTHERN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cunjevoi | 1,065 | 1,059 | 99\% | 1,065 | 1,059 | 99\% | - | - | - |
| FINFISH - EASTERN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Australian Bass | 204,142 | 50,884 | 25\% | 9,799 | 5,043 | 51\% | 194,343 | 50,447 | 26\% |
| Bream | 1,998 | 2,000 | 100\% | - | - | - | 1,998 | 2,000 | 100\% |
| Catfish, eeltail | 6,058 | 4,707 | 78\% | - | - | - | 6,058 | 4,707 | 78\% |
| Catfish, forktail | 4,774 | 3,798 | 80\% | - | - | - | 4,774 | 3,798 | 80\% |
| Eel | 1,522 | 934 | 61\% | - | - | - | 1,522 | 934 | 61\% |
| Flathead, Dusky | 200 | 200 | 100\% | 100 | 100 | 100\% | 100 | 100 | 100\% |
| Mullet | 6,998 | 5,776 | 83\% | 3,019 | 2,398 | 79\% | 3,979 | 3,463 | 87\% |
| European Carp | 59,251 | 53,096 | 90\% | 59,251 | 53,096 | 90\% | - | - | - |
| Golden Perch | 6,173 | 2,358 | 38\% | 918 | 412 | 45\% | 5,255 | 2,237 | 43\% |
| Murray Cod | 1,784 | 1,367 | 77\% | - | - | - | 1,784 | 1,367 | 77\% |
| Silver Perch | 6,293 | 6,149 | 98\% | - | - | - | 6,293 | 6,149 | 98\% |
| Trout, Brown | 10,101 | 5,970 | 59\% | 304 | 302 | 99\% | 9,798 | 5,824 | 59\% |
| Trout, Rainbow | 14,311 | 6,779 | 47\% | 2,166 | 1,443 | 67\% | 12,146 | 6,387 | 53\% |
| PRAWNS \& YABBIES - EASTERN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Appendix 3d - catch by Region <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Shrimp (freshwater) | 36,537 | 35,832 | 98\% | 36,537 | 35,832 | 98\% | - | - | - |
| Yabbies (freshwater) | 682 | 680 | 100\% | 682 | 680 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| MISCELLANEOUS TAXA - EASTERN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-fish, other | 24 | 23 | 100\% | - | - | - | 24 | 23 | 100\% |
| FINFISH - MURRAY-DARLING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Australian Bass | 1,667 | 905 | 54\% | - | - | - | 1,667 | 905 | 54\% |
| Catfish, eeltail | 15,153 | 8,513 | 56\% | 1,588 | 1,251 | 79\% | 13,565 | 7,330 | 54\% |
| Catfish, forktail | 46 | 46 | 99\% | - | - | - | 46 | 46 | 99\% |
| Bony Bream | 2,243 | 1,576 | 70\% | 92 | 91 | 100\% | 2,151 | 1,568 | 73\% |
| Eel | 227 | 227 | 100\% | 227 | 227 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| European Carp | 311,082 | 72,589 | 23\% | 311,082 | 72,589 | 23\% | - | - | - |
| Golden Perch | 188,065 | 32,220 | 17\% | 78,379 | 15,086 | 19\% | 109,685 | 22,801 | 21\% |
| Murray Cod | 414,893 | 54,674 | 13\% | 36,434 | 7,862 | 22\% | 378,459 | 50,752 | 13\% |
| Redfin Perch | 124,571 | 36,773 | 30\% | 108,053 | 35,429 | 33\% | 16,517 | 7,048 | 43\% |
| Silver Perch | 32,927 | 8,744 | 27\% | 4,433 | 2,161 | 49\% | 28,494 | 8,111 | 28\% |
| Spangled Perch | 8,764 | 7,037 | 80\% | - | - | - | 8,764 | 7,037 | 80\% |
| Trout Cod | 37,762 | 21,060 | 56\% | 2,813 | 2,011 | 71\% | 34,949 | 19,534 | 56\% |
| Trout, Brown | 38,397 | 15,624 | 41\% | 14,240 | 6,108 | 43\% | 24,157 | 9,962 | 41\% |


| Appendix 3d - catch by Region <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Trout, Rainbow | 31,977 | 11,556 | 36\% | 11,404 | 4,275 | 37\% | 20,573 | 7,940 | 39\% |
| CRABS \& LOBSTERS - MURRAY-DARLING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Murray Crayfish | 42,373 | 26,422 | 62\% | 3,967 | 2,507 | 63\% | 38,406 | 24,910 | 65\% |
| PRAWNS \& YABBIES - MURRAY-DARLING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shrimp (freshwater) | 431,777 | 150,161 | 35\% | 385,489 | 145,445 | 38\% | 46,288 | 31,233 | 67\% |
| Yabbies (freshwater) | 273,088 | 101,981 | 37\% | 172,854 | 46,590 | 27\% | 100,234 | 71,272 | 71\% |
| MISCELLANEOUS TAXA - MURRAY-DARLING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-fish, other | 2,992 | 1,069 | 36\% | - | - | - | 2,992 | 1,069 | 36\% |
| FINFISH - SOUTH-EAST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Golden Perch | 521 | 519 | 100\% | - | - | - | 521 | 519 | 100\% |
| Redfin Perch | 1,041 | 1,037 | 100\% | 1,041 | 1,037 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Silver Perch | 705 | 702 | 99\% | 705 | 702 | 99\% | - | - | - |
| Trout, Brown | 41,588 | 15,964 | 38\% | 23,322 | 9,497 | 41\% | 18,266 | 8,508 | 47\% |
| Trout, Rainbow | 38,912 | 14,844 | $38 \%$ | 15,025 | 4,280 | 28\% | 23,887 | 12,774 | 53\% |
| PRAWNS \& YABBIES - SOUTH-EAST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yabbies (freshwater) | 6,911 | 6,879 | 100\% | 6,911 | 6,879 | 100\% | - | - | - |

Appendix 3e. Annual recreational catch (total, kept and released numbers) caught by RFL households in NSW waters during 2017/18 by species/species group and fishing METHOD. Values in bold indicate a relative standard error $>40 \%$ and values in italics indicate fewer than 30 households recorded catches of the species/species group. Note: estimates are presented by reporting group and fishing Method then sorted by species/group common name.

| Appendix 3e - catch by Method <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| FINFISH - SALTWATER - LINE \& Bait |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amberjack | 652 | 513 | 79\% | 455 | 453 | 99\% | 196 | 121 | 61\% |
| Australian Salmon | 37,886 | 10,550 | 28\% | 22,790 | 7,811 | 34\% | 15,096 | 5,594 | 37\% |
| Barracuda | 1,431 | 1,215 | 85\% | - | - | - | 1,431 | 1,215 | 85\% |
| Bass Groper | 350 | 350 | 100\% | 350 | 350 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Batfish/butter bream | 1,677 | 1,036 | 62\% | - | - | - | 1,677 | 1,036 | 62\% |
| Bream | 613,598 | 58,846 | 10\% | 159,900 | 23,015 | 14\% | 453,698 | 45,154 | 10\% |
| Bullseye | 1,193 | 1,191 | 100\% | 795 | 794 | 100\% | 398 | 397 | 100\% |
| Cobia | 707 | 493 | 70\% | 583 | 444 | 76\% | 125 | 124 | 100\% |
| Cod/groupers | 6,292 | 2,134 | 34\% | 1,840 | 1,107 | 60\% | 4,453 | 1,681 | 38\% |
| Dolphinfish | 16,153 | 5,559 | 34\% | 10,093 | 3,630 | 36\% | 6,060 | 2,820 | 47\% |
| Drummer, Rock Blackfish | 19,211 | 9,554 | 50\% | 6,851 | 3,101 | 45\% | 12,361 | 6,820 | 55\% |
| Drummer, Silver | 646 | 457 | 71\% | - | - | - | 646 | 457 | 71\% |
| Flathead, Dusky | 323,243 | 38,438 | 12\% | 123,561 | 15,561 | 13\% | 199,682 | 27,856 | 14\% |
| Flathead, Sand | 497,261 | 89,867 | 18\% | 216,495 | 38,517 | 18\% | 280,766 | 55,426 | 20\% |
| Flathead, Tiger | 42,991 | 20,828 | 48\% | 22,086 | 11,913 | 54\% | 20,905 | 9,694 | 46\% |


| Appendix 3e - catch by Method <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Flounder/sole | 17,972 | 3,548 | 20\% | 8,065 | 1,944 | 24\% | 9,907 | 2,469 | 25\% |
| Garfish | 10,306 | 4,654 | 45\% | 8,960 | 4,495 | 50\% | 1,346 | 1,218 | 90\% |
| Gurnard | 1,523 | 889 | 58\% | 283 | 216 | 76\% | 1,240 | 737 | 59\% |
| Leatherjacket | 43,812 | 11,283 | 26\% | 24,607 | 8,035 | 33\% | 19,204 | 7,423 | 39\% |
| Lizardfish/Grinners | 1,106 | 875 | 79\% | - | - | - | 1,106 | 875 | 79\% |
| Long Tom | 537 | 298 | 56\% | 177 | 176 | 100\% | 360 | 241 | 67\% |
| Luderick | 75,152 | 27,308 | 36\% | 46,593 | 19,814 | 43\% | 28,559 | 9,246 | 32\% |
| Mackerel, Narrow-barred | 2,274 | 1,574 | 69\% | 2,031 | 1,466 | 72\% | 243 | 173 | 71\% |
| Mackerel, Spotted | 1,218 | 837 | 69\% | 1,127 | 833 | 74\% | 90 | 90 | 100\% |
| Mangrove Jack | 183 | 183 | 100\% | - | - | - | 183 | 183 | 100\% |
| Marlin, Black | 2,535 | 1,116 | 44\% | - | - | - | 2,535 | 1,116 | 44\% |
| Marlin, Striped | 1,185 | 836 | 71\% | 58 | 46 | 80\% | 1,127 | 790 | 70\% |
| Morwong, Grey | 25,807 | 7,379 | 29\% | 21,542 | 6,190 | 29\% | 4,265 | 2,274 | 53\% |
| Morwong, Red | 1,436 | 1,318 | 92\% | 1,436 | 1,318 | 92\% | - | - | - |
| Morwong, unspecified | 353 | 351 | 99\% | - | - | - | 353 | 351 | 99\% |
| Moses Snapper | 2,557 | 1,153 | 45\% | 905 | 478 | 53\% | 1,652 | 975 | 59\% |
| Mulloway | 15,591 | 3,191 | 20\% | 8,225 | 2,005 | 24\% | 7,366 | 2,129 | 29\% |
| Parrotfish | 1,982 | 978 | 49\% | 1,477 | 775 | 52\% | 506 | 323 | 64\% |


| Appendix 3e - catch by Method <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Pearl Perch | 6,068 | 2,340 | 39\% | 4,479 | 1,667 | 37\% | 1,589 | 900 | 57\% |
| Perch, unspecified | 687 | 538 | 78\% | 687 | 538 | 78\% | - | - | - |
| Pigfish | 6,318 | 4,717 | 75\% | 6,214 | 4,715 | 76\% | 104 | 84 | 82\% |
| Pike | 7,433 | 3,344 | 45\% | 5,067 | 3,174 | 63\% | 2,366 | 914 | 39\% |
| Ray, other | 15,691 | 3,904 | 25\% | - | - | - | 15,691 | 3,904 | 25\% |
| Ray, Shovelnose | 12,960 | 3,628 | 28\% | 1,909 | 1,592 | 83\% | 11,050 | 2,996 | 27\% |
| Red Rock Cod | 48,347 | 13,912 | 29\% | 10,908 | 5,104 | 47\% | 37,439 | 9,772 | 26\% |
| Redfish | 25,250 | 10,787 | 43\% | 14,206 | 8,292 | 58\% | 11,043 | 6,832 | 62\% |
| Rock Cale/Kelpfish | 246 | 245 | 100\% | - | - | - | 246 | 245 | 100\% |
| Sailfish | 101 | 101 | 99\% | - | - | - | 101 | 101 | 99\% |
| Sergeant Baker | 38,661 | 20,190 | 52\% | 4,847 | 3,419 | 71\% | 33,814 | 16,969 | 50\% |
| Shark, Gummy | 1,776 | 1,095 | 62\% | 1,461 | 1,078 | 74\% | 315 | 157 | 50\% |
| Shark, Hammerhead | 136 | 101 | 75\% | - | - | - | 136 | 101 | 75\% |
| Shark, Mako | 1,338 | 1,082 | 81\% | 1,050 | 1,050 | 100\% | 287 | 264 | 92\% |
| Shark, other | 3,274 | 2,588 | 79\% | - | - | - | 3,274 | 2,588 | 79\% |
| Shark, Port Jackson | 2,531 | 1,190 | 47\% | - | - | - | 2,531 | 1,190 | 47\% |
| Shark, School | 666 | 527 | 79\% | 164 | 163 | 100\% | 502 | 502 | 100\% |
| Shark, Tiger | 51 | 50 | 99\% | - | - | - | 51 | 50 | 99\% |


| Appendix 3e-catch by Method <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Shark, unspecified | 265 | 174 | 66\% | - | - | - | 265 | 174 | 66\% |
| Shark, Whaler | 7,429 | 2,273 | 31\% | 2,247 | 1,046 | 47\% | 5,182 | 1,969 | 38\% |
| Shark, Wobbegong | 2,535 | 2,006 | 79\% | - | - | - | 2,535 | 2,006 | 79\% |
| Silverbiddy | 7,039 | 6,835 | 97\% | - | - | - | 7,039 | 6,835 | 97\% |
| Snapper | 345,589 | 45,293 | 13\% | 109,600 | 18,000 | 16\% | 235,988 | 36,586 | 16\% |
| Stargazer | 50 | 50 | 100\% | - | - | - | 50 | 50 | 100\% |
| Surgeonfish | 22 | 22 | 100\% | - | - | - | 22 | 22 | 100\% |
| Swallowtail Dart | 29,710 | 9,109 | 31\% | 5,247 | 3,030 | 58\% | 24,463 | 8,136 | 33\% |
| Sweep | 31,540 | 15,384 | 49\% | 8,168 | 4,546 | 56\% | 23,372 | 14,295 | 61\% |
| Tailor | 99,323 | 19,357 | 19\% | 34,678 | 8,703 | 25\% | 64,646 | 14,937 | 23\% |
| Tarwhine | 24,640 | 9,453 | 38\% | 12,376 | 8,396 | 68\% | 12,264 | 4,311 | 35\% |
| Teraglin | 9,922 | 3,720 | 37\% | 8,809 | 3,560 | 40\% | 1,113 | 818 | 73\% |
| Toads/pufferfish | 16,424 | 4,272 | 26\% | 395 | 308 | 78\% | 16,029 | 4,261 | 27\% |
| Trevally, other | 40 | 40 | 100\% | 40 | 40 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Trevally, Silver | 34,393 | 7,903 | 23\% | 10,322 | 3,180 | 31\% | 24,071 | 6,762 | 28\% |
| Trumpeter/grunters | 8,710 | 3,429 | 39\% | 1,607 | 1,460 | 91\% | 7,103 | 2,796 | 39\% |
| Tuna, Bonito | 13,917 | 7,560 | 54\% | 7,742 | 3,283 | 42\% | 6,174 | 4,853 | 79\% |
| Tuna, Mackerel | 4,838 | 2,737 | 57\% | 2,063 | 1,286 | 62\% | 2,775 | 1,992 | 72\% |


| Appendix 3e - catch by Method <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Tuna, Northern Bluefin | 53 | 53 | 100\% | 53 | 53 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Tuna, Skipjack | 3,414 | 1,933 | 57\% | 1,717 | 1,578 | 92\% | 1,698 | 1,116 | 66\% |
| Tuna, Yellowfin | 851 | 539 | 63\% | 497 | 407 | 82\% | 354 | 354 | 100\% |
| Tuskfish | 877 | 552 | 63\% | 812 | 544 | 67\% | 65 | 65 | 100\% |
| Wahoo | 202 | 201 | 99\% | 202 | 201 | 99\% | - | - | - |
| Whiting, Sand | 243,925 | 40,424 | 17\% | 100,573 | 21,479 | 21\% | 143,352 | 23,272 | 16\% |
| Whiting, School | 29,135 | 15,793 | 54\% | 10,867 | 8,015 | 74\% | 18,267 | 10,296 | 56\% |
| Whiting, Trumpeter | 75,345 | 63,171 | 84\% | 30,299 | 26,973 | 89\% | 45,046 | 36,340 | 81\% |
| Wrasse, Blue Groper | 1,088 | 607 | 56\% | 470 | 427 | 91\% | 618 | 431 | 70\% |
| Wrasse, Maori | 7,353 | 2,479 | 34\% | 2,928 | 1,467 | 50\% | 4,425 | 1,975 | 45\% |
| Wrasse, other | 30,785 | 16,208 | 53\% | 4,851 | 3,204 | 66\% | 25,934 | 13,634 | 53\% |
| Wrasse, unspecified | 1,686 | 873 | 52\% | 100 | 100 | 100\% | 1,586 | 868 | 55\% |
| Yellowtail Kingfish | 58,356 | 20,732 | 36\% | 28,158 | 15,494 | 55\% | 30,198 | 8,840 | 29\% |
| FINFISH - FRESHWATER - LINE \& Bait |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bony Bream | 1,455 | 998 | 69\% | 92 | 91 | 100\% | 1,363 | 986 | 72\% |
| Bullrout | 40 | 40 | 100\% | - | - | - | 40 | 40 | 100\% |
| European Carp | 297,427 | 84,153 | 28\% | 297,427 | 84,153 | 28\% | - | - | - |
| Golden Perch | 83,051 | 13,555 | 16\% | 39,147 | 8,662 | 22\% | 43,904 | 8,932 | 20\% |


| Appendix 3e-catch by Method <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Murray Cod | 246,512 | 39,859 | 16\% | 20,109 | 4,010 | 20\% | 226,402 | 38,428 | 17\% |
| Redfin Perch | 26,394 | 8,288 | 31\% | 23,814 | 8,223 | 35\% | 2,580 | 1,163 | 45\% |
| Silver Perch | 27,011 | 8,547 | 32\% | 1,580 | 732 | 46\% | 25,432 | 8,515 | 33\% |
| Spangled Perch | 8,655 | 7,038 | 81\% | - | - | - | 8,655 | 7,038 | 81\% |
| Trout Cod | 18,841 | 10,523 | 56\% | 1,407 | 1,005 | 71\% | 17,435 | 9,760 | 56\% |
| Trout, Brown | 4,742 | 1,640 | 35\% | 4,102 | 1,497 | 36\% | 640 | 368 | 57\% |
| Trout, Rainbow | 10,674 | 3,448 | 32\% | 6,640 | 2,007 | 30\% | 4,034 | 1,963 | 49\% |
| FINFISH - SALT \& FRESH - LINE \& Bait |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Australian Bass | 19,468 | 6,070 | 31\% | 2,065 | 1,470 | 71\% | 17,404 | 5,515 | 32\% |
| Catfish, eeltail | 17,181 | 5,505 | 32\% | 1,080 | 678 | 63\% | 16,101 | 5,459 | 34\% |
| Catfish, forktail | 7,360 | 2,922 | 40\% | - | - | - | 7,360 | 2,922 | 40\% |
| Eel | 7,304 | 3,650 | 50\% | 1,940 | 1,840 | 95\% | 5,364 | 2,048 | 38\% |
| Fish, unknown | 114 | 113 | 100\% | - | - | - | 114 | 113 | 100\% |
| FINFISH - BAITFISHES - LINE \& Bait |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue Mackerel | 75,559 | 20,332 | 27\% | 52,723 | 15,928 | 30\% | 22,836 | 9,051 | 40\% |
| Mullet | 20,264 | 7,412 | 37\% | 15,426 | 5,938 | 38\% | 4,838 | 2,102 | 43\% |
| Yellowtail Scad | 171,134 | 75,197 | 44\% | 112,086 | 43,036 | 38\% | 59,049 | 36,169 | 61\% |
| Other small baitfish | 21,499 | 13,840 | 64\% | 20,439 | 13,811 | 68\% | 1,060 | 748 | 70\% |


| Appendix 3e - catch by Method <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| CEPHALOPODS - LINE \& Bait |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cuttlefish | 121 | 85 | 71\% | 57 | 57 | 100\% | 63 | 63 | 99\% |
| Octopus | 2,490 | 1,215 | 49\% | 394 | 281 | 71\% | 2,096 | 1,184 | 56\% |
| Southern Calamari | 5,759 | 3,551 | 62\% | 5,759 | 3,551 | 62\% | - | - | - |
| Squids | 23,121 | 10,052 | 43\% | 19,278 | 9,820 | 51\% | 3,842 | 1,645 | 43\% |
| CRABS \& LOBSTERS - LINE \& Bait |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crab, Blue Swimmer | 10,969 | 4,586 | 42\% | 7,274 | 3,834 | 53\% | 3,695 | 1,955 | 53\% |
| Crab, Mud | 2,747 | 2,166 | 79\% | 2,390 | 2,155 | 90\% | 357 | 218 | 61\% |
| Murray Crayfish | 956 | 551 | 58\% | - | - | - | 956 | 551 | 58\% |
| MISCELLANEOUS TAXA - LINE \& Bait |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-fish, other | 2,242 | 813 | 36\% | - | - | - | 2,242 | 813 | 36\% |
| FINFISH - SALTWATER - LINE \& Lure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amberjack | 734 | 425 | 58\% | 299 | 256 | 86\% | 436 | 275 | 63\% |
| Australian Salmon | 22,485 | 8,558 | 38\% | 10,974 | 4,214 | 38\% | 11,511 | 5,627 | 49\% |
| Barracuda | 239 | 238 | 100\% | - | - | - | 239 | 238 | 100\% |
| Batfish/butter bream | 1,655 | 868 | 52\% | - | - | - | 1,655 | 868 | 52\% |
| Bream | 327,147 | 48,263 | 15\% | 65,571 | 12,030 | 18\% | 261,576 | 41,340 | 16\% |
| Cobia | 531 | 329 | 62\% | 425 | 311 | 73\% | 106 | 106 | 100\% |


| Appendix 3e-catch by Method <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Cod/groupers | 4,838 | 1,685 | 35\% | 2,023 | 1,048 | 52\% | 2,815 | 947 | 34\% |
| Dolphinfish | 30,430 | 12,895 | 42\% | 14,622 | 6,515 | 45\% | 15,808 | 8,128 | 51\% |
| Drummer, Rock Blackfish | 258 | 187 | 72\% | - | - | - | 258 | 187 | 72\% |
| Drummer, Silver | 95 | 94 | 99\% | - | - | - | 95 | 94 | 99\% |
| Eastern Wirrah | 212 | 212 | 100\% | - | - | - | 212 | 212 | 100\% |
| Flathead, Dusky | 561,307 | 85,236 | 15\% | 184,890 | 30,375 | 16\% | 376,417 | 62,313 | 17\% |
| Flathead, Sand | 147,443 | 33,743 | 23\% | 61,450 | 15,322 | 25\% | 85,993 | 20,209 | 24\% |
| Flathead, Tiger | 5,902 | 3,284 | 56\% | 2,915 | 1,865 | 64\% | 2,986 | 1,777 | 60\% |
| Flounder/sole | 13,547 | 3,250 | 24\% | 4,669 | 1,389 | 30\% | 8,878 | 2,525 | 28\% |
| Garfish | 1,698 | 1,282 | 75\% | 76 | 76 | 100\% | 1,622 | 1,279 | 79\% |
| Gurnard | 1,064 | 757 | 71\% | 474 | 338 | 71\% | 590 | 508 | 86\% |
| Leatherjacket | 4,117 | 1,360 | 33\% | 1,365 | 725 | 53\% | 2,752 | 1,117 | 41\% |
| Long Tom | 290 | 290 | 100\% | - | - | - | 290 | 290 | 100\% |
| Luderick | 5,546 | 2,764 | 50\% | 1,833 | 888 | 48\% | 3,713 | 2,378 | 64\% |
| Mackerel, Narrow-barred | 822 | 376 | 46\% | 584 | 273 | 47\% | 238 | 169 | 71\% |
| Mackerel, Queensland School | 304 | 302 | 99\% | - | - | - | 304 | 302 | 99\% |
| Mackerel, Spotted | 475 | 428 | 90\% | 475 | 428 | 90\% | - | - | - |
| Mangrove Jack | 955 | 758 | 79\% | 202 | 201 | 99\% | 752 | 731 | 97\% |


| Appendix 3e - catch by Method <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Marlin, Black | 5,910 | 3,166 | 54\% | 200 | 200 | 100\% | 5,710 | 3,147 | 55\% |
| Marlin, Blue | 378 | 228 | 60\% | 181 | 180 | 100\% | 197 | 140 | 71\% |
| Marlin, Striped | 366 | 271 | 74\% | 58 | 46 | 80\% | 308 | 247 | 80\% |
| Morwong, Grey | 5,998 | 2,700 | 45\% | 3,173 | 1,375 | 43\% | 2,825 | 1,492 | 53\% |
| Morwong, Red | 972 | 799 | 82\% | 972 | 799 | 82\% | - | - | - |
| Moses Snapper | 613 | 417 | 68\% | 63 | 48 | 77\% | 550 | 413 | 75\% |
| Mulloway | 11,173 | 3,428 | 31\% | 5,007 | 1,607 | 32\% | 6,166 | 2,089 | 34\% |
| Parrotfish | 1,330 | 818 | 62\% | 979 | 581 | 59\% | 350 | 304 | 87\% |
| Pearl Perch | 11,419 | 6,054 | 53\% | 9,314 | 5,808 | 62\% | 2,104 | 1,240 | 59\% |
| Perch, unspecified | 587 | 528 | 90\% | 587 | 528 | 90\% | - | - | - |
| Pigfish | 184 | 137 | 74\% | 102 | 73 | 72\% | 82 | 82 | 100\% |
| Pike | 11,351 | 4,546 | 40\% | 4,199 | 2,510 | 60\% | 7,152 | 3,284 | 46\% |
| Ray, other | 7,440 | 2,690 | 36\% | - | - | - | 7,440 | 2,690 | 36\% |
| Ray, Shovelnose | 4,833 | 1,768 | 37\% | 525 | 525 | 100\% | 4,307 | 1,519 | 35\% |
| Red Rock Cod | 18,934 | 6,796 | 36\% | 3,246 | 1,357 | 42\% | 15,687 | 6,425 | 41\% |
| Redfish | 4,543 | 2,234 | 49\% | 2,811 | 1,942 | 69\% | 1,731 | 946 | 55\% |
| Sergeant Baker | 14,277 | 5,942 | 42\% | 1,521 | 942 | 62\% | 12,756 | 5,868 | 46\% |
| Shark, Gummy | 245 | 128 | 52\% | 89 | 63 | 71\% | 157 | 111 | 71\% |


| Appendix 3e - catch by Method <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Shark, Hammerhead | 44 | 44 | 99\% | - | - | - | 44 | 44 | 99\% |
| Shark, Mako | 111 | 91 | 82\% | - | - | - | 111 | 91 | 82\% |
| Shark, other | 364 | 204 | 56\% | - | - | - | 364 | 204 | 56\% |
| Shark, Port Jackson | 363 | 233 | 64\% | - | - | - | 363 | 233 | 64\% |
| Shark, Tiger | 51 | 50 | 99\% | - | - | - | 51 | 50 | 99\% |
| Shark, unspecified | 163 | 114 | 70\% | - | - | - | 163 | 114 | 70\% |
| Shark, Whaler | 4,503 | 1,830 | 41\% | 822 | 566 | 69\% | 3,681 | 1,685 | 46\% |
| Shark, Wobbegong | 263 | 262 | 100\% | - | - | - | 263 | 262 | 100\% |
| Silverbiddy | 849 | 850 | 100\% | 637 | 637 | 100\% | 212 | 212 | 100\% |
| Snapper | 105,565 | 17,866 | 17\% | 47,934 | 10,436 | 22\% | 57,632 | 9,179 | 16\% |
| Swallowtail Dart | 3,009 | 1,794 | 60\% | 1,264 | 884 | 70\% | 1,745 | 934 | 54\% |
| Sweep | 9,737 | 5,151 | 53\% | 4,883 | 2,963 | 61\% | 4,854 | 2,742 | 56\% |
| Tailor | 127,740 | 27,220 | 21\% | 55,100 | 17,946 | 33\% | 72,640 | 16,166 | 22\% |
| Tarwhine | 5,988 | 2,940 | 49\% | - | - | - | 5,988 | 2,940 | 49\% |
| Teraglin | 3,130 | 1,357 | 43\% | 2,554 | 1,022 | 40\% | 576 | 439 | 76\% |
| Toads/pufferfish | 3,010 | 2,120 | 70\% | 197 | 196 | 100\% | 2,813 | 2,110 | 75\% |
| Trevally, Giant | 167 | 120 | 72\% | - | - | - | 167 | 120 | 72\% |
| Trevally, Golden | 100 | 100 | 100\% | - | - | - | 100 | 100 | 100\% |


| Appendix 3e - catch by Method <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Trevally, Silver | 14,440 | 3,841 | 27\% | 4,569 | 1,645 | 36\% | 9,871 | 2,831 | 29\% |
| Trumpeter/grunters | 1,815 | 1,136 | 63\% | 152 | 151 | 99\% | 1,664 | 1,126 | 68\% |
| Tuna, Bonito | 22,191 | 9,357 | 42\% | 10,058 | 3,515 | 35\% | 12,133 | 6,457 | 53\% |
| Tuna, Mackerel | 7,009 | 4,598 | 66\% | 4,103 | 2,985 | 73\% | 2,906 | 1,893 | 65\% |
| Tuna, Northern Bluefin | 159 | 118 | 74\% | 159 | 118 | 74\% | - | - | - |
| Tuna, Skipjack | 18,461 | 15,304 | 83\% | 2,447 | 1,660 | 68\% | 16,014 | 15,227 | 95\% |
| Tuna, Yellowfin | 2,717 | 1,670 | 61\% | 2,320 | 1,427 | 61\% | 397 | 395 | 100\% |
| Tuskfish | 369 | 367 | 100\% | 369 | 367 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Wahoo | 653 | 605 | 93\% | 653 | 605 | 93\% | - | - | - |
| Whiting, Sand | 94,936 | 22,277 | 23\% | 18,335 | 3,849 | 21\% | 76,601 | 20,935 | 27\% |
| Whiting, School | 804 | 637 | 79\% | 65 | 65 | 100\% | 738 | 620 | 84\% |
| Whiting, Trumpeter | 8,326 | 7,210 | 87\% | 1,263 | 1,236 | 98\% | 7,063 | 5,984 | 85\% |
| Wrasse, Blue Groper | 103 | 73 | 71\% | 45 | 45 | 100\% | 57 | 57 | 100\% |
| Wrasse, Maori | 1,669 | 759 | 45\% | 1,134 | 633 | 56\% | 535 | 340 | 64\% |
| Wrasse, other | 5,647 | 2,470 | 44\% | 2,780 | 1,731 | 62\% | 2,866 | 1,555 | 54\% |
| Wrasse, unspecified | 183 | 183 | 100\% | - | - | - | 183 | 183 | 100\% |
| Yellowtail Kingfish | 45,600 | 11,422 | 25\% | 13,724 | 3,824 | 28\% | 31,876 | 8,632 | 27\% |
| FINFISH - FRESHWATER - LINE \& Lure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Appendix 3e-catch by Method <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Bony Bream | 788 | 587 | 75\% | - | - | - | 788 | 587 | 75\% |
| European Carp | 69,774 | 18,963 | 27\% | 69,774 | 18,963 | 27\% | - | - | - |
| Golden Perch | 111,707 | 25,554 | 23\% | 40,150 | 9,697 | 24\% | 71,557 | 19,973 | 28\% |
| Murray Cod | 170,165 | 30,017 | 18\% | 16,325 | 4,547 | 28\% | 153,840 | 27,474 | 18\% |
| Redfin Perch | 99,218 | 32,784 | 33\% | 85,280 | 31,360 | 37\% | 13,938 | 6,796 | 49\% |
| Silver Perch | 12,914 | 4,659 | 36\% | 3,559 | 2,099 | 59\% | 9,356 | 3,400 | 36\% |
| Spangled Perch | 109 | 108 | 100\% | - | - | - | 109 | 108 | 100\% |
| Trout Cod | 18,921 | 11,670 | 62\% | 1,407 | 1,005 | 71\% | 17,514 | 10,986 | 63\% |
| Trout, Brown | 85,344 | 22,763 | 27\% | 33,763 | 10,453 | 31\% | 51,581 | 14,523 | 28\% |
| Trout, Rainbow | 74,526 | 23,980 | 32\% | 21,954 | 6,219 | 28\% | 52,572 | 19,152 | 36\% |
| FINFISH - SALT \& FRESH - LINE \& Lure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Australian Bass | 226,222 | 61,078 | 27\% | 9,077 | 4,837 | 53\% | 217,145 | 60,807 | 28\% |
| Catfish, eeltail | 11,112 | 7,770 | 70\% | 614 | 610 | 99\% | 10,498 | 7,170 | 68\% |
| Catfish, forktail | 4,191 | 2,055 | 49\% | - | - | - | 4,191 | 2,055 | 49\% |
| Eel | 4,167 | 2,094 | 50\% | 788 | 787 | 100\% | 3,380 | 1,453 | 43\% |
| Fish, unknown | 114 | 113 | 100\% | - | - | - | 114 | 113 | 100\% |
| FINFISH - BAITFISHES - LINE \& Lure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue Mackerel | 69,670 | 30,442 | 44\% | 60,966 | 29,757 | 49\% | 8,704 | 3,709 | 43\% |


| Appendix 3e-catch by Method <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Mullet | 8,596 | 4,725 | 55\% | 1,788 | 1,224 | 68\% | 6,808 | 3,791 | 56\% |
| Yellowtail Scad | 67,491 | 19,979 | 30\% | 60,395 | 18,678 | 31\% | 7,097 | 2,934 | 41\% |
| Other small baitfish | 5,636 | 3,428 | 61\% | 2,530 | 1,673 | 66\% | 3,106 | 2,864 | 92\% |
| CEPHALOPODS - LINE \& Lure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cuttlefish | 57 | 57 | 100\% | 57 | 57 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Octopus | 1,215 | 532 | 44\% | 651 | 440 | 68\% | 564 | 300 | 53\% |
| Southern Calamari | 8,657 | 3,698 | 43\% | 8,657 | 3,698 | 43\% | - | - | - |
| Squids | 91,182 | 48,357 | 53\% | 86,752 | 47,990 | 55\% | 4,429 | 2,709 | 61\% |
| CRABS \& LOBSTERS - LINE \& Lure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crab, Blue Swimmer | 1,626 | 614 | 38\% | 1,224 | 536 | 44\% | 402 | 245 | 61\% |
| Murray Crayfish | 337 | 336 | 100\% | - | - | - | 337 | 336 | 100\% |
| MISCELLANEOUS TAXA - LINE \& Lure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-fish, other | 774 | 401 | 52\% | - | - | - | 774 | 401 | 52\% |
| FINFISH - SALTWATER - POT/TRAP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bream | 275 | 194 | 71\% | 136 | 136 | 100\% | 139 | 138 | 100\% |
| Flathead, Dusky | 347 | 249 | 72\% | 347 | 249 | 72\% | - | - | - |
| Leatherjacket | 91 | 91 | 100\% | 91 | 91 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Shark, Whaler | 94 | 94 | 100\% | - | - | - | 94 | 94 | 100\% |


| Appendix 3e - catch by Method <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Snapper | 273 | 272 | 100\% | - | - | - | 273 | 272 | 100\% |
| FINFISH - FRESHWATER- POT/TRAP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| European Carp | 2,751 | 2,727 | 99\% | 2,751 | 2,727 | 99\% | - | - | - |
| FINFISH - SALT \& FRESH - POT/TRAP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eel | 227 | 227 | 100\% | 227 | 227 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| FINFISH - BAITFISHES - POT/TRAP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mullet | 36,896 | 21,840 | 59\% | 14,587 | 7,605 | 52\% | 22,309 | 17,270 | 77\% |
| Other small baitfish | 6,200 | 4,973 | 80\% | 6,200 | 4,973 | 80\% | - | - | - |
| MOLLUSCS - POT/TRAP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Octopus | 40 | 40 | 100\% | - | - | - | 40 | 40 | 100\% |
| Squids | 1,636 | 1,635 | 100\% | 1,636 | 1,635 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| CRABS \& LOBSTERS - POT/TRAP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crab, Blue Swimmer | 71,406 | 26,130 | 37\% | 54,537 | 20,456 | 38\% | 16,869 | 6,916 | 41\% |
| Crab, Mud | 108,678 | 56,340 | 52\% | 55,822 | 24,520 | 44\% | 52,856 | 32,365 | 61\% |
| Lobster, Rock | 416 | 416 | 100\% | 119 | 119 | 100\% | 297 | 297 | 100\% |
| Murray Crayfish | 41,080 | 26,399 | 64\% | 3,967 | 2,507 | 63\% | 37,114 | 24,885 | 67\% |
| PRAWNS \& YABBIES - POT/TRAP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shrimp (freshwater) | 421,850 | 151,540 | 36\% | 377,669 | 146,907 | 39\% | 44,180 | 31,163 | 71\% |


| Appendix 3e - catch by Method | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reporting \& Species/group | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Yabbies (freshwater) | 206,126 | 95,753 | 46\% | 116,437 | 37,116 | 32\% | 89,689 | 70,637 | 79\% |
| MOLLUSCS - POT/TRAP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cockles | 206 | 206 | 100\% | 206 | 206 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| FINFISH - FRESHWATER - NET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| European Carp | 379 | 377 | 99\% | 379 | 377 | 99\% | - | - | - |
| FINFISH - BAITFISHES - NET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mullet | 2,145 | 2,132 | 99\% | 2,145 | 2,132 | 99\% | - | - | - |
| PRAWNS \& YABBIES - NET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prawns (saltwater) | 328,698 | 140,954 | 43\% | 327,809 | 140,951 | 43\% | 889 | 887 | 100\% |
| Shrimp (freshwater) | 46,464 | 28,139 | 61\% | 44,357 | 27,873 | 63\% | 2,107 | 2,095 | 99\% |
| Yabbies (freshwater) | 72,868 | 36,272 | 50\% | 62,322 | 29,153 | 47\% | 10,546 | 9,604 | 91\% |
| FINFISH - SALTWATER - DIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Australian Salmon | 185 | 184 | 100\% | 185 | 184 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Bream | 2,946 | 1,737 | 59\% | 2,946 | 1,737 | 59\% | - | - | - |
| Cobia | 1,098 | 805 | 73\% | 1,098 | 805 | 73\% | - | - | - |
| Dolphinfish | 697 | 505 | 72\% | 697 | 505 | 72\% | - | - | - |
| Drummer, Rock Blackfish | 3,132 | 2,098 | 67\% | 3,132 | 2,098 | 67\% | - | - | - |
| Drummer, Silver | 391 | 266 | 68\% | 391 | 266 | 68\% | - | - | - |


| Appendix 3e - catch by Method <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Flathead, Dusky | 1,852 | 1,151 | 62\% | 1,852 | 1,151 | 62\% | - | - | - |
| Flathead, Sand | 3,898 | 3,511 | 90\% | 3,898 | 3,511 | 90\% | - | - | - |
| Leatherjacket | 5,043 | 2,547 | 51\% | 5,043 | 2,547 | 51\% | - | - | - |
| Luderick | 2,846 | 1,496 | 53\% | 2,846 | 1,496 | 53\% | - | - | - |
| Mackerel, Narrow-barred | 205 | 203 | 99\% | 205 | 203 | 99\% | - | - | - |
| Morwong, Grey | 2,055 | 1,196 | 58\% | 2,055 | 1,196 | 58\% | - | - | - |
| Morwong, Red | 6,245 | 2,484 | 40\% | 6,245 | 2,484 | 40\% | - | - | - |
| Mullet | 545 | 545 | 100\% | 545 | 545 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Mulloway | 409 | 407 | 99\% | 409 | 407 | 99\% | - | - | - |
| Pigfish | 202 | 201 | 99\% | 202 | 201 | 99\% | - | - | - |
| Red Rock Cod | 573 | 544 | 95\% | 573 | 544 | 95\% | - | - | - |
| Surgeonfish | 2,046 | 2,033 | 99\% | 2,046 | 2,033 | 99\% | - | - | - |
| Sweetlip, Grass | 202 | 201 | 99\% | 202 | 201 | 99\% | - | - | - |
| Tailor | 136 | 136 | 100\% | 136 | 136 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Trevally, Silver | 424 | 425 | 100\% | 424 | 425 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Trumpeter, Bastard | 502 | 502 | 100\% | 502 | 502 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Tuna, Bonito | 3,430 | 2,418 | 70\% | 3,430 | 2,418 | 70\% | - | - | - |
| Whiting, Sand | 1,923 | 1,095 | 57\% | 1,923 | 1,095 | 57\% | - | - | - |


| Appendix 3e - catch by Method <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Yellowtail Kingfish | 3,909 | 3,186 | 81\% | 3,909 | 3,186 | 81\% | - | - | - |
| CEPHALOPODS - DIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Octopus | 302 | 225 | 74\% | 302 | 225 | 74\% | - | - | - |
| Southern Calamari | 832 | 830 | 100\% | 832 | 830 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Squids | 546 | 543 | 100\% | 546 | 543 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| CRABS \& LOBSTERS - DIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crab, other | 10,504 | 10,498 | 100\% | 10,504 | 10,498 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Lobster, Rock | 9,912 | 5,045 | 51\% | 6,373 | 3,173 | 50\% | 3,540 | 2,069 | 58\% |
| Lobster, Tropical | 409 | 407 | 99\% | 409 | 407 | 99\% | - | - | - |
| MOLLUSCS - DIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abalone | 2,094 | 1,183 | 56\% | 2,094 | 1,183 | 56\% | - | - | - |
| MISCELLANEOUS TAXA - DIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sea Urchin | 2,429 | 2,414 | 99\% | 2,429 | 2,414 | 99\% | - | - | - |
| CRABS \& LOBSTERS - OTHER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crab, other | 1,009 | 1,005 | 100\% | 1,009 | 1,005 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| PRAWNS \& YABBIES - OTHER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ghost Nippers | 700,810 | 166,029 | 24\% | 662,210 | 161,832 | 24\% | 38,600 | 15,160 | 39\% |
| Yabbies (freshwater) | 1,687 | 1,678 | 99\% | 1,687 | 1,678 | 99\% | - | - | - |


| Appendix 3e - catch by Method <br> Reporting \& Species/group | TOTAL |  |  | KEPT |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| MOLLUSCS - OTHER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue Mussel | 1,428 | 1,426 | 100\% | 1,428 | 1,426 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Cockles | 611 | 610 | 100\% | 611 | 610 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Pipis | 144,296 | 80,579 | 56\% | 75,696 | 42,424 | 56\% | 68,601 | 68,507 | 100\% |
| WORMS - OTHER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beach worms | 58,669 | 27,231 | 47\% | 58,669 | 27,231 | 47\% | - | - | - |
| MISCELLANEOUS TAXA - OTHER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cunjevoi | 1,065 | 1,059 | 99\% | 1,065 | 1,059 | 99\% | - | - | - |

Appendix 4. Number of animals released and reasons for release for species/groups caught by RFL households during 2017/18.

| Appendix 4. Release reason <br> Reporting \& Species/group | Total Released |  | Too Small <br> No. | Undersized <br> No. | Too Many <br> No. | Over Bag <br> No. | Catch/Release <br> No. | Unwanted <br> No. | Berried <br> Female <br> No. | Other <br> No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | SE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FINFISH - SALTWATER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amberjack | 632 | 306 | 145 | 46 | 137 | - | 102 | 202 | - | - |
| Australian Salmon | 26,607 | 9,939 | 1,977 | 5,691 | 8,582 | - | 9,266 | 1,091 | - | - |
| Barracuda | 1,670 | 1,283 | - | - | - | - | - | 1,670 | - | - |
| Batfish/butter bream | 3,332 | 1,653 | 1,170 | 822 | 330 | - | 1,010 | - | - | - |
| Bream | 715,412 | 64,663 | 91,884 | 368,790 | 15,736 | 769 | 215,821 | 22,015 | - | 398 |
| Bullseye | 398 | 397 | - | 398 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cobia | 231 | 163 | - | - | - | - | 106 | 125 | - | - |
| Cod/groupers | 7,267 | 1,954 | 850 | 3,099 | 584 | - | 1,314 | 1,419 | - | - |
| Dolphinfish | 21,867 | 10,256 | 5,031 | 7,359 | 4,756 | - | 4,523 | 199 | - | - |
| Drummer, Rock Blackfish | 12,619 | 6,822 | 508 | 6,613 | 4,668 | - | 653 | 176 | - | - |
| Drummer, Silver | 740 | 521 | - | 457 | - | - | 284 | - | - | - |
| Eastern Wirrah | 212 | 212 | - | - | - | - | - | 212 | - | - |
| Flathead, Dusky | 576,099 | 73,290 | 42,413 | 324,953 | 60,078 | - | 140,860 | 4,564 | - | 3,230 |
| Flathead, Sand | 366,758 | 64,745 | 70,384 | 218,042 | 44,711 | 8,268 | 25,027 | 326 | - | - |
| Flathead, Tiger | 23,891 | 10,199 | 795 | 13,658 | 8,057 | - | - | 1,382 | - | - |
| Flounder/sole | 18,785 | 3,750 | 3,536 | 6,418 | 353 | - | 6,371 | 2,107 | - | - |
| Garfish | 2,968 | 2,463 | - | 406 | - | - | 2,562 | - | - | - |
| Gurnard | 1,831 | 1,148 | - | 804 | - | - | - | 1,027 | - | - |
| Leatherjacket | 21,956 | 7,720 | 2,573 | 4,789 | 1,576 | - | 3,478 | 9,540 | - | - |
| Lizardfish/Grinners | 1,106 | 875 | - | - | - | - | 273 | 833 | - | - |
| Long Tom | 650 | 377 | 67 | - | - | - | 290 | 293 | - | - |


| Appendix 4. Release reason <br> Reporting \& Species/group | Total Released |  | Too Small <br> No. | Undersized <br> No. | Too Many <br> No. | Over Bag <br> No. | Catch/Release <br> No. | Unwanted <br> No. | Berried <br> Female <br> No. | Other <br> No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | SE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Luderick | 32,272 | 9,567 | 1,017 | 14,702 | 7,517 | 242 | 8,794 | - | - | - |
| Mackerel, Narrow-barred | 481 | 309 | 273 | 207 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mackerel, Queensland School | 304 | 302 | - | - | - | - | - | 304 | - | - |
| Mackerel, Spotted | 90 | 90 | - | 90 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mangrove Jack | 936 | 914 | - | - | - | - | 936 | - | - | - |
| Marlin, Black | 8,245 | 3,468 | - | - | 383 | - | 7,582 | 281 | - | - |
| Marlin, Blue | 197 | 140 | - | - | - | - | 197 | - | - | - |
| Marlin, Striped | 1,436 | 931 | - | - | 107 | - | 1,328 | - | - | - |
| Morwong, Grey | 7,090 | 3,109 | - | 5,037 | 380 | - | 698 | 975 | - | - |
| Morwong, unspecified | 353 | 351 | - | - | - | - | 353 | - | - | - |
| Moses Snapper | 2,202 | 1,357 | 110 | 700 | - | - | 1,102 | 290 | - | - |
| Mulloway | 13,533 | 3,497 | 474 | 4,659 | 609 | - | 7,421 | 370 | - | - |
| Parrotfish | 856 | 618 | 375 | 421 | 40 | - | 20 | - | - | - |
| Pearl Perch | 3,693 | 1,689 | 943 | 1,651 | - | - | 999 | 100 | - | - |
| Pigfish | 186 | 165 | - | 164 | - | - | - | 22 | - | - |
| Pike | 9,518 | 3,528 | 95 | 218 | 2,689 | - | 2,648 | 3,869 | - | - |
| Ray, other | 23,131 | 5,742 | - | - | - | - | 9,255 | 13,358 | - | 518 |
| Ray, Shovelnose | 15,358 | 3,824 | - | - | - | - | 5,487 | 9,871 | - | - |
| Red Rock Cod | 53,127 | 12,411 | 3,391 | 19,271 | 1,341 | - | 13,538 | 15,585 | - | - |
| Redfish | 12,775 | 6,923 | 5,614 | 3,472 | 367 | - | 178 | 3,143 | - | - |
| Rock Cale/Kelpfish | 246 | 245 | 164 | - | - | - | - | 82 | - | - |
| Sailfish | 101 | 101 | - | - | - | - | - | 101 | - | - |
| Sergeant Baker | 46,570 | 18,641 | 312 | 10,254 | 16,472 | - | 5,978 | 13,554 | - | - |


| Appendix 4. Release reason <br> Reporting \& Species/group | Total Released |  | Too Small <br> No. | Undersized <br> No. | Too Many <br> No. | Over Bag <br> No. | Catch/Release <br> No. | Unwanted <br> No. | Berried <br> Female <br> No. | Other <br> No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | SE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shark, Gummy | 471 | 248 | - | - | - | - | 77 | 395 | - | - |
| Shark, Hammerhead | 180 | 127 | - | - | - | - | - | 180 | - | - |
| Shark, Mako | 398 | 354 | - | - | - | - | - | 398 | - | - |
| Shark, other | 3,638 | 2,619 | - | 47 | 135 | - | 305 | 3,151 | - | - |
| Shark, Port Jackson | 2,894 | 1,255 | - | - | - | - | 1,568 | 1,326 | - | - |
| Shark, School | 502 | 502 | - | - | - | - | - | 502 | - | - |
| Shark, Tiger | 101 | 101 | - | - | - | - | - | 101 | - | - |
| Shark, unspecified | 428 | 210 | - | - | - | - | 152 | 276 | - | - |
| Shark, Whaler | 8,958 | 2,917 | 500 | 999 | - | - | 4,824 | 2,635 | - | - |
| Shark, Wobbegong | 2,797 | 2,057 | 237 | - | - | 1,983 | 52 | 525 | - | - |
| Silverbiddy | 7,252 | 6,838 | 212 | - | - | - | 6,851 | 189 | - | - |
| Snapper | 293,893 | 39,277 | 41,403 | 180,212 | 22,697 | - | 49,108 | 473 | - | - |
| Stargazer | 50 | 50 | - | - | - | - | 50 | - | - | - |
| Surgeonfish | 22 | 22 | - | - | - | - | 22 | - | - | - |
| Swallowtail Dart | 26,208 | 8,256 | 577 | 9,968 | 67 | - | 11,858 | 3,739 | - | - |
| Sweep | 28,226 | 14,830 | 2,243 | 11,300 | 3,333 | - | 627 | 10,723 | - | - |
| Tailor | 137,286 | 22,912 | 10,174 | 82,991 | 8,219 | - | 28,864 | 7,038 | - | - |
| Tarwhine | 18,253 | 6,257 | 767 | 6,490 | - | - | 8,799 | 2,196 | - | - |
| Teraglin | 1,689 | 941 | - | 1,689 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Toads/pufferfish | 18,842 | 5,614 | - | - | - | - | 2,233 | 16,610 | - | - |
| Trevally, Giant | 167 | 120 | - | - | - | - | 67 | 100 | - | - |
| Trevally, Golden | 100 | 100 | - | - | - | - | 100 | - | - | - |
| Trevally, Silver | 33,942 | 8,133 | 3,448 | 16,495 | 857 | - | 9,348 | 3,793 | - | - |


| Appendix 4. Release reason <br> Reporting \& Species/group | Total Released |  | Too Small <br> No. | Undersized <br> No. | Too <br> Many <br> No. | Over Bag <br> No. | Catch/Release <br> No. | Unwanted <br> No. | Berried <br> Female <br> No. | Other <br> No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | SE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trumpeter/grunters | 8,767 | 3,005 | 3,919 | 1,908 | - | - | 2,438 | 502 | - | - |
| Tuna, Bonito | 18,307 | 8,199 | 3,426 | 5,610 | 1,532 | - | 6,785 | 955 | - | - |
| Tuna, Mackerel | 5,682 | 2,748 | - | - | 4,345 | - | 202 | 1,134 | - | - |
| Tuna, Skipjack | 17,712 | 15,283 | 511 | 454 | 310 | - | 16,204 | 231 | - | - |
| Tuna, Yellowfin | 751 | 530 | 354 | - | 397 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tuskfish | 65 | 65 | 65 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Whiting, Sand | 219,953 | 33,200 | 10,847 | 145,507 | 22,710 | - | 40,391 | 497 | - | - |
| Whiting, School | 19,006 | 10,352 | 693 | 4,227 | 4,166 | - | 9,920 | - | - | - |
| Whiting, Trumpeter | 52,109 | 37,789 | 35,898 | 3,051 | 13,063 | - | 98 | - | - | - |
| Wrasse, Blue Groper | 675 | 442 | - | - | - | 397 | 115 | 164 | - | - |
| Wrasse, Maori | 4,960 | 2,034 | - | 260 | - | - | 652 | 4,048 | - | - |
| Wrasse, other | 28,801 | 13,796 | 1,772 | 1,066 | 4,686 | - | 4,491 | 16,785 | - | - |
| Wrasse, unspecified | 1,769 | 887 | - | 1,458 | - | - | 95 | 217 | - | - |
| Yellowtail Kingfish | 62,074 | 14,265 | 8,444 | 36,079 | 9,311 | - | 8,240 | - | - | - |
| FINFISH - FRESHWATER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bony Bream | 2,151 | 1,568 | 92 | - | 322 | 1,128 | 126 | 483 | - | - |
| Bullrout | 40 | 40 | - | - | - | - | - | 40 | - | - |
| Golden Perch | 115,461 | 22,931 | 7,370 | 17,671 | 15,376 | 311 | 71,240 | 3,050 | - | 444 |
| Murray Cod | 380,242 | 50,769 | 15,296 | 99,634 | 23,205 | 18,357 | 220,763 | 2,716 | - | 270 |
| Redfin Perch | 16,517 | 7,048 | 1,038 | 2,507 | 164 | - | 11,850 | 959 | - | - |
| Silver Perch | 34,787 | 10,178 | 454 | 3,572 | 542 | 1,869 | 22,725 | 5,627 | - | - |
| Spangled Perch | 8,764 | 7,037 | 1,649 | - | - | - | 7,114 | - | - | - |
| Trout Cod | 34,949 | 19,534 | 502 | 19,256 | - | - | 6,761 | 8,430 | - | - |


| Appendix 4. Release reason <br> Reporting \& Species/group | Total Released |  | Too Small <br> No. | Undersized <br> No. | Too <br> Many <br> No. | Over Bag <br> No. | Catch/Release <br> No. | Unwanted <br> No. | Berried <br> Female <br> No. | Other <br> No. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | SE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trout, Brown | 52,221 | 14,543 | 3,785 | 15,222 | 14,278 | 411 | 18,526 | - | - |  | - |
| Trout, Rainbow | 56,606 | 19,614 | 1,805 | 13,468 | 15,337 | - | 25,297 | 699 | - |  | - |
| FINFISH - SALT \& FRESH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Australian Bass | 234,548 | 61,858 | 2,639 | 7,874 | 273 | - | 222,875 | 887 | - |  | - |
| Catfish, eeltail | 26,600 | 9,083 | - | 2,456 | - | - | 16,919 | 7,225 | - |  | - |
| Catfish, forktail | 11,551 | 4,573 | - | - | - | - | 8,263 | 3,288 | - |  | - |
| Eel | 8,744 | 3,154 | - | - | - | - | 2,379 | 6,365 | - |  | - |
| Fish, unknown | 227 | 227 | - | - | - | - | 227 | - | - |  | - |
| FINFISH - BAITFISHES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue Mackerel | 31,540 | 11,062 | 4,742 | 915 | 13,593 | - | 4,123 | 8,166 | - |  | - |
| Mullet | 33,954 | 17,895 | 16,196 | 4,942 | 6,113 | - | 6,056 | 648 | - |  | - |
| Yellowtail Scad | 66,145 | 36,600 | 4,237 | 22,901 | 37,058 | - | 730 | 1,219 | - |  | - |
| Other small baitfish | 4,167 | 2,980 | - | - | 500 | - | 2,856 | 811 | - |  | - |
| CEPHALOPODS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cuttlefish | 63 | 63 | - | - | - | - | 63 | - | - |  | - |
| Octopus | 2,700 | 1,286 | - | - | 499 | - | 321 | 1,880 | - |  | - |
| Squids | 8,272 | 3,449 | 1,071 | - | 4,163 | - | 2,336 | 703 | - |  | - |
| CRABS \& LOBSTERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crab, Blue Swimmer | 20,966 | 7,177 | 552 | 10,501 | - | - | 2,896 | - | 7,017 |  | - |
| Crab, Mud | 53,213 | 32,365 | 877 | 41,629 | 5,693 | - | 278 | - | 4,736 |  | - |
| Lobster, Rock | 3,837 | 2,091 | - | 2,728 | 1,109 | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Murray Crayfish | 38,406 | 24,910 | 171 | 20,496 | 1,518 | - | 169 | - | 16,052 |  | - |


| Appendix 4. Release reason | Total Released |  | Too Small <br> No. | Undersized <br> No. | Too <br> Many <br> No. | Over Bag <br> No. | Catch/Release <br> No. | Unwanted <br> No. | Berried <br> Female <br> No. | Other <br> No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reporting \& Species/group | No. | SE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PRAWNS \& YABBIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ghost Nippers | 38,600 | 15,160 | - | - | 34,230 | 675 | - | 3,695 | - | - |
| Prawns (saltwater) | 889 | 887 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 889 |
| Shrimp (freshwater) | 46,288 | 31,233 | - | - | 44,180 | - | - | 2,107 | - | - |
| Yabbies (freshwater) | 100,234 | 71,272 | 6,286 | 1,012 | 89,873 | - | - | 3,063 | - | - |
| MOLLUSCS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipis | 68,601 | 68,507 | - | - | - | - | 68,601 | - | - | - |
| MISCELLANEOUS TAXA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-fish, other | 3,015 | 1,069 | - | - | - | 470 | 1,083 | 1,462 | - | - |

Appendix 5. Indicative estimates of recreational harvest weight (tonnes) for key species/group caught by RFL households in NSW waters during 2017/18 compared with harvest weights for the commercial fisheries sector.

|  | ESTUARINE |  |  |  | OCEANIC |  |  |  | TOTAL |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| estimates <br> Species/group | Mean Weight (grams) | Rec. <br> Harvest Estimate (number) | Rec. <br> Harvest Estimate (tonnes) | Comm. <br> Harvest (tonnes) | Mean Weight (grams) | Rec. <br> Harvest Estimate (number) | Rec. <br> Harvest <br> Estimate (tonnes) | Comm. <br> Harvest <br> (tonnes) | Rec. <br> Harvest Estimate (number) | Rec. <br> Harvest Estimate (tonnes) | Comm. <br> Harvest (tonnes) | Grand <br> Total <br> Harvest <br> (tonnes) | \% Rec. |
| Abalone | 278.0 | 286 | 0.08 |  | 278.0 | 1809 | 0.50 | 114.40 | 2094 | 0.58 | 114.40 | 114.99 | 0.5 |
| Australian Salmon | 2870.0 | 9038 | 25.94 | 14.30 | 2282.5 | 24910 | 56.86 | 836.88 | 33948 | 82.80 | 851.18 | 934.97 | 8.9 |
| Australian Sardine | - | - | - | 6.31 | - | - | - | 503.21 | - | - | 509.52 | 509.52 | - |
| Bass Grouper | 12840.0 | - | - | - | 12840.0 | 350 | 4.49 | 6.58 | 350 | 4.49 | 6.58 | 11.07 | 40.6 |
| Beach Worms | 10.0 | - | - | 0.11 | 10.0 | 54046 | 0.54 | 6.36 | 54046 | 0.54 | 6.47 | 7.01 | 7.7 |
| Blue Mackerel | 267.5 | 6809 | 1.82 | 0.05 | 369.5 | 106880 | 39.49 | 392.62 | 113689 | 41.32 | 392.70 | 434.02 | 9.5 |
| Blue-eye Trevalla | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 24.32 | - | - | 24.32 | 24.32 | - |
| Cockle | 12.0 | 818 | 0.01 |  | 12.0 | - | - | 7.53 | 818 | 0.01 | 7.53 | 7.54 | 0.1 |
| Crab, Blue Swimmer | 225.3 | 63034 | 14.20 | 86.12 | 225.3 | - | - | 7.31 | 63034 | 14.20 | 94.26 | 108.46 | 13.1 |
| Crab, Mud | 671.4 | 56549 | 37.97 | 149.07 | 671.4 | 1663 | 1.12 | 1.61 | 58212 | 39.08 | 150.67 | 189.76 | 20.6 |
| Eel | 800.0 | 2626 | 2.10 | 15.71 | 800.0 | 102 | 0.08 | 0.34 | 2955 | 2.18 | 16.05 | 18.23 | 12.0 |
| Flathead, Dusky | 593.3 | 297929 | 176.76 | 121.96 | 1022.8 | 12622 | 12.91 | 2.14 | 310650 | 189.67 | 124.18 | 313.85 | 60.4 |
| Flathead, Sand | 408.7 | 21719 | 8.88 | 0.57 | 462.4 | 260125 | 120.29 | 133.01 | 281844 | 129.16 | 135.37 | 264.93 | 48.8 |
| Flathead, Tiger | - | - | - | 0.09 | 521.1 | 25001 | 13.03 | 96.36 | 25001 | 13.03 | 96.62 | 109.65 | 11.9 |
| Garfish | 140.5 | 7113 | 1.0 | 1.62 | 140.5 | 1923 | 0.27 | 23.03 | 9036 | 1.27 | 24.66 | 25.92 | 4.9 |
| Gemfish | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4.12 | - | - | 4.12 | 4.12 | - |
| Ghost Nipper | 3.0 | 662210 | 1.99 | 3.88 | 3.0 | - | - | 0.46 | 662210 | 1.99 | 4.33 | 6.32 | 31.4 |
| Hapuku | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2.07 | - | - | 2.07 | 2.07 | - |
| Lobster, Rock | 703.0 | 99 | 0.07 |  | 703.0 | 6393 | 4.49 | 164.37 | 6492 | 4.56 | 164.37 | 168.93 | 2.7 |


| Appendix 5. WEIGHT estimates <br> Species/group | ESTUARINE |  |  |  | OCEANIC |  |  |  | TOTAL |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean Weight (grams) | Rec. <br> Harvest Estimate (number) | Rec. <br> Harvest Estimate (tonnes) | Comm. <br> Harvest <br> (tonnes) | Mean Weight (grams) | Rec. <br> Harvest Estimate (number) | Rec. <br> Harvest <br> Estimate <br> (tonnes) | Comm. <br> Harvest <br> (tonnes) | Rec. <br> Harvest Estimate (number) | Rec. <br> Harvest <br> Estimate (tonnes) | Comm. <br> Harvest <br> (tonnes) | Grand Total Harvest (tonnes) | \% Rec. |
| Luderick | 582.3 | 43637 | 25.41 | 230.10 | 638.2 | 7635 | 4.87 | 11.12 | 51272 | 30.28 | 241.22 | 271.50 | 11.2 |
| Morwong, Grey | 815.0 | 3916 | 3.19 | 0.10 | 815.0 | 22853 | 18.63 | 24.08 | 26770 | 21.82 | 24.60 | 46.42 | 47.0 |
| Mulloway | 6654.8 | 6907 | 45.97 | 47.46 | 6472.3 | 6733 | 43.58 | 24.29 | 13641 | 89.55 | 71.75 | 161.30 | 55.5 |
| Perch, unspecified | 294.0 | - | - | 0.24 | 294.0 | 1273 | 0.37 | 19.10 | 1273 | 0.37 | 19.42 | 19.80 | 1.9 |
| Pink Ling | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 57.25 | - | - | 57.25 | 57.25 | - |
| Pipis | 14.8 | - | - | 2.57 | 14.8 | 75696 | 1.12 | 144.91 | 75696 | 1.12 | 149.84 | 150.96 | 0.7 |
| Redfish | 258.1 | 2083 | 0.54 |  | 258.1 | 14935 | 3.86 | 5.97 | 17018 | 4.39 | 5.97 | 10.36 | 42.4 |
| Sea Urchins | 420.0 | - | - | - | 420.0 | 2429 | 1.02 | 85.70 | 2429 | 1.02 | 85.70 | 86.72 | 1.2 |
| Snapper | 564.1 | 36209 | 20.43 | 1.59 | 710.3 | 121324 | 86.17 | 165.57 | 157534 | 106.60 | 175.73 | 282.33 | 37.8 |
| Spanner Crab | 518.4 | - | - | 0.48 | 1395.1 | - | - | 129.95 | - | - | 130.43 | 130.43 | - |
| Spotted Mackerel | 2424.2 | - | - | 0.39 | 2424.2 | 1602 | 3.88 | 14.57 | 1602 | 3.88 | 15.01 | 18.90 | 20.6 |
| Tailor | 498.6 | 44608 | 22.24 | 23.32 | 593.4 | 45306 | 26.88 | 64.51 | 89914 | 49.13 | 87.84 | 136.97 | 35.9 |
| Teraglin | 1612.4 | - | - | 0.08 | 1612.4 | 11363 | 18.32 | 19.87 | 11363 | 18.32 | 19.96 | 38.28 | 47.9 |
| Trevally, Silver | 543.2 | 8446 | 4.59 | 10.10 | 557.8 | 6869 | 3.83 | 58.96 | 15315 | 8.42 | 69.65 | 78.07 | 10.8 |
| Tuna, Bonito | 1411.0 | 8713 | 12.29 | 1.42 | 1607.8 | 12517 | 20.13 | 164.14 | 21231 | 32.42 | 165.58 | 198.00 | 16.4 |
| Turban Shell | 220.0 | - | - | - | 220.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Whiting, Sand | 278.0 | 81477 | 22.65 | 68.41 | 278.0 | 39353 | 10.94 | 9.66 | 120831 | 33.59 | 78.48 | 112.07 | 30.0 |
| Whiting, School | 76.9 | 130 | 0.01 | 8.13 | 141.7 | 10802 | 1.53 | 1256.23 | 10933 | 1.54 | 1333.20 | 1334.75 | 0.1 |
| Yellowfin Bream | 524.5 | 170679 | 89.52 | 237.54 | 589.1 | 57875 | 34.10 | 27.15 | 228553 | 123.62 | 264.70 | 388.32 | 31.8 |
| Yellowtail Kingfish | 3223.1 | 23034 | 74.24 | 1.82 | 2408.0 | 22757 | 54.80 | 90.69 | 45791 | 129.04 | 93.09 | 222.13 | 58.1 |
| Yellowtail Scad | 99.6 | 80631 | 8.03 | 7.60 | 239.0 | 91849 | 21.95 | 418.14 | 172480 | 30.98 | 437.83 | 467.81 | 6.4 |

Appendix 6a. Annual recreational TOTAL catch (kept plus released numbers) for species/groups caught by RFL households during 2013/14 compared with 2017/18. Note: values for 2017/18 do not include estimates from Queensland RFL households. Values in bold indicate a relative standard error $>40 \%$ and values in italics indicate fewer than 30 households recorded catches of the species.

| Appendix 6a - TOTAL catch comparisons <br>  <br> Species/group | Survey Year 2013/14 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Survey Year } \\ \text { 2017/18 } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| FINFISH - SALTWATER |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amberjack | - | - |  | 272 | 231 | 85\% |
| Australian Salmon | 102,860 | 26,472 | 26\% | 60,556 | 16,958 | 28\% |
| Barracuda | 358 | 258 | 72\% | 1,670 | 1,283 | 77\% |
| Bass Grouper | - | - | - | 350 | 350 | 100\% |
| Batfish/butter bream | 1,823 | 1,112 | 61\% | 3,332 | 1,653 | 50\% |
| Bream | 1,199,343 | 132,735 | 11\% | 902,380 | 79,554 | 9\% |
| Bullseye | - | - | - | 1,193 | 1,191 | 100\% |
| Cobia | - | - | - | 2,150 | 987 | 46\% |
| Cod/groupers | 20,098 | 7,418 | 37\% | 10,792 | 2,884 | 27\% |
| Dolphinfish | 89,039 | 42,563 | 48\% | 44,708 | 17,354 | 39\% |
| Drummer, Rock Blackfish | 12,725 | 5,232 | 41\% | 22,602 | 9,866 | 44\% |
| Drummer, Silver | 7,615 | 4,990 | 66\% | 1,131 | 581 | 51\% |
| Eastern Wirrah | 147 | 146 | 99\% | 212 | 212 | 100\% |
| Flathead, Dusky | 797,824 | 98,261 | 12\% | 855,311 | 98,288 | 11\% |
| Flathead, Sand | 1,075,932 | 188,869 | 18\% | 644,013 | 106,098 | 16\% |
| Flathead, Tiger | 43,198 | 16,020 | 37\% | 48,893 | 22,643 | 46\% |
| Flounder/sole | 28,319 | 4,858 | 17\% | 31,519 | 5,260 | 17\% |
| Garfish | 22,866 | 13,192 | 58\% | 9,407 | 4,422 | 47\% |
| Gurnard | 5,358 | 1,948 | 36\% | 2,587 | 1,536 | 59\% |
| Leatherjacket | 129,305 | 32,278 | 25\% | 52,960 | 12,113 | 23\% |
| Lizardfish/Grinners | - | - | - | 1,106 | 875 | 79\% |
| Long Tom | 1,752 | 747 | 43\% | 828 | 416 | 50\% |
| Luderick | 181,109 | 42,998 | 24\% | 82,140 | 27,647 | 34\% |
| Mackerel, Narrow-barred | 5,859 | 2,945 | 50\% | 2,793 | 1,671 | 60\% |
| Mackerel, Spotted | 1,898 | 1,084 | 57\% | 764 | 501 | 66\% |
| Mangrove Jack | 34 | 34 | 100\% | 936 | 914 | 98\% |


| Appendix 6a - TOTAL catch comparisons <br>  <br> Species/group | Survey Year 2013/14 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Survey Year } \\ 2017 / 18 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Marlin, Black | 413 | 198 | 48\% | 5,308 | 1,745 | 33\% |
| Marlin, Blue | - | - | - | 378 | 228 | 60\% |
| Marlin, Striped | 390 | 350 | 90\% | 1,551 | 1,020 | 66\% |
| Morwong, Grey | 49,992 | 12,276 | 25\% | 33,859 | 8,464 | 25\% |
| Morwong, Red | 4,364 | 1,429 | 33\% | 8,247 | 3,235 | 39\% |
| Morwong, unspecified |  |  | 0\% | 353 | 351 | 99\% |
| Moses Snapper | 2,671 | 1,659 | 62\% | 3,069 | 1,489 | 49\% |
| Mulloway | 45,719 | 11,535 | 25\% | 25,034 | 5,240 | 21\% |
| Parrotfish | 2,319 | 1,047 | 45\% | 2,200 | 1,455 | 66\% |
| Pearl Perch | 5,874 | 3,530 | 60\% | 16,626 | 6,901 | 42\% |
| Perch, unspecified | 1,828 | 1,307 | 71\% | 1,273 | 1,062 | 83\% |
| Pigfish | 5,131 | 1,983 | 39\% | 6,297 | 4,717 | 75\% |
| Pike | 20,172 | 7,116 | 35\% | 18,683 | 6,339 | 34\% |
| Ray, other | 30,343 | 7,991 | 26\% | 22,125 | 5,704 | 26\% |
| Ray, Shovelnose | 22,458 | 6,693 | 30\% | 17,542 | 4,840 | 28\% |
| Ray, unspecified | 3,597 | 1,708 | 47\% | - | - | - |
| Red Rock Cod | 107,512 | 25,778 | 24\% | 67,190 | 16,371 | 24\% |
| Redfish | 81,901 | 36,827 | 45\% | 29,792 | 11,067 | 37\% |
| Rock Cale/Kelpfish | 258 | 257 | 100\% | 246 | 245 | 100\% |
| Sergeant Baker | 57,006 | 22,266 | 39\% | 52,938 | 21,661 | 41\% |
| Shark, Gummy | 3,043 | 1,120 | 37\% | 2,022 | 1,117 | 55\% |
| Shark, Hammerhead | 236 | 235 | 100\% | 180 | 127 | 70\% |
| Shark, Mako | 444 | 259 | 58\% | 1,449 | 1,108 | 76\% |
| Shark, other | 1,201 | 809 | 67\% | 3,469 | 2,613 | 75\% |
| Shark, Port Jackson | 9,694 | 3,014 | 31\% | 2,894 | 1,255 | 43\% |
| Shark, School | 226 | 160 | 71\% | 666 | 527 | 79\% |
| Shark, unspecified | 2,025 | 1,111 | 55\% | 394 | 208 | 53\% |
| Shark, Whaler | 2,036 | 1,316 | 65\% | 11,124 | 3,323 | 30\% |
| Shark, Wobbegong | 2,801 | 1,536 | 55\% | 814 | 578 | 71\% |
| Silverbiddy | 625 | 449 | 72\% | 7,888 | 6,887 | 87\% |


| Appendix 6a - TOTAL catch comparisons <br> Reporting \& Species/group | Survey Year 2013/14 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Survey Year } \\ 2017 / 18 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Snapper | 534,123 | 92,809 | 17\% | 430,480 | 50,942 | 12\% |
| Stargazer | - | - | - | 50 | 50 | 100\% |
| Surgeonfish | - | - | - | 22 | 22 | 100\% |
| Swallowtail Dart | 32,114 | 9,339 | 29\% | 22,862 | 7,772 | 34\% |
| Sweep | 96,784 | 32,558 | 34\% | 41,277 | 17,511 | 42\% |
| Tailor | 143,080 | 24,104 | 17\% | 209,333 | 34,042 | 16\% |
| Tarwhine | 16,290 | 4,628 | 28\% | 29,827 | 10,470 | 35\% |
| Teraglin | 23,678 | 9,548 | 40\% | 10,971 | 3,886 | 35\% |
| Toads/pufferfish | 37,778 | 14,856 | 39\% | 19,434 | 5,626 | 29\% |
| Trevally, Giant | - | - | - | 167 | 120 | 72\% |
| Trevally, Golden | - | - | - | 100 | 100 | 100\% |
| Trevally, other | - | - | - | 40 | 40 | 100\% |
| Trevally, Silver | 73,376 | 16,007 | 22\% | 47,672 | 9,686 | 20\% |
| Trumpeter, Bastard | - | - | - | 502 | 502 | 100\% |
| Trumpeter/grunters | 2,715 | 1,229 | 45\% | 10,121 | 3,596 | 36\% |
| Tuna, Albacore | 697 | 695 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Tuna, Bonito | 11,852 | 4,929 | 42\% | 39,368 | 12,635 | 32\% |
| Tuna, Mackerel | 24,643 | 20,861 | 85\% | 4,767 | 2,673 | 56\% |
| Tuna, Northern Bluefin | 5,354 | 3,922 | 73\% | 212 | 149 | 70\% |
| Tuna, Skipjack | 12,264 | 5,594 | 46\% | 21,743 | 15,585 | 72\% |
| Tuna, Yellowfin | 4,081 | 1,797 | 44\% | 527 | 374 | 71\% |
| Tuskfish | 246 | 244 | 99\% | 1,246 | 842 | 68\% |
| Wahoo | 737 | 733 | 99\% | 46 | 45 | 99\% |
| Whiting, Sand | 326,473 | 69,951 | 21\% | 295,649 | 40,866 | 14\% |
| Whiting, School | 57,956 | 23,464 | 40\% | 29,938 | 15,831 | 53\% |
| Whiting, Trumpeter | 404,459 | 394,101 | 97\% | 80,714 | 64,327 | 80\% |
| Wrasse, Blue Groper | 5,337 | 2,324 | 44\% | 794 | 478 | 60\% |
| Wrasse, Maori | 32,039 | 13,181 | 41\% | 9,022 | 2,840 | 31\% |
| Wrasse, other | 28,962 | 8,862 | 31\% | 36,296 | 16,611 | 46\% |
| Wrasse, unspecified | 1,414 | 892 | 63\% | 1,869 | 892 | 48\% |


| Appendix 6a - TOTAL catch comparisons <br> Reporting \& Species/group | Survey Year 2013/14 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Survey Year } \\ 2017 / 18 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Yellowtail Kingfish | 227,689 | 115,734 | 51\% | 98,339 | 25,002 | 25\% |
| FINFISH - FRESHWATER |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Atlantic Salmon | 1,453 | 821 | 57\% | - | - | - |
| Bony Bream | 15,205 | 4,836 | 32\% | 2,243 | 1,576 | 70\% |
| Eastern Cod | 5,465 | 4,554 | 83\% | - | - | - |
| European Carp | 864,062 | 306,697 | 35\% | 369,910 | 88,696 | 24\% |
| Golden Perch | 257,273 | 37,660 | 15\% | 187,168 | 32,063 | 17\% |
| Murray Cod | 255,734 | 30,834 | 12\% | 397,941 | 53,471 | 13\% |
| Redfin Perch | 332,191 | 142,087 | 43\% | 124,794 | 36,868 | 30\% |
| Silver Perch | 177,593 | 153,290 | 86\% | 36,238 | 10,116 | 28\% |
| Spangled Perch | 61 | 61 | 100\% | 8,764 | 7,037 | 80\% |
| Trout Cod | 14,409 | 4,036 | 28\% | 37,762 | 21,060 | 56\% |
| Trout, Brown | 75,311 | 28,063 | 37\% | 84,671 | 23,017 | 27\% |
| Trout, Rainbow | 61,217 | 14,370 | 23\% | 78,048 | 24,140 | 31\% |
| FINFISH -SALT \& FRESH |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Australian Bass | 203,614 | 71,579 | 35\% | 240,703 | 62,139 | 26\% |
| Bullrout | - | - | - | 40 | 40 | 100\% |
| Catfish, eeltail | 47,320 | 14,314 | 30\% | 19,539 | 5,737 | 29\% |
| Catfish, forktail | 8,692 | 3,067 | 35\% | 11,551 | 4,573 | 40\% |
| Catfish, unspecified | 1,662 | 1,653 | 99\% | - | - | - |
| Eel | 17,503 | 4,396 | 25\% | 11,699 | 5,532 | 47\% |
| Fish, unknown | 1,790 | 988 | 55\% | 227 | 227 | 100\% |
| FINFISH - BAITFISHES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue Mackerel | 184,280 | 78,760 | 43\% | 143,634 | 39,738 | 28\% |
| Herring | 34,100 | 18,205 | 53\% | - | - | - |
| Mullet | 187,243 | 82,159 | 44\% | 68,446 | 24,260 | 35\% |
| Other small baitfish | 15,291 | 11,193 | 73\% | 33,335 | 15,159 | 45\% |
| Yellowtail Scad | 124,516 | 42,478 | 34\% | 231,946 | 88,241 | 38\% |
| CEPHALOPODS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cuttlefish | 1,611 | 987 | 61\% | 178 | 131 | 73\% |


| Appendix 6a - TOTAL catch comparisons <br>  <br> Species/group | Survey Year 2013/14 |  |  | Survey Year 2017/18 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Octopus | 7,104 | 2,168 | 31\% | 3,845 | 1,437 | 37\% |
| Southern Calamari | 80,426 | 55,940 | 70\% | 15,247 | 6,479 | 42\% |
| Squids | 13,933 | 5,890 | 42\% | 116,278 | 57,751 | 50\% |
| CRABS \& LOBSTERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crab, Blue Swimmer | 95,009 | 35,213 | 37\% | 84,000 | 26,714 | 32\% |
| Crab, Mud | 60,823 | 24,833 | 41\% | 55,856 | 13,741 | 25\% |
| Crab, other | 16,268 | 16,226 | 100\% | 11,513 | 10,546 | 92\% |
| Lobster, Rock | 4,765 | 2,188 | 46\% | 10,126 | 5,058 | 50\% |
| Murray Crayfish | 48,914 | 17,088 | 35\% | 42,373 | 26,422 | 62\% |
| PRAWNS \& YABBIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ghost Nippers | 786,560 | 230,352 | 29\% | 631,441 | 162,188 | 26\% |
| Prawns (saltwater) | 461,516 | 214,324 | 46\% | 328,698 | 140,954 | 43\% |
| Shrimp (freshwater) | 254,175 | 68,776 | 27\% | 463,678 | 153,487 | 33\% |
| Yabbies (freshwater) | 351,273 | 141,369 | 40\% | 279,308 | 102,206 | 37\% |
| MOLLUSCS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abalone | 3,928 | 2,081 | 53\% | 2,094 | 1,183 | 56\% |
| Blue Mussel | - | - | - | 1,428 | 1,426 | 100\% |
| Cockles | - | - | - | 818 | 643 | 79\% |
| Pipis | 61,267 | 21,492 | 35\% | 120,424 | 78,023 | 65\% |
| WORMS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beach worms | 148,841 | 78,577 | 53\% | 50,041 | 19,644 | 39\% |
| Worms, unspecified | 34,861 | 34,770 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| MISCELLANEOUS TAXA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cunjevoi | - | - | - | 1,065 | 1,059 | 99\% |
| Non-fish, other | - | - | - | 3,015 | 1,069 | 35\% |

Appendix 6b. Annual recreational KEPT catch for species/groups caught by RFL households during 2013/14 compared with 2017/18. Note: values for 2017/18 do not include estimates from Queensland RFL households. Values in bold indicate a relative standard error $>40 \%$ and values in italics indicate fewer than 30 households recorded catches of the species.

| Appendix 6b - KEPT catch comparisons <br> Reporting \& Species/group | $\begin{gathered} \text { Survey Year } \\ 2013 / 14 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Survey Year } \\ 2017 / 18 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| FINFISH - SALTWATER |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amberjack | - | - | - | 46 | 45 |  |
| Atlantic Salmon | 755 | 449 | 59\% | - | - |  |
| Australian Salmon | 34,373 | 14,377 | 42\% | 33,948 | 10,800 | 32\% |
| Barracuda | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bass Grouper | - | - | - | 350 | 350 | 100\% |
| Batfish/butter bream | 364 | 363 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Bream | 282,873 | 33,912 | 12\% | 219,671 | 27,735 | 13\% |
| Bullseye | - | - | - | 795 | 794 | 100\% |
| Cobia | - | - | - | 1,920 | 958 | 50\% |
| Cod/groupers | 2,456 | 1,480 | 60\% | 3,559 | 1,786 | 50\% |
| Dolphinfish | 28,696 | 11,722 | 41\% | 24,054 | 9,636 | 40\% |
| Drummer, Rock Blackfish | 9,188 | 4,690 | 51\% | 9,983 | 3,951 | 40\% |
| Drummer, Silver | 2,211 | 1,321 | 60\% | 391 | 266 | 68\% |
| Eastern Wirrah | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Flathead, Dusky | 328,244 | 46,436 | 14\% | 303,519 | 37,292 | 12\% |
| Flathead, Sand | 416,195 | 69,315 | 17\% | 280,064 | 46,339 | 17\% |
| Flathead, Tiger | 19,117 | 6,572 | 34\% | 25,001 | 13,330 | 53\% |
| Flounder/sole | 13,419 | 2,965 | 22\% | 12,735 | 2,702 | 21\% |
| Garfish | 22,092 | 12,679 | 57\% | 6,439 | 3,681 | 57\% |
| Gurnard | 2,025 | 1,094 | 54\% | 756 | 491 | 65\% |
| Leatherjacket | 62,987 | 15,991 | 25\% | 31,106 | 8,854 | 28\% |
| Lizardfish/Grinners | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Long Tom | 85 | 86 | 101\% | 177 | 176 | 100\% |
| Luderick | 108,620 | 29,909 | 28\% | 49,868 | 20,049 | 40\% |
| Mackerel, Narrow-barred | 5,480 | 2,926 | 53\% | 2,413 | 1,531 | 63\% |


| Appendix 6b - KEPT catch comparisons | Survey Year 2013/14 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Survey Year } \\ & 2017 / 18 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reporting \& Species/group | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Mackerel, Spotted | 1,597 | 956 | 60\% | 674 | 493 | 73\% |
| Mangrove Jack | 34 | 34 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Marlin, Black | 59 | 59 | 100\% | 200 | 200 | 100\% |
| Marlin, Blue | - | - | - | 181 | 180 | 100\% |
| Marlin, Striped | - | - | - | 115 | 92 | 80\% |
| Morwong, Grey | 42,631 | 10,293 | 24\% | 26,770 | 6,777 | 25\% |
| Morwong, Red | 4,048 | 1,277 | 32\% | 8,247 | 3,235 | 39\% |
| Morwong, unspecified | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Moses Snapper | 1,680 | 989 | 59\% | 901 | 477 | 53\% |
| Mulloway | 19,319 | 6,554 | 34\% | 12,135 | 2,744 | 23\% |
| Parrotfish | 1,949 | 961 | 49\% | 1,445 | 880 | 61\% |
| Pearl Perch | 4,910 | 2,879 | 59\% | 12,933 | 6,275 | 49\% |
| Perch, unspecified | 761 | 758 | 100\% | 1,273 | 1,062 | 83\% |
| Pigfish | 4,367 | 1,756 | 40\% | 6,112 | 4,711 | 77\% |
| Pike | 8,943 | 6,013 | 67\% | 9,165 | 4,891 | 53\% |
| Ray, other | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ray, Shovelnose | 606 | 594 | 98\% | 2,218 | 2,101 | 95\% |
| Ray, unspecified | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Red Rock Cod | 8,275 | 3,274 | 40\% | 14,727 | 5,780 | 39\% |
| Redfish | 40,067 | 19,324 | 48\% | 17,018 | 8,550 | 50\% |
| Rock Cale/Kelpfish | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sergeant Baker | 3,473 | 1,663 | 48\% | 6,368 | 3,746 | 59\% |
| Shark, Gummy | 1,723 | 887 | 51\% | 1,550 | 1,083 | 70\% |
| Shark, Hammerhead | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Shark, Mako | 92 | 72 | 78\% | 1,050 | 1,050 | 100\% |
| Shark, other | 47 | 47 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Shark, Port Jackson | 318 | 231 | 73\% | - | - | - |
| Shark, School | 226 | 160 | 71\% | 164 | 163 | 100\% |
| Shark, unspecified | 549 | 550 | 100\% | - | - | - |


| Appendix 6b-KEPT catch comparisons <br> Reporting \& Species/group | Survey Year2013/14 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Survey Year } \\ 2017 / 18 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Shark, Whaler | 502 | 373 | 74\% | 2,967 | 1,428 | 48\% |
| Shark, Wobbegong | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Silverbiddy | - | - | - | 637 | 637 | 100\% |
| Snapper | 131,726 | 31,464 | 24\% | 145,137 | 22,815 | 16\% |
| Stargazer | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Surgeonfish | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Swallowtail Dart | 9,895 | 4,995 | 50\% | 4,506 | 2,713 | 60\% |
| Sweep | 37,205 | 13,903 | 37\% | 13,051 | 7,058 | 54\% |
| Tailor | 52,107 | 11,511 | 22\% | 78,368 | 19,551 | 25\% |
| Tarwhine | 3,617 | 1,830 | 51\% | 12,376 | 8,396 | 68\% |
| Teraglin | 17,372 | 6,912 | 40\% | 10,185 | 3,752 | 37\% |
| Toads/pufferfish | - | - | - | 592 | 365 | 62\% |
| Trevally, Giant | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Trevally, Golden | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Trevally, other | - | - | - | 40 | 40 | 100\% |
| Trevally, Silver | 33,018 | 8,274 | 25\% | 15,214 | 3,962 | 26\% |
| Trumpeter, Bastard | - | - | - | 502 | 502 | 100\% |
| Trumpeter/grunters | - | - | - | 1,455 | 1,453 | 100\% |
| Tuna, Albacore | 465 | 464 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Tuna, Bonito | 5,944 | 2,692 | 45\% | 21,061 | 5,933 | 28\% |
| Tuna, Mackerel | 2,129 | 1,889 | 89\% | 1,009 | 554 | 55\% |
| Tuna, Northern Bluefin | 4,207 | 3,728 | 89\% | 212 | 149 | 70\% |
| Tuna, Skipjack | 4,231 | 1,667 | 39\% | 4,032 | 3,193 | 79\% |
| Tuna, Yellowfin | 2,173 | 1,332 | 61\% | 172 | 123 | 71\% |
| Tuskfish | 246 | 244 | 99\% | 1,180 | 836 | 71\% |
| Wahoo | 737 | 733 | 99\% | 46 | 45 | 99\% |
| Whiting, Sand | 172,941 | 55,760 | 32\% | 103,929 | 19,141 | 18\% |
| Whiting, School | 21,152 | 9,303 | 44\% | 10,933 | 8,016 | 73\% |
| Whiting, Trumpeter | 401,813 | 393,852 | 98\% | 29,450 | 26,977 | 92\% |


| Appendix 6b-KEPT catch comparisons <br>  <br> Species/group | Survey Year 2013/14 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Survey Year } \\ & 2017 / 18 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Wrasse, Blue Groper | 3,401 | 1,801 | 53\% | 515 | 434 | 84\% |
| Wrasse, Maori | 6,238 | 4,171 | 67\% | 4,062 | 1,904 | 47\% |
| Wrasse, other | 10,369 | 5,977 | 58\% | 7,631 | 4,292 | 56\% |
| Wrasse, unspecified | 99 | 99 | 100\% | 100 | 100 | 100\% |
| Yellowtail Kingfish | 45,578 | 19,419 | 43\% | 41,241 | 16,450 | 40\% |
| FINFISH - BAITFISHES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue Mackerel | 157,617 | 71,327 | 45\% | 112,094 | 36,289 | 32\% |
| Herring | 33,311 | 18,198 | 55\% | - | - |  |
| Mullet | 111,178 | 60,755 | 55\% | 34,491 | 10,927 | 32\% |
| Yellowtail Scad | 61,070 | 25,885 | 42\% | 165,801 | 56,119 | 34\% |
| Other small baitfish | 3,999 | 2,855 | 71\% | 29,169 | 14,797 | 51\% |
| FINFISH - FRESHWATER |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bony Bream | 257 | 135 | 53\% | 92 | 91 | 100\% |
| Bullrout | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Eastern Cod | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| European Carp | 863,528 | 306,698 | 36\% | 369,910 | 88,696 | 24\% |
| Golden Perch | 100,176 | 16,451 | 16\% | 75,604 | 14,859 | 20\% |
| Murray Cod | 19,782 | 6,103 | 31\% | 33,158 | 7,317 | 22\% |
| Redfin Perch | 184,207 | 65,508 | 36\% | 109,095 | 35,537 | 33\% |
| Silver Perch | 75,692 | 71,842 | 95\% | 3,297 | 1,346 | 41\% |
| Spangled Perch | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Trout Cod | 282 | 259 | 92\% | 2,813 | 2,011 | 71\% |
| Trout, Brown | 34,094 | 9,500 | 28\% | 37,562 | 11,294 | 30\% |
| Trout, Rainbow | 33,504 | 8,081 | 24\% | 27,995 | 6,774 | 24\% |
| FINFISH - SALT \& FRESH |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Australian Bass | 5,001 | 1,959 | 39\% | 11,074 | 5,111 | 46\% |
| Catfish, eeltail | 2,813 | 1,947 | 69\% | 466 | 295 | 63\% |
| Catfish, forktail | 942 | 938 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Catfish, unspecified | 1,662 | 1,653 | 99\% | - | - | - |


| Appendix 6b-KEPT catch comparisons <br>  <br> Species/group | $\begin{gathered} \text { Survey Year } \\ 2013 / 14 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Survey Year } \\ 2017 / 18 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Eel | 1,024 | 518 | 51\% | 2,955 | 2,636 | 89\% |
| Fish, unknown | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| CEPHALOPODS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cuttlefish | 1,611 | 987 | 61\% | 115 | 115 | 100\% |
| Octopus | 1,877 | 1,365 | 73\% | 1,145 | 650 | 57\% |
| Southern Calamari | 79,819 | 55,926 | 70\% | 15,247 | 6,479 | 42\% |
| Squids | 13,087 | 5,695 | 44\% | 108,213 | 57,289 | 53\% |
| CRABS \& LOBSTERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crab, Blue Swimmer | 77,239 | 30,787 | 40\% | 63,034 | 21,011 | 33\% |
| Crab, Mud | 38,165 | 14,846 | 39\% | 34,908 | 8,502 | 24\% |
| Crab, other | 16,268 | 16,226 | 100\% | 11,513 | 10,546 | 92\% |
| Lobster, Rock | 3,854 | 1,748 | 45\% | 6,289 | 3,169 | 50\% |
| PRAWNS \& YABBIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ghost Nippers | 733,601 | 220,862 | 30\% | 595,203 | 158,237 | 27\% |
| Murray Crayfish | 9,925 | 3,626 | 37\% | 3,967 | 2,507 | 63\% |
| Prawns (saltwater) | 459,278 | 214,330 | 47\% | 327,809 | 140,951 | 43\% |
| Shrimp (freshwater) | 235,808 | 63,592 | 27\% | 419,498 | 148,926 | 36\% |
| Yabbies (freshwater) | 259,115 | 107,596 | 42\% | 180,129 | 47,099 | 26\% |
| MOLLUSCS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abalone | 3,928 | 2,081 | 53\% | 2,094 | 1,183 | 56\% |
| Blue Mussel | - | - | - | 1,428 | 1,426 | 100\% |
| Cockles | - | - | - | 818 | 643 | 79\% |
| Pipis | 55,121 | 19,546 | 35\% | 51,823 | 37,342 | 72\% |
| WORMS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beach worms | 148,841 | 78,577 | 53\% | 50,041 | 19,644 | 39\% |
| Worms, unspecified | 26,262 | 26,193 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| MISCELLANEOUS TAXA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cunjevoi | - | - | - | 1,065 | 1,059 | 99\% |
| Non-fish, other | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Appendix 6c. Annual recreational RELEASED catch for species/groups caught by RFL households during 2013/14 compared with 2017/18. Note: values for 2017/18 do not include estimates from Queensland RFL households. Values in bold indicate a relative standard error $>40 \%$ and values in italics indicate fewer than 30 households recorded catches of the species.

| Appendix 6c-RELEASED catch comparisons <br>  <br> Species/group | $\begin{gathered} \text { Survey Year } \\ 2013 / 14 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Survey Year } \\ 2017 / 18 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| FINFISH - SALTWATER |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amberjack | - | - | - | 226 | 187 | 83\% |
| Atlantic Salmon | 698 | 695 | 100\% | - | - |  |
| Australian Salmon | 68,487 | 17,604 | 26\% | 26,607 | 9,939 | 37\% |
| Barracuda | 358 | 258 | 72\% | 1,670 | 1,283 | 77\% |
| Bass Grouper | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Batfish/butter bream | 1,459 | 964 | 66\% | 3,332 | 1,653 | 50\% |
| Bream | 916,470 | 118,835 | 13\% | 682,709 | 63,852 | 9\% |
| Bullseye | - | - | - | 398 | 397 | 100\% |
| Catfish, unspecified | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Cobia | - | - | - | 231 | 163 | 71\% |
| Cod/groupers | 17,642 | 7,254 | 41\% | 7,234 | 1,954 | 27\% |
| Dolphinfish | 60,343 | 32,077 | 53\% | 20,653 | 10,205 | 49\% |
| Drummer, Rock Blackfish | 3,538 | 1,716 | 49\% | 12,619 | 6,822 | 54\% |
| Drummer, Silver | 5,404 | 3,805 | 70\% | 740 | 521 | 70\% |
| Eastern Wirrah | 147 | 146 | 99\% | 212 | 212 | 100\% |
| Flathead, Dusky | 469,579 | 59,811 | 13\% | 551,792 | 71,798 | 13\% |
| Flathead, Sand | 659,736 | 130,719 | 20\% | 363,949 | 64,714 | 18\% |
| Flathead, Tiger | 24,080 | 10,326 | 43\% | 23,891 | 10,199 | 43\% |
| Flounder/sole | 14,900 | 3,006 | 20\% | 18,785 | 3,750 | 20\% |
| Garfish | 775 | 712 | 92\% | 2,968 | 2,463 | 83\% |
| Gurnard | 3,333 | 1,575 | 47\% | 1,831 | 1,148 | 63\% |
| Leatherjacket | 66,318 | 20,506 | 31\% | 21,854 | 7,720 | 35\% |
| Lizardfish/Grinners | - | - | - | 1,106 | 875 | 79\% |
| Long Tom | 1,667 | 701 | 42\% | 650 | 377 | 58\% |
| Luderick | 72,489 | 18,122 | 25\% | 32,272 | 9,567 | 30\% |


| Appendix 6c - RELEASED catch comparisons | $\begin{gathered} \text { Survey Year } \\ 2013 / 14 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Survey Year } \\ 2017 / 18 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reporting \& Species/group | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Mackerel, Narrow-barred | 379 | 218 | 58\% | 380 | 292 | 77\% |
| Mackerel, Spotted | 301 | 207 | 69\% | 90 | 90 | 100\% |
| Mangrove Jack | - | - | - | 936 | 914 | 98\% |
| Marlin, Black | 353 | 189 | 54\% | 5,108 | 1,710 | 33\% |
| Marlin, Blue | - | - | - | 197 | 140 | 71\% |
| Marlin, Striped | 390 | 350 | 90\% | 1,436 | 931 | 65\% |
| Morwong, Grey | 7,361 | 3,047 | 41\% | 7,090 | 3,109 | 44\% |
| Morwong, Red | 316 | 315 | 100\% | - | - |  |
| Morwong, unspecified | - | - | - | 353 | 351 | 99\% |
| Moses Snapper | 992 | 942 | 95\% | 2,168 | 1,356 | 63\% |
| Mulloway | 26,400 | 6,825 | 26\% | 12,900 | 3,466 | 27\% |
| Parrotfish | 369 | 270 | 73\% | 755 | 610 | 81\% |
| Pearl Perch | 964 | 751 | 78\% | 3,693 | 1,689 | 46\% |
| Perch, unspecified | 1,068 | 1,064 | 100\% | - | - |  |
| Pigfish | 764 | 554 | 73\% | 186 | 165 | 89\% |
| Pike | 11,229 | 3,701 | 33\% | 9,518 | 3,528 | 37\% |
| Ray, other | 30,343 | 7,991 | 26\% | 22,125 | 5,704 | 26\% |
| Ray, Shovelnose | 21,852 | 6,667 | 31\% | 15,324 | 3,824 | 25\% |
| Ray, unspecified | 3,597 | 1,708 | 47\% | - | - | - |
| Red Rock Cod | 99,237 | 24,301 | 24\% | 52,463 | 12,402 | 24\% |
| Redfish | 41,833 | 19,352 | 46\% | 12,775 | 6,923 | 54\% |
| Rock Cale/Kelpfish | 258 | 257 | 100\% | 246 | 245 | 100\% |
| Sergeant Baker | 53,532 | 22,140 | 41\% | 46,570 | 18,641 | 40\% |
| Shark, Gummy | 1,319 | 684 | 52\% | 471 | 248 | 53\% |
| Shark, Hammerhead | 236 | 235 | 100\% | 180 | 127 | 70\% |
| Shark, Mako | 352 | 247 | 70\% | 398 | 354 | 89\% |
| Shark, other | 1,153 | 800 | 69\% | 3,469 | 2,613 | 75\% |
| Shark, Port Jackson | 9,376 | 3,001 | 32\% | 2,894 | 1,255 | 43\% |
| Shark, School | - | - | - | 502 | 502 | 100\% |


| Appendix 6c - RELEASED catch comparisons <br>  <br> Species/group | $\begin{gathered} \text { Survey Year } \\ \text { 2013/14 } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Survey Year } \\ 2017 / 18 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Shark, unspecified | 1,475 | 965 | 65\% | 394 | 208 | 53\% |
| Shark, Whaler | 1,534 | 1,249 | 81\% | 8,156 | 2,873 | 35\% |
| Shark, Wobbegong | 2,801 | 1,536 | 55\% | 814 | 578 | 71\% |
| Silverbiddy | 625 | 449 | 72\% | 7,252 | 6,838 | 94\% |
| Snapper | 402,397 | 78,037 | 19\% | 285,343 | 38,858 | 14\% |
| Stargazer | - | - | - | 50 | 50 | 100\% |
| Surgeonfish | - | - | - | 22 | 22 | 100\% |
| Swallowtail Dart | 22,220 | 7,501 | 34\% | 18,356 | 7,053 | 38\% |
| Sweep | 59,579 | 28,930 | 49\% | 28,226 | 14,830 | 53\% |
| Tailor | 90,972 | 17,775 | 20\% | 130,964 | 22,675 | 17\% |
| Tarwhine | 12,673 | 3,976 | 31\% | 17,451 | 6,238 | 36\% |
| Teraglin | 6,306 | 2,846 | 45\% | 786 | 489 | 62\% |
| Toads/pufferfish | 37,778 | 14,856 | 39\% | 18,842 | 5,614 | 30\% |
| Trevally, Giant | - | - | - | 167 | 120 | 72\% |
| Trevally, Golden | - | - | - | 100 | 100 | 100\% |
| Trevally, other | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Trevally, Silver | 40,358 | 11,230 | 28\% | 32,458 | 7,999 | 25\% |
| Trumpeter, Bastard | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Trumpeter/grunters | 2,715 | 1,229 | 45\% | 8,666 | 3,003 | 35\% |
| Tuna, Albacore | 232 | 232 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| Tuna, Bonito | 5,908 | 3,103 | 53\% | 18,307 | 8,199 | 45\% |
| Tuna, Mackerel | 22,514 | 18,973 | 84\% | 3,758 | 2,228 | 59\% |
| Tuna, Northern Bluefin | 1,147 | 911 | 79\% | - | - | - |
| Tuna, Skipjack | 8,033 | 4,770 | 59\% | 17,712 | 15,283 | 86\% |
| Tuna, Yellowfin | 1,908 | 1,063 | 56\% | 354 | 354 | 100\% |
| Tuskfish | - | - | - | 65 | 65 | 100\% |
| Wahoo | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Whiting, Sand | 153,532 | 32,405 | 21\% | 191,720 | 29,876 | 16\% |
| Whiting, School | 36,804 | 18,889 | 51\% | 19,006 | 10,352 | 54\% |


| Appendix 6c - RELEASED catch comparisons <br> Reporting \& Species/group | Survey Year 2013/14 |  |  | Survey Year 2017/18 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Whiting, Trumpeter | 2,646 | 1,392 | 53\% | 51,264 | 37,780 | 74\% |
| Wrasse, Blue Groper | 1,936 | 1,034 | 53\% | 279 | 199 | 72\% |
| Wrasse, Maori | 25,801 | 11,687 | 45\% | 4,960 | 2,034 | 41\% |
| Wrasse, other | 18,593 | 4,852 | 26\% | 28,665 | 13,796 | 48\% |
| Wrasse, unspecified | 1,315 | 887 | 67\% | 1,769 | 887 | 50\% |
| Yellowtail Kingfish | 182,111 | 98,636 | 54\% | 57,098 | 13,774 | 24\% |
| FINFISH - FRESHWATER |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bony Bream | 14,948 | 4,834 | 32\% | 2,151 | 1,568 | 73\% |
| Bullrout | - | - | - | 40 | 40 | 100\% |
| Eastern Cod | 5,465 | 4,554 | 83\% | - | - | - |
| European Carp | 535 | 474 | 89\% | - | - | - |
| Golden Perch | 157,097 | 25,742 | 16\% | 111,565 | 22,827 | 20\% |
| Murray Cod | 235,952 | 28,941 | 12\% | 364,784 | 49,858 | 14\% |
| Redfin Perch | 147,984 | 86,803 | 59\% | 15,699 | 7,001 | 45\% |
| Silver Perch | 101,900 | 81,524 | 80\% | 32,942 | 10,036 | 30\% |
| Spangled Perch | 61 | 61 | 100\% | 8,764 | 7,037 | 80\% |
| Trout Cod | 14,127 | 3,994 | 28\% | 34,949 | 19,534 | 56\% |
| Trout, Brown | 41,217 | 26,094 | 63\% | 47,109 | 14,089 | 30\% |
| Trout, Rainbow | 27,713 | 8,515 | 31\% | 50,052 | 18,839 | 38\% |
| FINFISH - SALT \& FRESH |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Australian Bass | 198,613 | 71,167 | 36\% | 229,629 | 61,782 | 27\% |
| Catfish, eeltail | 44,506 | 14,145 | 32\% | 19,073 | 5,723 | 30\% |
| Catfish, forktail | 7,750 | 2,947 | 38\% | 11,551 | 4,573 | 40\% |
| Eel | 16,479 | 4,367 | 27\% | 8,744 | 3,154 | 36\% |
| Fish, unknown | 1,790 | 988 | 55\% | 227 | 227 | 100\% |
| FINFISH - BAITFISHES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue Mackerel | 26,663 | 10,407 | 39\% | 31,540 | 11,062 | 35\% |
| Herring | 789 | 511 | 65\% | - | - | - |
| Mullet | 76,065 | 43,909 | 58\% | 33,954 | 17,895 | 53\% |


| Appendix 6c-RELEASED catch comparisons <br>  <br> Species/group | Survey Year 2013/14 |  |  | Survey Year 2017/18 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | RSE | Number | SE | RSE |
| Yellowtail Scad | 63,446 | 28,211 | 44\% | 66,145 | 36,600 | 55\% |
| Other small baitfish | 11,292 | 10,822 | 96\% | 4,167 | 2,980 | 72\% |
| CEPHALOPODS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cuttlefish | - | - | - | 63 | 63 | 99\% |
| Octopus | 5,227 | 1,690 | 32\% | 2,700 | 1,286 | 48\% |
| Southern Calamari | 607 | 343 | 57\% | - | - | - |
| Squids | 846 | 519 | 61\% | 8,065 | 3,443 | 43\% |
| CRABS \& LOBSTERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crab, Blue Swimmer | 17,771 | 5,216 | 29\% | 20,966 | 7,177 | 34\% |
| Crab, Mud | 22,658 | 10,420 | 46\% | 20,948 | 7,077 | 34\% |
| Crab, other | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lobster, Rock | 911 | 642 | 70\% | 3,837 | 2,091 | 54\% |
| Murray Crayfish | 38,990 | 14,154 | 36\% | 38,406 | 24,910 | 65\% |
| PRAWNS \& YABBIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ghost Nippers | 52,959 | 22,285 | 42\% | 36,239 | 14,978 | 41\% |
| Prawns (saltwater) | 2,238 | 2,235 | 100\% | 889 | 887 | 100\% |
| Shrimp (freshwater) | 18,367 | 8,877 | 48\% | 44,180 | 31,163 | 71\% |
| Yabbies (freshwater) | 92,158 | 56,197 | 61\% | 99,178 | 71,265 | 72\% |
| MOLLUSCS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abalone | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Blue Mussel | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cockles | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pipis | 6,146 | 4,916 | 80\% | 68,601 | 68,507 | 100\% |
| WORMS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beach worms | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Worms, unspecified | 8,599 | 8,577 | 100\% | - | - | - |
| MISCELLANEOUS TAXA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cunjevoi | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Non-fish, other | - | - | - | 3,015 | 1,069 | 35\% |
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