Oxleyan Pygmy Perch

Nannoperca oxleyana

Recovery Plan and Background Paper

Prepared in accordance with the threatened species provisions of the New South Wales *Fisheries Management Act 1994*



NSW DEPARTMENT OF PRIMARY INDUSTRIES



Oxleyan Pygmy Perch

Nannoperca oxleyana

Recovery Plan

Prepared in accordance with the threatened species provisions of the New South Wales *Fisheries Management Act 1994*

© NSW Department of Primary Industries, 2005.

This work is copyright. Apart from any use as permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968*, no part may be reproduced without prior written permission from NSW Department of Primary Industries.

NSW Department of Primary Industries Fisheries Management Branch Port Stephens Fisheries Centre Private Bag 1 NELSON BAY NSW 2315 www.dpi.nsw.gov.au

For further information contact:

NSW Department of Primary Industries Port Stephens Fisheries Centre Private Bag 1 NELSON BAY NSW 2315

Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service Threatened Species and Ecosystems Unit Wildlife Conservation Branch PO Box 15155 CITY EAST QLD 4002

Cover photograph by Gunther Schmida

Disclaimer:

The Commonwealth Government, in partnership with the NSW Department of Primary Industries and the Qld Environmental Protection Agency / Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service, facilitates the publication of recovery plans to detail the actions needed for the conservation of nationally threatened native wildlife.

The attainment of objectives and the provision of funds may be subject to budgetary and other constraints affecting the parties involved, and may also be constrained by the need to address other conservation priorities. Approved recovery actions may be subject to modification due to changes in knowledge and conservation status over time.

Acknowledgments

This recovery plan was prepared with the assistance of Michael Murphy (NSW Department of Environment and Conservation), Tony McAteer, Damien Grace (Richmond Valley Council), Professor Don Gartside (Southern Cross University), Professor Angela Arthington (Griffith University), Effie Howe (Australia New Guinea Fishes Association), Todd Kelly, Sara Williams (Qld Environmental Protection Agency / Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service), Richard Gates (Evans Head resident), Jamie Knight, Rebecca Chapman, Gabrielle Holder, John Pursey, David Pollard (all of NSW Department of Primary Industries), Steve Brooks, Peter Jackson (Queensland Department of Primary Industries).

The work of Australia New Guinea Fishes Association (ANGFA) and other community groups in promoting the recovery and protection of the Oxleyan pygmy perch is also acknowledged.

This plan was partially funded by the Commonwealth Endangered Species Program - a component of the Natural Heritage Trust.

Executive summary

The Oxleyan pygmy perch is a small freshwater fish that has been listed as 'endangered' under the Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* and the NSW *Fisheries Management Act 1994*. It has also been listed as 'vulnerable' under the Queensland *Nature Conservation Act 1992*.

Oxleyan pygmy perch are irregularly distributed in small numbers in swamps, streams and lakes of lowland, coastal 'wallum' heaths between north-eastern New South Wales and south-eastern Queensland. These wallum heath communities once formed an almost continuous band along the eastern coastline from Coffs Harbour in northern NSW, to Bundaberg in southern Queensland. However, the practice of land clearing for urban development, agriculture, forestry and mining has significantly reduced and fragmented these habitats.

Oxleyan pygmy perch – with their limited distribution, rarity and dependence on specific habitats – are particularly vulnerable to a range of threatening processes. The most serious threat is habitat degradation and loss. Other potential threats include the presence of the introduced 'plague minnow' or mosquitofish, *Gambusia holbrooki*, and the illegal capture of Oxleyan pygmy perch for aquariums.

The plan has been developed in accordance with the requirements of the NSW *Fisheries Management Act 1994* and the Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*. It complies with the revised Recovery Plan Guidelines for Nationally Listed Threatened Species and Ecological Communities 2002 and the Queensland Recovery Plan Procedural Guidelines (draft) 2005.

The plan is supported by a background paper containing information on the biology and ecology of pygmy perch, threats to the survival of the species and background information on the recovery planning process.

Recovery planning objectives and actions

This recovery plan contains 17 objectives to be achieved in 3 program areas:

- Research and investigation,
- Compliance and regulatory and,
- Management.

The plan will be judged a long-term success if the status of Oxleyan pygmy perch is revised from 'endangered' to 'vulnerable' on listings under the NSW *Fisheries Management Act 1994* and Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*, and from 'vulnerable' to 'near threatened' under the Queensland *Nature Conservation Act 1992* within 15 years.

Implementing the plan

Implementation of the recovery plan in NSW will be lead by the Department of Primary Industries (DPI) in conjunction with other responsible bodies and stakeholders. In Queensland a range of potential contributors have been identified as having a role in implementation. The implementation of some recovery actions will be subject to the availability of additional funding from grant programs. Implementation results and achievements will be reported in subsequent background papers that will be updated with the latest information in relation to pygmy perch and recovery actions.

Table of contents

1.	I. INTRODUCTION			
2.	2. DESCRIPTION AND DISTRIBUTION			
3.	IDENTIFICATION OF CRITICAL HABITAT	. 4		
4.	IDENTIFICATION OF THREATENING PROCESSES	. 5		
	Imitations in current understanding	. 5 . 5 . 6 . 6		
5.	OVERALL RECOVERY OBJECTIVES AND PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	. 7		
5	5.1 Recovery plan objectives	. 7 . 7		
6.	RECOVERY OBJECTIVES, ACTIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES	. 8		
6	 RESEARCH & INVESTIGATION ACTIVITIES (RIA) COMPLIANCE AND REGULATORY ACTIVITIES (CRA)	. 8 11 12		
7.	MONITORING, EVALUATION AND REVIEW	17		
8.	SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC ISSUES	17		
8 8 8 8 8 8	B.1 DEVELOPMENT	18 18 18 19 19		
9.	IMPLEMENTATION & COSTS	19		
10.	FURTHER INFORMATION	20		
11.	1. REFERENCES			

1. Introduction

Oxleyan pygmy perch are listed as threatened in NSW and Queensland because of their limited distribution, rarity, dependence on specific habitat characteristics and their vulnerability to threatening processes.

This recovery plan has been prepared in accordance with the provisions of Part 7A of the *Fisheries Management Act 1994* and the Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*. The recovery plan complies with the Revised Recovery Plan Guidelines for Nationally Listed Threatened Species and Ecological Communities 2002 and the Queensland Recovery Plan Procedural Guidelines (draft) 2005.

The plan is supported by a non-statutory background paper which contains additional information on the legislative context of the plan, biological and ecological information on pygmy perch, a review of the current issues and threats to pygmy perch, and details of implementation activities.

The background paper will be updated every three years with information on plan implementation, monitoring, review, and achievements.

2. Description and distribution

Oxleyan pygmy perch are usually light brown to olive in colour (darkest on back, sides paler) and mottled, with three to four patchy, dark brown bars extending from head to tail, and a whitish belly. The gill cover (opercular) flap has a blue iridescence and there is a conspicuous round dark spot with orange margin at the base of the tail. The scales have dusky margins and the fins are mainly clear. There is a blue ring around the eye. During breeding the dorsal, pelvic and anal fins darken and the lateral stripes and tail turn scarlet ^{[2] [5] [6]}. They can grow to about 60mm in length, but are more common to around 35mm ^[3].

The Oxleyan pygmy perch appears only to be found in the swamps, streams and dune lakes that lie in the lowland, coastal 'wallum' heaths between north-eastern NSW and south-eastern Queensland (including Fraser, Stradbroke and Moreton islands). Their specific habitat requirements include fresh, acidic waters and abundant aquatic vegetation. Even within areas of their habitat, their distribution is patchy and despite extensive searching, the species has only been found in a relatively small number of locations in NSW and Queensland.

The coastal wallum heaths where pygmy perch are found once formed part of an almost continuous strip down the mid-east coast of Australia from Bundaberg in southern Queensland to Coffs Harbour on the north coast of NSW. However, land clearing for residential development, farming, mining, pine plantations and drainage impacts have led to a severe reduction in wallum heaths and remaining areas are highly fragmented. While some important tracts of wallum heaths are protected within coastal national parks, other remaining areas of pygmy perch habitat are found on private or Crown land that could be developed in the future.

Further information on the distribution of pygmy perch can be found in the accompanying background paper.

3. Identification of critical habitat

Critical habitat provisions are established by Division 3 of Part 7A of the *Fisheries Management Act 1994*. The Minister may declare the whole or any part of the habitat critical to the survival of a species, population or ecological community as critical habitat. Public authorities are required to have regard to critical habitat in exercising any of their functions in relation to any land involved. The *Fisheries Management Act 1994* also establishes offences in relation to damaging critical habitat. Once declared, a species impact statement is mandatory for all developments and activities that are likely to affect the critical habitat.

In Queensland the *Nature Conservation Act* 1992 – *Nature Conservation (Wildlife) Regulation* 1994 and the *Vegetation Management Act* 1999 contain provisions to identify and protect critical habitat or areas of major interest. The *Nature Conservation Act* 1992 defines critical habitat as 'habitat that is essential for the conservation of a viable population of protected wildlife or community of native wildlife, whether or not special management considerations and protection are required'.

The specific requirements for identifying habitat critical to the survival of nationally threatened species, populations and ecological communities are established by Regulation 7.09 of the Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*.

No critical habitat has been declared for pygmy perch at the time this plan was prepared (July 2005). Future background papers will provide details of any critical habitat declarations for pygmy perch. The recovery actions listed in this plan recognise the need to identify critical habitat for pygmy perch.

4. Identification of threatening processes

The purpose of this section is to identify the processes affecting the long-term survival of pygmy perch and to provide a brief overview of each threat. Further information on each threat may be found in the background paper that supports this plan.

4.1 Limitations in current understanding

There is limited information on the biology and ecology of pygmy perch in the wild.

Habitat and environmental tolerances

The habitat associations for pygmy perch and the water quality parameters at most sites where the species has been found have been relatively well documented (see Table 1 in the accompanying background paper). However, in NSW, pygmy perch have been found in several sites with 'atypical' water quality and habitat characteristics. This suggests that the apparent dependence on these needs by pygmy perch requires greater examination. In addition, little information exists on their tolerance to disturbance, habitat degradation or pollution.

Life history and genetics

Significant gaps exist in information about the life history, population dynamics, dispersal patterns and genetics of the pygmy perch. For example, little is known about why the species is present or absent at certain sites over time. More knowledge about the genetic structure of pygmy perch populations and methods of dispersal would help in understanding what effect barriers to their distribution, population fragmentation or changes to topography or hydrology have on the viability of the species. Genetic studies would also provide data that would assist in the conservation of pygmy perch genetic diversity and genetic population structure, which is critical to ensuring their long-term viability.

4.2 Community awareness and support

Pygmy perch do not have a high profile in the community or among planners and developers. This is partly because they are considered a small, rare fish with no recreational fishing value. In many cases access to accurate information about the species, improved awareness, and appropriate planning could avoid many of the impacts on pygmy perch caused by human activities.

4.3 Habitat degradation and loss

The amount of coastal wallum heath has diminished since European settlement. This has been a major factor in the historical decline of pygmy perch and the pressures on remaining areas of suitable pygmy perch habitat continue.

Intact areas of wallum heath are now largely confined to protected areas such as Broadwater, Bundjalung and Yuraygir National Parks in NSW and Cooloola (Great Sandy) and Moreton Island National Parks in Queensland. These areas have played an integral role in the survival of pygmy perch. They have helped preserve large tracts of wallum heath habitat and most recent reports of pygmy perch in NSW have come from water bodies within the parks.

However, even habitats in protected areas can be degraded through a range of recreational, management or development activities. Examples include run-off from unsealed roads, hazard reduction burning, bushfire fighting activities and disturbance by recreational users such as four-wheel vehicle drivers. There are also several pygmy perch sites known to exist close to residential areas (or areas zoned for development) and the survival of these populations may be threatened by surface run-off and other activities that degrade habitats.

Some areas of comparatively undisturbed wallum heath still occur on freehold land. However, there is a risk that these areas will be lost because of land demands for housing, agriculture and other developments as populations continue to grow on the north coast of NSW and southeast Queensland. Housing development and road construction projects pose major habitat threats and need to be carefully managed to avoid or minimise impacts.

4.4 Introduced fish species

Oxleyan pygmy perch are likely to have been adversely affected by the presence of introduced species, particularly the plague minnow (or 'mosquitofish') *Gambusia holbrooki*, in many parts of their range.

As a species, gambusia is competitive and combines high environmental tolerance with flexible feeding and habitat needs and can compete with native species for food and other resources. Gambusia has been linked to the worldwide decline of many endemic fish species. They are now regarded as a pest in Australian waters. While there is little information about the impacts of gambusia on pygmy perch, their aggression and ability to survive and compete for food in habitats native to pygmy perch, suggest their presence has been detrimental to pygmy perch.

Intentionally introducing other fish into areas outside their natural range (such as to enhance recreational fishing opportunities) may also have negative impacts on pygmy perch because the species have not coevolved.

4.5 Collection and aquarium keeping

Oxleyan pygmy perch are a threatened species in NSW and Queensland and it is illegal to catch and keep, buy, sell, possess or harm them without a permit or licence, and heavy penalties apply.

The number of pygmy perch illegally collected for aquariums is impossible to estimate. Although their collection is far less damaging to their overall survival than habitat degradation. Aquarium collectors have been observed removing large numbers of indigenous fish from wallum heath water bodies ^[1]. There have also been several reports in aquarium journals on collecting and keeping pygmy perch.

The random collection of pygmy perch for aquariums is likely to be harmful to some small, restricted populations of the species, particularly when they are difficult to keep and breed and more fish are collected to replace aquarium mortalities. While collection alone is unlikely to remove a complete population, even in highly accessible areas, any reduction in numbers may

affect the population's ability to recover from floods, pollution or introduced species such as gambusia.

5. Overall recovery objectives and performance criteria

5.1 Recovery plan objectives

The overall objective of this recovery plan is to prevent the extinction and ensure the recovery and ongoing viability in nature of Oxleyan pygmy perch populations.

The specific objectives of the recovery plan are to:

- Increase scientific knowledge and understanding about the distribution, habitat, life history, ecology and genetics of pygmy perch
- Increase community awareness and support of pygmy perch recovery actions
- Protect and restore essential habitats for pygmy perch
- Minimise the impacts of introduced fish on pygmy perch
- Reduce the illegal collection of pygmy perch by encouraging and involving aquarium enthusiasts to support recovery efforts
- Establish a program to monitor the status of pygmy perch and assess the effectiveness of recovery actions.

5.2 **Performance criteria**

The success of the plan will be measured against the criterion that:

• The status of Oxleyan pygmy perch is revised from 'endangered' to 'vulnerable' on listings under the NSW *Fisheries Management Act 1994* and Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*, and from 'vulnerable' to 'near threatened' under the Queensland *Nature Conservation Act 1992* within 15 years.

6. Recovery objectives, actions and responsibilities

The recovery objectives, actions and responsibilities have been presented in three functional program areas: research and investigation, compliance and regulation, and management activities.

6.1 Research & investigation activities (RIA)

Objective 1: Undertake a survey program to better establish the distribution of pygmy perch and their habitat requirements

RIA 1:

• Evaluate sampling methodologies to determine the most effective way to sample pygmy perch populations while minimising adverse impacts on the species.

RIA 2:

• Conduct broad-scale surveys to establish the species' limits of distribution and to identify catchments where the species might be found.

RIA 3:

• Conduct intensive surveys in drainage areas identified as supporting or potentially supporting new pygmy perch populations, to map their distribution and identify habitat associations.

NSW Responsibility: RIA	A 1, 2 & 3: DPI
NSW Partners: Uni	iversities, research institutions, ANGFA
Qld Potential Contributor: RIA reg	A 1, 2 & 3: Qld DPIF, Burnett Mary Regional Body, Western SEQ Catchments jional body, SE Qld Regional Body
Timeframe: RIA	A 1, 2:Year 1
RIA	A 3: Year 2, 3

Objective 2: Model and map known and potential pygmy perch habitat

RIA 4:

• Develop a GIS-based map of the distribution of known and predicted pygmy perch habitat across the species range. This will be achieved by combining available mapping and remote sensing data (including soil and vegetation layers, drainage patterns, land tenure, zoning and other relevant planning information) with existing or new field data.

RIA 5:

• Produce fine-scale maps for important areas (e.g. near towns) showing the distribution of water bodies: (a) known, (b) with the potential, and (c) unlikely to support pygmy perch.

NSW Responsibility:	RIA 4 & 5: DPI
NSW Partners:	
Qld Potential Contributor:	RIA 4 & 5: QId DPIF, QId EPA/QPWS
Timeframe:	RIA 4 & 5: Year 1, 2

Objective 3: Support research into the environmental tolerances, population dynamics and other aspects of the life history and ecology of pygmy perch

RIA 6:

• Encourage scientific investigation of key areas of the biology and ecology of pygmy perch to provide information valuable to the recovery of the species or its management. This may include work to establish environmental tolerances, ability to survive in disturbed habitats, factors influencing population dynamics and variability, age and growth, diet, etc.

NSW Responsibility:	RIA 6: DPI
NSW Partners:	Universities, ANGFA
Qld Potential Contributor:	RIA 6: Qld DPIF, Burnett Mary Regional Body, Western SEQ Catchments regional body and SE Qld Regional Body
Timeframe:	RIA 6: Year 3, ongoing

Objective 4: Conduct genetic research to establish the degree of isolation between populations and factors influencing dispersal of the pygmy perch

RIA 7:

• Initiate a project to examine genetic diversity and structure in populations of pygmy perch, in collaboration with a university or other research institution.

RIA 8:

• Support this work by collecting genetic material (e.g. fin clips) during surveys within NSW.

NSW Responsibility:	RIA 7 & 8: DPI
NSW Partners:	Universities
Qld Potential Contributor:	RIA 7: QId DPIF
Timeframe:	RIA 7: Year 4
	RIA 8: Year 2, ongoing

Objective 5: Monitor populations of gambusia and other exotic or native introduced fish species within or near water bodies occupied by pygmy perch, and implement measures to reduce their impacts

RIA 9:

• Record data on all fish species captured during the survey program and on-going monitoring program for entry into a species database. The data will record the capture of introduced and indigenous species.

RIA 10:

• Use this data, and any other available records, to map the distribution of introduced species relative to pygmy perch and any expansion in their range or abundance over time.

RIA 11:

• Implement the NSW Freshwater Fish Stocking Fishery Management Strategy and Qld DPIF translocation policy to prevent significant impacts from stocking on pygmy perch populations.

NSW Responsibility:	RIA 9, 10, 11: DPI
NSW Partners:	ANGFA
Qld Potential Contributor:	RIA 9, 10 & 11: Qld DPIF, Burnett Mary Regional Body, Western SEQ Catchments Regional Body and SE Qld Regional Body.
Timeframe:	RIA 9: Year 2, ongoing
	RIA 10: Year 5
	RIA 11: Ongoing

Objective 6: Study interactions between gambusia and pygmy perch to better establish the degree of threat posed by gambusia

RIA 12:

• Support further studies on interaction between the two species (eg. experimental trials, behavioural studies, resource partitioning studies) to determine the probable impacts of gambusia on pygmy perch.

NSW Responsibility:	RIA 12:DPI
NSW Partners:	Universities, research institutions
Qld Potential Contributor:	RIA 12: Qld DPIF, Burnett Mary Regional Body, Western SEQ Catchments Regional Body, SE Qld Regional Body.
Timeframe:	RIA 12: Year 6, 7

6.2 Compliance and regulatory activities (CRA)

Objective 7: Provide information to Councils, agencies and other organisations to support appropriate planning and impact assessment			
CRA 1:			
Provide GIS-base government ager CRA 2:	maps of known and potential pygmy perch sites on CD-ROM, to councils and relevant es.		
 Develop Environi for Oxleyan pygn environmental co 	Develop Environmental Impact Assessment Guidelines (NSW) and Supplementary Significance Guidelines (Qld) for Oxleyan pygmy perch and distribute these to relevant councils, CMAs, State government agencies, environmental consultants and developers.		
NSW Responsibility	CRA 1 & 2: DPI		
NSW Partners:	CMAs		
Qld Potential Contri	tor: CRA 1 & 2: Burnett Mary Regional Body, Western SEQ Catchments Regional Body, SE Qld Regional Body		
Timeframes:	CRA 1: Year 3		
	CRA 2: Year 4		

Objective 8: Investigate and implement options for providing increased protection for key areas of pygmy perch habitat

CRA 3:

• Review regulatory and voluntary incentive based mechanisms available to enhance protection for key pygmy perch habitat areas and apply as required. This may include the use of critical habitat provisions, aquatic protected areas, voluntary conservation agreements etc.

NSW Responsibility:	CRA 3: DPI, DEC
NSW Partners:	
Qld Potential Contributor:	CRA 3: Qld EPA/QPWS, Burnett Mary Regional Body, Western SEQ Catchments Regional Body, SE Qld Regional Body
Timeframes:	CRA 3: Year 2

Objective 9: Ensure compliance with the ban on collecting through communication with aquarium enthusiasts

CRA 4:

• Communicate information on the conservation and legal status of pygmy perch through appropriate media such as aquarium industry journals, society newsletters, conferences, etc.

NSW Responsibility:	CRA 4: DPI
NSW Partners:	ANGFA
Qld Potential Contributor:	CRA 4: Qld DPIF, Qld EPA/QPWS, Burnett Mary Regional Body, Western SEQ Catchments Regional Body, SE Qld Regional Body.
Timeframes:	CRA 4: Year 1, ongoing

6.3 Management Activities (MA)

Objective 10: Develop an education program to increase community awareness of pygmy perch (in both urban and rural areas) and encourage community involvement			
MA	A 1:		
•	Produce and distribute information brochures (e.g. Fishnotes) and other advisory materials. Advisory material will be posted to stakeholders and distributed at meetings, community days and other functions. They will also be on display at local councils, relevant government offices and CMA/NRM regional offices.		
MA	A 2:		
•	 Write articles for regional newspapers and/or relevant magazines to raise awareness of pygmy perch status and issues. 		
MA	A <i>3:</i>		
•	Encourage participation of	of local indigenous people through direct consultation and targeted advisory activities.	
MA	A <i>4:</i>		
•	 Develop and distribute pygmy perch educational materials including information on the species habitat and role in the ecosystem. 		
MA	A 5:		
•	 Install signs and/or interpretive displays at appropriate locations (eg. access points for national parks or on council- managed land). 		
NS	SW Responsibility:	MA 1: DPI, DEC	
		MA 2, 3 & 4: DPI	
		MA 5: DPI, DEC	
NSW Partners:		Local councils	
Qld Potential Contributor:		MA 1 - 4: Qld DPIF, Qld EPA/QPWS, Burnett Mary Regional Body, Western SEQ Catchments Regional Body, SE Qld Regional Body.	
		MA 5: QId DPIF, QId EPA/QPWS, QId DNRM	
Timeframes:		MA 1,2 & 3: Year 1, ongoing	
		MA 4: Year 4	
		MA 5: Year 3	

Objective 11: Manage pygmy perch habitats occurring on national park estate			
MA	6:		
•	Incorporate the location of pygmy perch sites within national parks into the information systems used to manage national park activities.		
MA	7:		
• li F p	Incorporate the use of habitat protection mechanisms into the management plans for relevant NSW and Qld National Parks. These can include the closure of access trails that bisect key water bodies or the modification of weed and pest control activities.		
MA 8:			
• li	ncorporate appropriate im	pact minimisation considerations in bushfire hazard reduction plans.	
NSW Responsibility:		MA 6, 8: DPI, DEC	
		MA 7: DPI, DEC	
NSW Partners:			
Qld Potential Contributor:		MA 6 – 8: QId EPA/QPWS	
Timeframes:		MA 6:Year 3	
		MA 7, 8: Year 4	

Objective 12: Minimise the impacts on pygmy perch habitats from current and future urban development, agriculture and forestry

MA 9:

• Negotiate with councils in regard to local environmental plans, development control plans and other planning documents, regarding the type and scale of development near pygmy perch populations and habitat.

MA 10:

• Provide appropriate knowledge and expertise to assist councils to develop drain management plans which avoid or minimise the impacts of drain construction and maintenance on pygmy perch populations and habitats.

MA 11:

• Identify pygmy perch habitat sites affected by sedimentation, pollution or barriers to fish movement such as roads and trails. Encourage land holders or relevant agencies (e.g. councils, RTA, DEC) to install sediment and pollution controls and provide adequate fish passage.

MA 12:

• Incorporate information and strategies to reduce the impacts of bush fire hazard reduction works, fire fighting operations and fire trail construction on pygmy perch populations and habitats when reviewing local bush fire management plans and other reserve or community fire plans.

MA 13:

• Encourage the identification, assessment and modification of natural resource management plans and policies (including catchment action plans, water management plans, vegetation management plans, and other land management plans) which may impact on pygmy perch habitat to minimise impacts on stream flows, connectivity of habitats, riparian vegetation or soils in wallum heath areas.

NSW Responsibility:	MA 9, 10, 11 DPI, DEC
	MA 12: DPI, RFS, DEC
	MA 13: DPI, DEC, DIPNR, local councils
NSW Partners:	Local councils
Qld Potential Contributor:	MA 9 – 11: Qld DPIF, Qld EPA/QPWS, Burnett Mary Regional Body, Western SEQ Catchments Regional Body, SE Qld Regional Body
	MA 12: QId RFS, QId DPIF, QId EPA/QPWS
	MA 13: Burnett Mary Regional Body, Western SEQ Catchments Regional Body, SE Qld Regional Body
Timeframes:	MA 9 - 13: ongoing

Objective 13: Identify and restore degraded pygmy perch habitats

MA 14:

• Identify degraded habitats known or likely to have supported pygmy perch, where there is a potential for the species to re-establish viable populations.

MA 15:

• Develop guidelines for rehabilitation work which includes advice on appropriate native species for replanting, effective sediment controls etc.

MA 16:

• Work with relevant stakeholders to prioritise and commence the rehabilitation of key pygmy perch habitat. This may include the establishment of a 'demonstration site' where various rehabilitation techniques are trailed and water quality monitoring undertaken.

MA 17:

• Seek funds to expand rehabilitation work through grant schemes or other sources.

NSW Responsibility:	MA 14: DPI, DEC, local councils
	MA 15: DPI, DEC
	MA 16: DPI, DEC, DIPNR, local councils
	MA 17: DPI, local councils
NSW Partners:	Community groups, CMAs
Qld Potential Contributor:	MA 14 – 15: Qld DPIF, Burnett Mary Regional Body, Western SEQ Catchments Regional Body, SE Qld Regional Body
	MA 16: Qld DPIF, Qld EPA/QPWS, Burnett Mary Regional Body, Western SEQ Catchments Regional Body, SE Qld Regional Body
	MA 17: Burnett Mary Regional Body, Western SEQ Catchments Regional Body, SE Qld Regional Body
Timeframes:	MA 14, 15: Year 4, ongoing
	MA 16, 17: Year 5, ongoing

Objective 14: Investigate options for managing existing gambusia populations and preventing their spread to other areas

MA 18:

• Investigate the feasibility of eradicating gambusia from enclosed water bodies in close proximity to pygmy perch habitat that are high-risk in terms of the spread of gambusia.

MA 19:

• Develop and implement a gambusia threat abatement plan (including the development of controls that could be used in waterways containing pygmy perch).

NSW Responsibility:	MA 18 - 19: DPI, DEC
NSW Partners:	
Qld Potential Contributor:	MA 19: QId DPIF
Timeframes:	MA 18: Year 6, ongoing
	MA 19: ongoing

Objective 15: Develop and implement a public education program on identifying undesirable species and encourage reporting

MA 20:

• Ensure that the Protected, Threatened and Pest Species Sighting Program cover the NSW north coast area where the pygmy perch occurs. Ensure materials are available to assist the public in identifying gambusia, and encourage reporting of gambusia sightings in or near pygmy perch habitat.

MA 21:

• Incorporate any information obtained from the public into the species database, available via the web, and use it to assist in mapping the distribution of gambusia.

MA 22:

• Incorporate gambusia and its impacts on pygmy perch in general pest species literature and communication programs on pest species.

NSW Responsibility:	MA 20 -22: DPI
NSW Partners:	ANGFA
Qld Potential Contributor:	MA 22: Qld DPIF, Qld EPA/QPWS, Burnett Mary Regional Body, Western SEQ Catchments Regional Body, SE Qld Regional Body
Timeframes:	MA 20, 22: ongoing
	MA 21:ongoing

Objective 16: Develop cooperative threatened species survey and sighting programs

MA 23:

• Facilitate the involvement of ANGFA volunteers, wherever possible, in survey work undertaken as part of the sampling and monitoring program.

MA 24:

• Encourage the inclusion of sampling for pygmy perch into any ANGFA fieldtrips in parts of the State where the species may potentially occur, and ensure additional records are provided to NSW DPI.

NSW Responsibility:	MA 23, 24: NSW DPI
NSW Partners:	ANGFA
Qld Potential Contributor:	N/A
Timeframes:	MA 23, 24: Year 1, ongoing

Objective 17: Establish a long-term monitoring program to assess the ongoing status of pygmy perch and the effectiveness of recovery actions

MA 25:

• Evaluate the results of the initial survey program in terms of techniques, timing and site selection to develop and implement a long-term program to assess the ongoing conservation status of the species and the success of recovery actions.

NSW Responsibility:	MA 25: DPI
NSW Partners:	Community groups
Qld Potential Contributor:	Qld DPIF, Burnett Mary Regional Body, Western SEQ Catchments Regional Body, SE Qld Regional Body
Timeframes:	MA 25: Years 3, 6, 9

Acronyms:

 DPI – NSW Department of Primary Industries
 MA – Man.

 DIPNR – Department of Infrastructure, Planning & Natural Resources
 RIA – Res

 DEC – Department of Environment & Conservation
 CRA – Col

 QId EPA/QPWS – Environmental Protection Agency-/ QId Parks & Wildlife Service
 RFS – NSW Rural Fire Service

 QId DNRM: Department of Natural Resources & Mines & Wiildlife Service
 QId DPIF – Department of Primary Industries Fisheries

 FSC – Fisheries Scientific Committee
 CMAs – Catchment Management Authorities

7. Monitoring, evaluation and review

The overall performance criteria of down-listing Oxleyan pygmy perch from endangered to vulnerable on the Schedules of the *Fisheries Management Act 1994* and the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* and from 'vulnerable' to 'near threatened' under the Qld *Nature Conservation Act 1992* will be the primary measure used to assess the success of the objectives of this plan.

The recovery plan recognises the need for a strategically focused monitoring program to enable the effectiveness of recovery actions to be evaluated.

The recovery plan will be audited and reviewed every three years to assess the implementation of recovery actions and the success of the actions in recovering pygmy perch to a position of viability in nature. The outcomes of the audit will be reported in a background paper.

This recovery plan will be subject to major review within ten (10) years from the date of publication.

8. Social and economic issues

The following sections outline some of the potential adverse social and economic consequences that may result from the development and implementation of this plan, and the ways in which these can be minimised or ameliorated.

The objectives and actions of this plan have also been formulated with the aim of minimising adverse social and economic impacts. However, the recovery plan is likely to have some social and economic impacts on local communities. These impacts are likely to have positive and negative aspects and different sectors of the community may respond in a variety of ways.

Some owners and managers of pygmy perch habitat, particularly those interested in developing these areas, may view the recovery plan as negative or restrictive. Few landholders are likely to be affected this way because most identified sites are found within national parks. However, some owners with land adjacent to national parks or other recorded sites may be affected and other sites may be identified in future on private land.

Many community members may view the recovery plan as positive because it will lead to the increased appreciation of the area's natural ecology and encourage future development plans to preserve their value. This could also lead to greater opportunities for tourism and recreation, while some private landholders with pygmy perch on their property have expressed their enthusiasm to participate in recovery activities for the species.

The major economic consequences of the recovery plan relate to the costs of implementation, although there may also be some economic consequences resulting from increased costs or decreased opportunities for some forms of development.

Ways to minimise or modify any adverse social or economic effects from the plan are discussed below.

MA – Management Action RIA – Research & Information Action CRA – Compliance and Regulatory Action

8.1 Development

As pygmy perch are not a species with any recreational fishing value, any social or economic impacts of the recovery plan on local communities are most likely to flow from changes to development opportunities.

The NSW *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* requires the potential impacts of a development on any threatened species to be considered by authorities responsible for its approval. If a major impact is likely, the developer must prepare a SIS as part of their proposal. Any decision about this type of development by a consent authority must also be approved by the Director-General of the DPI.

These protective laws may be seen as an economic burden by developers who may be required to contract consultants to prepare a species impact statement as well as bear the costs involved in the delay, conditional approval or rejection of a proposal. The legislation may also represent a burden to consent or determining authorities if they lack the appropriate expertise and information needed to assess development proposals.

However, these legal requirements arise from the endangered status of pygmy perch under the *Fisheries Management Act 1994* and the existing provisions of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979*, and are not a consequence of the recovery plan. The recovery plan will help to ease some of the economic effects by distributing relevant information to those involved in the assessment of impacts, particularly to consent and determining authorities.

8.2 National parks, tourism and recreation

Coastal national parks such as Broadwater, Bundjalung and Yuraygir are important locations for tourism and local recreational activities such as walking, bird watching, wildflower observation, camping, surfing, fishing, four-wheel driving and canoeing. Efforts to reduce damage to Oxleyan pygmy perch habitat (wallum heath) within these areas will have important socio-economic benefits by protecting the natural integrity of these areas, thereby enhancing recreational opportunities. However, in some cases public access may need to be restricted and trails near pygmy perch habitat may be closed.

The recovery plan requires park management to consider pygmy perch during activities such as pest management, fire management, weed eradication, erosion control measures and habitat management. As a rule, the costs of these activities should already be included in their management plans. Similarly, the cost of management activities on other publicly owned lands relate mainly to activities required for the normal management of the land, such as fire management and weed control, and as such should already be budgeted for.

8.3 Native fish collection and breeding

As a threatened species, it is illegal to take Oxleyan pygmy perch from the wild, or buy, sell or possess them without a permit from the relevant state agency. This means pygmy perch cannot be kept, bred or collected for aquariums by native fish enthusiasts. It is clear from a number of reports that pygmy perch have been collected for this purpose in the past.

However, some native fish aquarists have indicated they are interested in participating in recovery actions for pygmy perch. For example, the Australia New Guinea Fishes Association (ANGFA) is a partner in the recovery program and will be involved in compiling records through survey work, field excursions and collecting trips along with the education of its members. The recovery plan will also draw on the interest, expertise and enthusiasm of members of these groups.

8.4 Indigenous cultural issues

Recent surveys to determine the distribution of pygmy perch in NSW, reported pygmy perch on Aboriginal land west of Bundjalung National Park ^[29]. The indigenous owners of this land have shown an interest in being involved in recovery actions.

A process to assess the cultural significance of pygmy perch and wallum heath habitats to local Aboriginal people has started in consultation with local area land councils and elders groups. It will also consider the effects of the recovery program and the level of community interest in participating in recovery activities.

The NSW DPI has developed an Indigenous Fisheries Strategy and implementation plan. A primary goal of the strategy is to "encourage and support the involvement of indigenous communities in the management of the state's fisheries resources" and a key implementation approach is to "acknowledge and address indigenous issues in preparing every fishery management strategy", which includes this recovery plan.

Local Aboriginal groups will be encouraged to take part in activities that are part of the recovery plan. Any proposal that could affect places of cultural importance will need to be discussed in direct consultation with local groups.

8.5 General community attitudes and involvement

Aside from the social and economic effects that could result from restrictions on development, some people in the community may be dissatisfied with recovery activities that limit public access or activities in some areas.

Overall, responses to the recovery plan are expected to be very positive. Regional communities are usually quick to support conservation efforts for such a locally identifiable species and the opportunity exists for the community to adopt the Oxleyan pygmy perch as a local symbol. Increased awareness about the conservation of threatened species may help to change any negative impressions about the plan.

Continued liaison with landholders, local councils and the community will help to minimise any social effects from the conservation of pygmy perch.

9. Implementation & costs

In NSW the DPI has a statutory responsibility to prepare and lead the implementation of this recovery plan. In Qld a range of potential contributors are identified as having implementation responsibilities. The long-term success of the plan in recovering pygmy perch to a position of viability in nature will depend on the involvement of agencies, organisations and individuals who have a role in activities that affect the viability of the species. In NSW, public authorities must take any appropriate action available to them to implement the measures for which they have an identified responsibility in a recovery plan. Similarly, consent and determining authorities must consider relevant recovery plans when exercising decision-making functions under Part 4 & 5 of the *Environmental Planning Act 1979*. Such authorities, when considering an activity that may impact on pygmy perch or its habitat, must consider the provisions of this plan.

Wherever possible, recovery activities for the pygmy perch will be linked to existing government or community programs to prevent duplication, in particular via catchment action plans or other natural resource management plans.

10. Further information

Further information on pygmy perch can be found in the background paper that supports this plan. The background paper includes information on:

- The legislative context and implications of the plan,
- Biological and ecological information on pygmy perch,
- Current threats to the species survival, and
- Reporting on the implementation of the recovery plan.

Copies of the background paper and additional information can be obtained from:

NSW Department of Primary Industries Fisheries Management Branch Port Stephens Fisheries Centre Private Bag 1 Nelson Bay NSW 2315 Ph: (02) 4982 1232 www.dpi.nsw.gov.au

Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service Threatened Species and Ecosystems Unit Wildlife Conservation Branch PO Box 15155 CITY EAST QLD 4002 www.epa.qld.gov.au

11. References

- 1. Arthington AH. Recovery Plan for the Oxleyan pygmy perch *Nannoperca oxleyana*. Final Report to the Australian Nature Conservation Agency. 1996.
- 2. Arthington AH, Marshall CJ. Threatened fishes of the world: *Nannoperca oxleyana* Whitley, 1940 (Nannopercidae). Environmental Biol of Fishes 1996;46: 150.
- 3. Knight JT. Distribution, population structure and habitat preferences of the Oxleyan pygmy perch *Nannoperca oxleyana* (Whitley 1940) near Evans Head, northeastern New South Wales. Unpublished Bachelor of Applied Science Honours Thesis, Lismore, Southern Cross University, 2000.
- Knight JT. Distributional limits of the endangered Oxleyan pygmy perch Nannoperca oxleyana (Whitley 1940) in northeastern New South Wales (Research Phase 1: 31/05/2001-28/08/2001). Unpublished report, Office of Conservation, NSW Fisheries, Port Stephens, 2001a.
- Kuiter RH, Humphries PA, Arthington AH. Family Nannopercidae Pygmy Perches. Pp 168-175 in McDowall, R. (ed.), Freshwater Fishes of South-Eastern Australia (Revised edition). Reed Books, Sydney, 1996.
- 6. Thompson C, Arthington A, Kennard M. Oxleyan pygmy perch *Nannoperca oxleyana* Whitley, 1940. Aust Soc for Fish Biol Newsletter 2000;30 (1): 31-32.

Oxleyan Pygmy Perch

Nannoperca oxleyana

Background paper

Supporting information to the Oxleyan pygmy perch recovery plan

© NSW Department of Primary Industries, 2005.

This work is copyright. Apart from any use as permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968*, no part may be reproduced without prior written permission from the NSW Department of Primary Industries.

NSW Department of Primary Industries Fisheries Management Branch Port Stephens Fisheries Centre Private Bag 1 NELSON BAY NSW 2315 www.dpi.nsw.gov.au

For further information contact:

NSW Department of Primary Industries Port Stephens Fisheries Centre Private Bag 1 NELSON BAY NSW 2315

Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service Threatened Species and Ecosystems Unit Wildlife Conservation Branch PO Box 15155 CITY EAST QLD 4002

Cover photograph by Gunther Schmida

Table of contents

1. INTF	RODUCTION	4
2. LEG	ISLATIVE CONTEXT	4
2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 2.6	LISTING OF THREATENED SPECIES RECOVERY PLANNING CRITICAL HABITAT RECOVERY PLAN PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION LINKAGES WITH OTHER RECOVERY AND THREAT ABATEMENT PLANS BIODIVERSITY BENEFITS	444556
3. BIO	LOGY AND ECOLOGY	8
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9	NAMESSYSTEMATIC POSITION DESCRIPTION DISTRIBUTION ABUNDANCE	8 8 1 2 4
4. CON	ISERVATION STATUS1	6
4.1 4.2	LISTINGS	6 6
5. CUR	RENT ISSUES AND THREATS 1	7
5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5	LIMITATIONS IN CURRENT UNDERSTANDING	7 8 8 20 21
6. IMPI	LEMENTATION AND REPORTING	22
7. FUR	THER INFORMATION	22
REFEREN	NCES	24
APPEND	CES	27

1. Introduction

The Oxleyan pygmy perch is listed as an endangered species on Schedule 4 of the NSW *Fisheries Management Act 1994*. The species is also listed as endangered under the Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* and as vulnerable under the Queensland *Nature Conservation Act 1992*. The NSW Department of Primary Industries (DPI) in consultation with the Queensland Environmental Protection Agency / Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service has prepared a statutory recovery plan to promote the recovery of pygmy perch to a position of viability in nature.

This recovery statement is a non-statutory document that provides additional information on:

- The legislative context and implications of the plan,
- Biological and ecological information on pygmy perch,
- Current threats to the species survival, and
- Reporting on the implementation of the recovery plan.

The recovery statement will be updated every three years to incorporate the latest information on plan implementation, monitoring, review, and achievements.

2. Legislative context

2.1 Listing of threatened species

Oxleyan pygmy perch are listed as 'threatened' under both State and Commonwealth legislation. Pygmy perch are listed as endangered under the NSW *Fisheries Management Act 1994* and the Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*. They are also listed as vulnerable under the Queensland *Nature Conservation Act 1992*.

2.2 Recovery planning

Recovery plans may be prepared by the NSW DPI for species, populations and ecological communities listed as endangered or vulnerable on the schedules of the *Fisheries Management Act 1994*. Approved recovery plans are statutory documents. Ministers and public authorities need to take appropriate actions to implement the measures in the plan for which they are responsible, and to ensure their decisions are not inconsistent with the provisions of the plan without consulting the Minister for Primary Industries. The *Fisheries Management Act 1994* also requires public authorities (other than local councils) with identified responsibilities in a recovery plan to report on implementation actions in their annual report to Parliament. Local councils must report on actions in annual State of the Environment reports.

In Queensland, recovery plans may be prepared for species listed under the *Nature Conservation (Wildlife) Regulation 1994.* Queensland recovery plans state the research and management actions necessary to stop the decline, support the recovery and enhance the chance of long-term survival in the wild, of a stated species or community of protected wildlife.

National recovery plans must also be prepared for threatened species listed under the Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*.

2.3 Critical habitat

Both State and Commonwealth legislation allow for the listing of critical habitat. In NSW, the *Fisheries Management Act 1994* requires, wherever possible, the identification and declaration of 'critical habitat' for endangered species, populations and ecological communities. Critical habitat is the whole or any part of the habitat of an endangered species, population or ecological community that is critical to its survival.

In Queensland the *Nature Conservation Act* 1992 – *Nature Conservation (Wildlife) Regulation* 1994 and the *Vegetation Management Act* 1999 contain provisions to identify and protect critical habitat or areas of major interest. The *Nature Conservation Act* 1992 defines critical habitat as 'habitat that is essential for the conservation of a viable population of protected wildlife or community of native wildlife, whether or not special management considerations and protection are required'.

The Commonwealth Environment Minister may identify and list habitat critical to ensure the survival of threatened species or ecological communities listed under the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*. The specific requirements for identifying habitat critical to the survival of nationally threatened species, populations and ecological communities are established by Regulation 7.09 of the Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*.

There has been no critical habitat declared for Oxleyan pygmy perch. The option of identifying and declaring critical habitat for pygmy perch will be considered as part of the recovery planning process.

2.4 Recovery plan preparation and implementation

This recovery plan was developed by the NSW DPI in accordance with the requirements of the *Fisheries Management Act 1994* (as outlined in section 220ZN; see Appendix 1a) and the Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (Appendix 1b), and complies with the Revised Recovery Plan Guidelines for Nationally Listed Threatened Species and Ecological Communities 2002 and the Draft Queensland Recovery Plan Procedural Guidelines (draft) 2005.

The NSW DPI has a statutory responsibility to prepare and lead the implementation of this recovery plan within NSW. In Queensland a number of potential contributors are identified as having implementation responsibilities. However, the success of the plan and the long-term recovery of Oxleyan pygmy perch will require action by many organisations and individuals who either have an interest in the conservation of the species or whose actions and decisions have the potential to affect its survival. For instance, regional natural resource management bodies in NSW and Qld have a role in implementing this recovery plan. In NSW, the *Catchment Management Authorities Act 2003* requires Catchment Management Authorities to have regard to natural resource management plans (including recovery plans) during the development of their catchment action plans.

The estimated costs associated with implementing the actions identified in the Oxleyan pygmy perch recovery plan are included at Appendix 2.

2.5 Linkages with other recovery and threat abatement plans

The wallum heath habitats of Oxleyan pygmy perch support several other threatened species, including the vulnerable honey blue-eye *Pseudomugil mellis*, the vulnerable wallum froglet *Crinia tinnula* and the Olongurra frog or wallum sedge frog *Litoria olongburensis*.

These species are listed under the Queensland *Nature Conservation Act 1992* (administered by the Environment Protection Agency / Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service) and the NSW *Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995* (administered by the Department of Environment and Conservation). Recovery plans for these species have not yet been prepared, but it is likely that recovery activities for these species and Oxleyan pygmy perch will be cross-linked in some areas.

In addition, the predation of several threatened frog species by the plague minnow (*Gambusia holbrooki*) has led to its listing as a key threatening process (Schedule 3) under the NSW *Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995.* The preparation and implementation of a threat abatement plan for Gambusia should benefit the frog species and the recovery of pygmy perch, which also appears to be detrimentally affected by Gambusia.

2.6 Biodiversity benefits

The decline of Oxleyan pygmy perch highlights the importance of habitat conservation for the protection of biodiversity. The coastal wallum heathlands where pygmy perch occur are unique, regionally significant communities that support a diversity of native flora and fauna in a variety of habitat sub-types. The flora includes species from families such as Proteaceae (including the endemic 'wallum banksia' *Banksia aemula*), Epacridaceae (Australian heaths), Myrtaceae, Rutaceae, Fabaceae, Euphorbiaceae, Restionaceae and Cyperaceae.

The terrestrial vertebrate fauna includes birds, skinks, dragons, snakes and a range of small marsupials and bats. The creeks, lakes and swamps support around 30 species of fish ^{[1] [2] [3] [21]}, at least three species of freshwater turtles ^{[9] [12] [18] [21]}, numerous frog species ^{[6] [11] [21] [25]} and diverse aquatic invertebrate fauna.

Many of these species have a restricted distribution and some are found only in wallum heath ecosystems where they have adapted to habitat characteristics such as nutrient-poor, slightly acidic, sandy soils and acidic waters. Several of these species are rare and because of habitat loss, the survival of some of these species is now under threat.

Aside from Oxleyan pygmy perch, other wallum heath-associated species listed as threatened in NSW include:

	Scientific name	Common name	Status in NSW
Frogs:	Crinia tinnula	Wallum froglet	Vulnerable
	Litoria olongburensis	Olongurra frog (or Wallum sedge frog)	Vulnerable
Birds:	Ephippiorhynchus asiaticus	Black-necked stork	Endangered
	Ixobrychus flavicollis	Black bittern	Vulnerable
Mammals:	Pseudomys gracilicaudatus	Eastern chestnut mouse	Vulnerable
	Syconycteris australis	Common blossom bat	Vulnerable
Plants:	Phaius australis	[a swamp orchid]	Endangered
	Phaius tankervilleae	[a swamp orchid]	Endangered
	Allocasuarina defungens	[a she-oak]	Endangered
	<i>Diuris</i> sp. aff. <i>chrysantha</i> (Byron Bay)	[an orchid]	Endangered
	Olax angulata		Vulnerable
	Lindsaea fraseri		Endangered
	Lindsaea incisa		Endangered
	Aldrovanda vesiculosa		Endangered
Ecological			
communities:	Byron Bay Dwarf Graminoid Clay	Heath Community	Endangered

Recovery activities to protect and restore the habitats of Oxleyan pygmy perch will also make an important contribution to the conservation of other wallum heath-dependent threatened species.

Wallum heath habitats also have important ecological and hydrological functions, and their conservation should have beneficial effects on adjacent areas. Wallum heath typically occurs on sandy coastal soils in areas of high rainfall, and loss of vegetation cover can lead to rapid erosion of the substrate. Undisturbed wallum heaths also help to maintain water quality in adjacent and downstream areas (including estuarine and inshore marine waters) through filtration of rainwater and regulation of nutrient inputs. In contrast, the impacts of poor water quality from developed parts of the catchment are often compounded and difficult to control.

Pollutants can enter waterways from urban, agricultural, industrial and refuse areas via stormwater and leaching through soils. Consequently, efforts to conserve and restore pygmy perch habitats will also help to maintain ecological integrity and water quality in adjacent and downstream aquatic ecosystems.

Efforts to protect and recover pygmy perch populations will also deliver a range of other biodiversity benefits. For example, increasing community awareness about the plight of pygmy perch should boost the profile of all threatened species and lead to increased opportunities to conserve and protect threatened species and aquatic biodiversity.

3. Biology and ecology

3.1 Names

Common: Oxleyan pygmy perch

Other names: none

Scientific: Nannoperca oxleyana Whitley 1940

3.2 Systematic position

The Oxleyan pygmy perch (*Nannoperca oxleyana*) is one of six Australian species of pygmy perch found in the freshwater streams, ponds, lakes and swamps of coastal southern Queensland and all southern states. Oxleyan pygmy perch have the most northerly distribution in this group. The southern pygmy perch (*Nannoperca australis*), Ewen pygmy perch (*Nannoperca variegata*), and Yarra pygmy perch (*Nannoperca obscura*) are endemic to south-eastern Australia. The final two pygmy perch species, *Nannatherina balstoni* and *Nannoperca vittata*, are found only in southwestern Australia.

The classification of these fishes at the species and family level has long been uncertain. At different times, they have been placed in their own family, Nannopercidae, in the family Kuhliidae (with the flagtails, genus Kuhlia) and in the family Percichthyidae (freshwater basses and cods of southern Australia and South America). At a sub-familial level, the group has been variously split into three genera (Edelia, Nannoperca and Nannatherina) or two genera (Nannoperca and Nannatherina, with *E. obscura* and *E. vittata* placed into Nannoperca)^{[31][32][36]}

Recent genetic evidence ^[26] supports the placement of the pygmy perches within the family Percichthyidae, and the recognition of the genera Nannoperca and Nannatherina only.

3.3 Description

Oxleyan pygmy perch are small (max. approx. 60 mm total length, but common to 35 mm) ^[28] and moderately compressed, with body depth to about 32 per cent in standard length. The mouth is small, reaching to just below the eye; teeth in the lower jaw are enlarged. The preorbital lower edge is hidden by skin. Dorsal fin VI-VIII, 7-9 (usually VII, 8); anal fin III, 7-9 (usually 8); pectoral fin 11-13 (usually 12); gill rakers 2-4 + 6-8, total 9-12. Body covered by ctenoid scales in 26-28 midlateral rows; lateral line lacking. Tail truncated.

Oxleyan pygmy perch are usually light brown to olive in colour (darkest on back, sides paler) and mottled, with three to four patchy, dark brown bars extending from head to tail, and a whitish belly. The opercular flap has a blue iridescence and there is a conspicuous round dark spot with orange margin at the base of the tail. The scales have dusky margins and the fins are mainly clear. There is a blue ring around the eye. During breeding the dorsal, pelvic and anal fins darken and the lateral stripes and tail turn scarlet ^{[5] [32] [42]}.

Oxleyan pygmy perch are similar in appearance to other pygmy perch species and to juveniles of other perch-like species.

3.4 Distribution

Oxleyan pygmy perch have a restricted and patchy distribution. They are found in the swamps, streams and lakes of coastal lowland wallum heathlands, from Fraser Island and the Tin Can Bay area in south-east Queensland to Corindi (north of Coffs Harbour) in northern NSW. This distribution also includes the sand islands of Moreton and Stradbroke in southeast Queenland ^[2]

The precise distribution of pygmy perch is difficult to establish. The species may extend further north than recorded, although limited surveys, conducted from Tin Can Bay north to the heathlands of Shoalwater Bay near Byfield, have not established this ^[3]. However, several species with similar habitat requirements including the honey blue-eye *Pseudomugil mellis* and soft-spined rainbowfish *Rhadinocentris ornatus* have been found in this region ^[52].

Pygmy perch may also extend further south than presently known but this has not been confirmed. Soft-spined rainbowfish *Rhadinocentrus ornatus*, share their distribution with pygmy perch and these have been found 38 km south of Corindi in Boambee Creek near Coffs Harbour. Suitable areas of habitat appear to occur all the way down the coast to Crowdy Bay National Park or further. However, broad-scale surveys to establish the distributional limits of the species have been unable to locate pygmy perch south of Yuraygir National Park.

In addition, the distribution of pygmy perch on the mainland appears to be disjunct as the species has never been recorded within the 250 km of coastline from Rileys Hill in northern NSW to Deception Bay in south-east Queensland ^[28] [^{29]}.

3.4.1 Queensland distribution

Pygmy perch have been recorded in approximately 20 locations in south-east Queensland. Mainland locations include Searys, Carland and Coondoo/Tinana Creeks (a tributary of the Mary River) in the Tin Can Bay area; the Noosa River and its tributaries; Marcus, Mellum and Blue Gum creeks near the Glasshouse Mountains; ^[3] and Burpengary Creek, Deception Bay ^[49].

On Fraser Island, pygmy perch have recently only been recorded in Rocky and Coongul creeks. In the past, they have also been recorded in Woralie and Bogimbah Creeks. On Moreton Island, populations exist in Lake Jabiru, Spitfire Creek, Warrajamba Creek, Blue Lagoon, South Blue Lagoon Creek and North Eagers Creek on the east coast. On the island's west coast, pygmy perch are found in Ben Ewa Swamp and associated streams (including Craven Creek and Tempest Creek). On North Stradbroke Island, small populations of pygmy perch are found in 18 Mile Swamp and Little Canalpin Creek. There have also been unconfirmed reports of pygmy perch from waterbodies in the island's south. ^{[2] [3] [16] [32] [34] [42] [47]}.



Figure 1: Existing records and likely natural distribution of Oxleyan pygmy perch in Qld

3.4.2 NSW distribution

In NSW, pygmy perch appear restricted to a 114 km stretch of sandy coastal lowlands between Rileys Hill, north of Evans Head, and Corindi ^{[29] [57]}. They have never been recorded north of the Richmond River.

The first record of the species in NSW was a specimen in 1929 from the Richmond River (or a small waterbody adjacent to the river), 14 km southwest of Lismore ^{[28] [51]}. This is considerably further west than subsequent records. Whether pygmy perch occur today in the Richmond River is unknown, as the river has not been recently surveyed.

Other historical reports of pygmy perch come from the southern end of their known range in the Wooli/Corindi area, including Wooli Creek and Cassons Creek in 1976 and Tick Gate Swamp in 1977. In 1975 and 1977 the species was also found in Lake Hiawatha ^[36]. However, further surveys of this lake conducted in 1975-79 and again in 1993 failed to locate the species. More recently, pygmy perch have also been recorded in the Wooli area from Lake Minnie Water in 1995 ^[33], and again in 2001. Furthermore, surveys undertaken by ANGFA and Southern Cross University have located four additional waterbodies containing pygmy perch in the Wooli area, with an additional record from Haleys Creek, near Brooms Head.

Pygmy perch are also known to occur further north of the Wooli area near the township of Evans Head (Figure 1). During a 1993 survey of 33 locations in northern NSW, pygmy perch were found at one site, 'North Range Lake' (in the RAAF bombing range situated within Bundjalung National Park), south of Evans Head ^[3]. They have since been found in four additional water bodies near North Range Lake and in other areas of Bundjalung National Park including Jerusalem Creek and in a small isolated drain ^{[20] [56]}.

Closer to the township of Evans Head pygmy perch were found in 1998 and 1999 in a small drain near the Woodburn-Evans Head Road ^{[7] [53]}. A more intensive survey of this area in 2000 resulted in the capture of 566 pygmy perch from 25 water bodies in and around Broadwater

National Park^[28]. This makes the Evans Head area one of the most important known habitats for the species. Broad-scale distributional surveys undertaken in 2001-02 (Action 7.1.2) led to seven new reports of pygmy perch close to Broadwater and Bundjalung National Park including on private property and Aboriginal land^[29].

The apparent absence of the species from the majority of the sub-catchments previously surveyed is an important indicator of the species' distribution, particularly in sub-catchments found north and south of the species' known range. A range of surveys since the 1970s have failed to find pygmy perch in these areas, even in relatively undisturbed tracts of heath vegetation where water bodies meet all the habitat criteria for pygmy perch (eg. ^[3][7][14][15][29][46]</sup>).



Figure 2: Existing records and likely natural distribution of Oxleyan pygmy perch in NSW

3.5 Limits to current knowledge of the distribution

Until recently, the distribution of pygmy perch in NSW (particularly the northern, southern and western limits of their range) was unknown. Survey work undertaken as part of the draft recovery plan has provided a clearer picture of their distribution by focusing on unsurveyed areas between Myall Lakes National Park and the NSW-Queensland border that may contain pygmy perch habitat ^[29]. The surveys aimed to identify sub-catchments likely to contain pygmy perch however it is possible that some isolated populations may have been missed. There are many unsurveyed lakes, streams and swamps in Bundjalung and Yuraygir National Parks where pygmy perch may exist. These water bodies will be surveyed as part of the implementation of the recovery plan.

3.6 Abundance

Oxleyan pygmy perch are generally not a prolific species, with low numbers captured at most sites where they occur. However, in a survey of Spitfire, Tempest, Marcus and Coondoo creeks pygmy perch comprised 20 per cent or more of the fish collected ^[3]. Similarly, another survey

collected 208 fish from 'creek 3b' and 193 from 'lake 9' which accounted for 71 per cent of the total pygmy perch collected in the study ^[28].

However, local environmental conditions can have a major effect on pygmy perch abundance. For example, when 'creek 3b' was re-surveyed in 2001, no pygmy perch were found ^[30]. A dirt road crosses the creek and several months after initial sampling the creek had virtually dried up ^[30].

Population fluctuations may partly explain the variability in records of pygmy perch at particular locations over time. For instance, pygmy perch were recorded in Lake Hiawatha, Tick Gate Swamp and Wooli Creek in the 1970s, and not again in this area until 1995. Similarly, they were recorded in Blue Lagoon on Moreton Island in 1976, then not again until 2000, despite surveys in 1982, 1990 and 1993^{[3] [19] [39]}.

3.7 Habitat

Pygmy perch are generally regarded as restricted to streams, swampy areas and lakes in coastal 'wallum' (Banksia dominated heathlands^[10]).

Wallum heath country has a well-distributed annual rainfall (of 1016 to 1778 mm) and freshwater lakes, creeks and wetlands feature prominently throughout the region ^[3]. Waterbodies in the wallum heath are characterised by very low salinity, low magnesium; calcium hardness and (pH >3 to <7). Habitats range from low conductivity (<250 μ s cm-1), clear waters with a pH of 6 to 6.5, to estuarine, darkly stained dystrophic waters of pH 4 to 6, over siliceous sands, aquatic vegetation or plant debris. The generally high organic acid content of these water bodies is derived from leachates from swamps and riparian vegetation, particularly Melaleucas.



Figure 3: Typical pygmy perch habitat, Broadwater National Park (Photo: J. Knight)

However, pygmy perch have also been found in several sites with different habitat characteristics (eg. soil types and vegetation communities), in creeks that run into adjacent areas out of wallum heath. For example, they have been recorded from an intermediate eucalypt forest/heath community over grey acid soils and from littoral rainforest/melaleuca swamp (Jerusalem area, west of Bundjalung National Park^[29]), and from among saltrushes in an estuarine creek with conductivity 8860 μ s cm⁻¹^[20] The water quality parameters recorded at localities supporting pygmy perch in NSW are given in Table 1.

 Table 1: Water quality parameters recorded at NSW localities supporting Oxleyan pygmy perch.
 Figure in parentheses is an outlier. (Source: J. Knight, pers. comm.).

Water quality parameter	Mean (n = 82)	Range
Temperature (deg C.)	17.3	11.7 – 29.7
PH (organic acids)	4.39	3.32 - 6.96
Conductivity (uS.cm)	171	90 - 830 (8860)
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	6.29	2.15 – 10.02
Turbidity	13	0 – 51
Water Colour	N/A	Clear to dark tannin

While wallum heath freshwater bodies are clearly the core habitat, further work may be needed to assess the tolerance and adaptability of pygmy perch to variable habitat and changes in water quality parameters.



Figure 4:

Intermediate eucalypt forest / heath community, Jerusalem area (Photo: J. Knight)

Within all these water bodies, pygmy perch are generally found at sites with a high level of instream cover (60-80%) and no visible flow or very low flows. Individuals are often found near dense aquatic vegetation, such as stands of emergent and submerged sedges and rushes (eg. *Lepironia articulata, Schoenus brevifolius, Restio pallens, Eleocharis* spp., *Gahnia* sp., *Juncus* sp.), water lilies (*Nymphaea* sp.), bladderworts (*Utricularia* sp.), mosses (e.g. *Sphagnum falcatulum*) and algae (*Chara* sp., *Cladophora* sp., *Batrachospermum* sp.). They are also found in leaf litter beds and occasionally woody debris ^{[3] [4] [28] [42]}.

Beds of aquatic plants provide a more productive and secure habitat for foraging than open water areas where pygmy perch are at risk from surface predators (birds) and aquatic predators (piscivores such as striped gudgeons and eels). Aquatic plants may also reduce the impact of short periods of high flow that can displace smaller fish some distance downstream ^[3]. Vertical or undercut banks where fine rootlets of riparian vegetation grow into the water are also important in providing cover in some areas ^{[3] [28]}.

3.8 Life history and ecology

There is little published information on the biology and ecology of pygmy perch, apart from general information on habitat from field studies and aquarium observations. In the field, studies have looked at the pygmy perch's seasonal spawning period, fecundity, recruitment, dietary preferences, and interactions with other species, while aquarium studies have documented growth rates, size at maturity, courtship and spawning behaviour ^{[3] [4] [28] [34] [47].}

3.8.1 Growth

Due to the destructive nature of the standard methods used to estimate growth (scale and otolith analysis) no studies have estimated the growth rate of pygmy perch ^[3]. However, length-frequency data for fish sampled from Marcus Creek between October 1994 and October 1995 suggested that fish can double their length in a year, with initial samples growing from 14 mm to more than 28 mm over this 12 month period ^[3]. At all sites, fish achieved better condition in winter than in summer possibly to prepare for reproduction in the spring ^[3].

3.8.2 Reproduction

Aquarium-bred pygmy perch are sexually mature in four to five months ^[47], with females breeding at 30 mm and males at 27 mm ^[32]. The breeding season is extended, beginning in October and continuing as late as April or May, although spawning takes place mainly between October to December. Rising water temperatures are thought to stimulate spawning, which needs a minimum temperature of 20°C. Males and females at this time display the breeding colours of red lateral stripes, a red tail and black dorsal, anal and pelvic fins.

Courtship and mating occurs when pairs approach each other and quickly shudder to release eggs and milt ^[47]. Spawning is protracted, with a few eggs laid daily over several days. This serial spawning allows pygmy perch to produce a greater number of eggs in the breeding season than would otherwise be predicted from their body size ^{[28] [53]}.

The eggs are adhesive and demersal, which means they sink or settle near the bottom, sticking to aquatic vegetation or the substrate depending on where the fish have mated ^{[5] [32] [42] [47]}. The dispersal of pygmy perch eggs in sheltered areas probably helps to protect eggs and young fish from aquatic predators and birds and the full force of water movements ^[3].

During breeding, many hundreds of eggs can accumulate. Young hatch in three to four days and begin to forage over the next two days.

The fecundity of pygmy perch has been reported to be relatively low compared to other species around the same size (225 to 270 eggs per pygmy perch compared to 1000 eggs per *Hypseleotris. compressa.*) ^[3]. However, this report may be misleading because it was based on a small sample collected near the end of the reproductive season, after most eggs may have been shed. Indeed, the same report noted that despite the apparent low fecundity of pygmy perch, populations could grow to large sizes in suitable environments ^[3].

3.8.3 Behaviour and movement

Snorkelling observations in Spitfire Creek suggest that pygmy perch are highly mobile. They will forage along the stems of aquatic plants alone or in pairs, while younger fish move in groups of three or four ^[3]. However, the total extent of pygmy perch foraging movement is unknown ^[42].

There is no evidence to support distinct upstream or downstream migration in pygmy perch although genetic evidence suggests substantial movement and mixing within individual drainages ^[24]. Floods and high flow events are suspected of carrying and depositing pygmy perch between bodies of water, but more work is needed to establish whether floods are an important form of "transport" for distributing genetic information ^[28].

3.8.4 Diet

Published information indicates that pygmy perch are microphagic carnivores. Larvae feed on rotifers and protozoans, while larger fish eat copepods, cladocerans, caridinians and aquatic insects (especially chironomid midges), as well as diatoms, filamentous algae and a few terrestrial insects^[32].

The diet for pygmy perch was established by examining the gut contents of 178 fish from the Noosa River and Spitfire Creek on Moreton Island revealing a diet of zooplankton (31%), aquatic insects (23%) and atyid shrimps (22%) terrestrial arthropods (3%) and flying aquatic insects (2%) $^{[3]}$ $^{[4]}$ [42].

3.8.5 Interactions with other native fish species

Pygmy perch share their habitat with other small native species, including firetailed and empire gudgeons (genus Hypseleotris), striped gudgeon (*Gobiomorphus australis*), softspined rainbowfish (*Rhadinocentrus ornatus*) and Duboulay's rainbowfish (*Melanotaenia duboulayi*). The threatened honey blue-eye (*Pseudomugil mellis*) also shares pygmy perch habitat in Queensland but has no record in NSW to date. Studies of the micro-habitat preferences of pygmy perch in Spitfire Creek on Moreton Island found no evidence of aggressive or avoidance behaviour between any of these species^[3].

The study also found that the small indigenous species at this site had partitioned their habitat and possibly resources, by occupying different levels of the water column ^[3]. Even the presence of a large number of species with similar diet and environmental needs such as firetailed gudgeons, did not appear to affect pygmy perch viability or population growth at this site.

3.9 Genetics

The results of a study using allozyme and mitochondrial DNA variation to examine genetic structure in pygmy perch populations in southeast Queensland showed that there was little genetic variation within each population (overall very low allozyme variation) but large variation between populations ^[24]. This suggests the opportunities for dispersal are limited among the populations that live in small, specific habitats in different drainages. Similar patterns of genetic differentiation have also been found in several other native freshwater fish species in the same area ^[54].

These results have important implications for the long-term conservation of pygmy perch. They verify the need to maintain as many different populations as possible to preserve genetic diversity. The large genetic differences between populations would also have to be considered if fish taken from other areas or bred in aquaria were used to restock any habitat in future. The limited dispersal abilities and isolated populations of the species suggest that once locally extinct, they are likely to remain so ^[24].

Alternatively, the low genetic variability in each drainage system, even the extensive systems which flow from Lake Jabiru/Spitfire Creek and the Noosa River, supports the view that fish do move, mix and interbreed within individual drainages ^[3].

A study by Arthington ^[3] suggests areas of swamp or lake in the upper catchment may act as a reservoir or refuge for the species and a source of new breeding partners. This means the immigration of fish from these upstream areas could potentially offset any decline in downstream populations. However, the network of fish passageways in each drainage system would need to be maintained for this to occur ^[3].

No genetic work has been conducted on NSW populations of pygmy perch so patterns of distribution and genetic differences within these populations have not been established.

4. Conservation status

4.1 Listings

Oxleyan pygmy perch are listed/classified as:

- Endangered under the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act* 1999 (Commonwealth)
- Endangered under the Fisheries Management Act 1994 (NSW)
- Vulnerable under the *Nature Conservation Act* 1992 (Queensland)
- Endangered by the Australian Society for Fish Biology (ASFB)
- Endangered by the Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council (ANZECC)
- Endangered by the World Conservation Union (IUCN)

4.2 Reasons for concern

Oxleyan pygmy perch have specific ecological needs. They require waters with low nutrient and pH of the kind associated with coastal heath vegetation ^[48]. Because of this crucial habitat requirement, they have a limited geographic range that appears to have diminished over time because of habitat loss ^[32].

The coastal land that stretches from Bundaberg in southern Queensland to Coffs Harbour on the far north coast of NSW was once part of a vast, almost unbroken corridor of coastal heath, interrupted occasionally by open forests, swamps and gallery rainforests on rivers ^[41]. Since European settlement, this heath has been progressively cleared, disturbed and drained for alluvial and sand mining, cattle grazing, agriculture, forestry and urban development. The history of human impacts on the coastal heathland of south-eastern Queensland via development for forestry, agriculture, urban expansion and tourism is also well-established. Clearing of coastal heathlands and shrublands has seriously reduced the total area of this habitat and increased its fragmentation ^[3].

From the 1930s onwards, extensive sand mining occurred along the north coast of NSW. The practice was phased out during the 1980s but partially cleared and disturbed areas remain with altered topography and hydrology caused by the removal of sand and the digging of dredge ponds. Cane fields, grazing pastures, pine plantations and rural subdivisions have replaced other areas of wallum heath and their adjacent habitats. Intact heath communities are now largely restricted to protected areas in Broadwater, Bundjalung and Yuraygir National Parks^[28].

The limited amount of information recorded about the species and the absence of reliable and frequent survey results make it difficult to identify localised extinctions in recent years. However, there are locations where no pygmy perch have been reported for several decades, including the Richmond River (last recorded 1929) and Lake Hiawatha (last recorded 1977). Even in locations with suitable pygmy perch habitat, population sizes are small and patchily distributed.

The geographical isolation of remaining populations, and the small size of the streams and swamps in which they live increase the risk that one or more of these populations will be lost through habitat disturbance or chance events. Floods may help to distribute pygmy perch although fragmented habitats and other barriers to fish movement will reduce the ability of the species to recolonise areas from which it is lost.

These factors, coupled with the species limited geographic distribution, make pygmy perch particularly vulnerable to threatening processes.

5. Current issues and threats

The primary threats to Oxleyan pygmy perch appear to be habitat degradation and loss, threats to water quality and a lack of information on the distribution and biology of pygmy perch. This section is divided into five parts that discuss these and other major issues relevant to the conservation of pygmy perch.

5.1 Limitations in current understanding

BACKGROUND

Distribution: Prior to the development of the recovery plan there had been very limited sampling effort for the species in NSW and Queensland. The lack of information on the distribution of pygmy perch led the working group to agree that the key priority for initial research was to establish a better indication of the species distribution and limits. The survey work undertaken to date has provided a clearer picture of their distribution ^[29]. However, many areas that could contain populations of the species remain unsurveyed. In the future it is likely that additional pygmy perch populations will be found through targeted surveys.

Habitat and environmental tolerances: Habitat associations and the water quality parameters associated with pygmy perch habitats have been relatively well documented at most sites where the species has been found. Based on this work, pygmy perch appear to be restricted to water bodies within wallum heath that meet their defined habitat and water quality needs (eg: specific pH, hardness, nutrient levels, presence of aquatic macrophytes etc.). During extensive surveys in southeast Queensland, the species has never been found in areas that do not meet these criteria.

However, in NSW, pygmy perch have been found in several sites with 'atypical' water quality and habitat characteristics¹. This suggests that the apparent dependence on these needs by pygmy perch requires greater examination. This could involve sampling a wider range of habitat types, including some that do not conform to the habitat characteristics currently thought to be 'optimal' for pygmy perch.

In addition, while the habitat associations for pygmy perch have been well documented little information exists on their tolerance to disturbance, habitat degradation or pollution. More information on tolerance would help to predict the impacts of activities and developments with greater precision.

Life history and genetics: Other significant gaps exist in information about the life history, population dynamics, dispersal patterns and genetics of pygmy perch. For example, little is known about why the species is present or absent at certain sites over time. Floods have been suggested as a dispersal mechanism for pygmy perch ^[28], but this hypothesis has never been rigorously tested.

More knowledge about the genetic structure of pygmy perch populations and their dispersal mechanisms would help in understanding what effect barriers to their distribution, population fragmentation or changes to topography or hydrology have on the viability of the species.

Genetic studies would also provide data to assist in the conservation of pygmy perch genetic diversity and genetic population structure, which is critical to ensuring their long-term viability. No studies have been done on the degree of genetic variation in NSW populations although work has begun on a pilot study. The study will compare genetic profiles from three populations in

¹ For example, the locality of the original record (Richmond River or a nearby water body) does not fall within the range of 'optimal' habitat as it is currently understood. This locality is well inland of the typical coastal wallum habitat and lacks the distinctive chemical and biological characteristics of the latter, although some features (such as melaleuca swamps and associated low-pH water bodies) are present ^[28]. Pygmy perch have also recently been found in a number of other sites with atypical habitat parameters (^[29]; see section 2.6).

Broadwater National Park. In addition, genetic samples (fin clips) have been collected from all populations in the survey work under this recovery plan for later analysis.

5.2 Community awareness and support

BACKGROUND

One of the major threats to pygmy perch is habitat degradation. This can be caused by a wide range of activities.

While most recent reports have come from national parks, pygmy perch have also been recorded on Commonwealth land (e.g. the RAAF bombing range, south of Evans Head), land owned by local governments, Aboriginal land and private property.

Some landholders are already aware and supportive of conservation efforts for pygmy perch. However, pygmy perch do not have a high profile in the community or among planners and developers. This is partly because they are considered a small, rare fish with no recreational fishing value. In many cases access to accurate information about the species, improved awareness and appropriate planning could avoid many of the impacts on pygmy perch caused by human activities.

There is a need to develop a community education program to increase general awareness about the threats faced by pygmy perch, and the actions required to recover the species. The program should also encourage the community to minimise their impacts on the species and its habitats.

A further need exists for detailed, accurate information that can be used by councils and other public authorities in planning activities to reduce detrimental impacts. The support and involvement of all these groups will be essential to the successful implementation of the recovery plan.

5.3 Habitat degradation and loss

BACKGROUND

The amount of coastal wallum heath has diminished since European settlement. This has been a major factor in the historical decline of pygmy perch and the pressures on remaining areas of suitable pygmy perch habitat continue.

Intact areas of wallum heath are now largely confined to protected areas such as Broadwater, Bundjalung and Yuraygir National Parks in NSW and Cooloola (Great Sandy) and Moreton Island National Parks in Queensland. These areas have played an integral role in the survival of pygmy perch. They have helped preserve large tracts of wallum heath habitat and many of the most recent reports of pygmy perch in NSW have come from water bodies within these parks.

However, even habitats in protected areas can be degraded through a range of recreational, managerial or development activities. Examples include run-off from unsealed roads, herbicide contamination, hazard reduction burning and bushfire fighting efforts and disturbance by recreational users such as four-wheel vehicle drivers or campers. There are also several pygmy perch sites known to exist close to residential areas (or areas zoned for development) and the survival of these populations may be threatened by surface run-off and other activities that degrade habitats.

Some areas of comparatively undisturbed wallum heath still occur on freehold land. However, there is a risk that these areas will be lost because of land demands for housing, agriculture and other developments as populations continue to grow on the NSW north coast. Housing development and road construction projects pose major habitat threats and need to be carefully managed to avoid or minimise impacts.

Direct habitat damage or loss can occur through mining, dredging and excavation work, the removal of riparian vegetation or the interruption of natural flow patterns. Habitats can be indirectly damaged when land clearing causes a deterioration in water quality, changes in nutrient levels or siltation.

The effects of seven processes on habitats are outlined below.

Mining: Sand mining has destroyed some dune lakes in NSW and QLD by rupturing the organic layer underlying the perched aquifer. In each case, this has led to the lake being drained or the intrusion of salt water into freshwater after the dune separating the lake and sea was broken ^[17] ^[21] ^[34] ^[44] ^[45]. While sandmining in northern NSW has been phased out, any form of digging activity that alters the aquifer remains a threat to pygmy perch habitats. Sand mining is still carried out within pygmy perch habitat on Stradbroke Island in southern Queensland.

Drainage works: Some areas of pygmy perch habitat have been severely disturbed by the construction and maintenance of drains, especially where natural waterways have been rechannelled. Drains carry water away from roads or low-lying, swampy areas and lowers the water table. Where swamps have been destroyed any remaining pygmy perch and other aquatic fauna may take refuge within the drains to survive. In some areas local councils construct drains and regularly maintain them through excavation. Excavation causes severe disturbance by removing in-stream plant cover and destroying vegetation, which provides sheltered places for pygmy perch to forage. Excavation and dredging may also increase the sediment load (originating from the streambed) which can impair the visual acuity and foraging success of pygmy perch. In places where drainage work has damaged pygmy perch habitat, rehabilitation work may be needed. Pygmy perch have been found in artificial, constructed drains.

Barriers to fish passage: Although there is little information about the dispersal mechanisms of pygmy perch, it is probable that floods or other high flow events play an important role. This is because the temporary watercourses or overflows they create are thought to carry the species between otherwise isolated bodies of water. This has significant implications for local populations of pygmy perch as habitat fragmentation, even on a small scale, and fish barriers such as road crossings, could prevent genetic mixing or the recolonisation of areas where populations have been wiped out or that are used on a seasonal basis.

In addition, poorly designed road crossings (such as dirt tracks across natural waterways) can lead to erosion and infilling of the creek, and deterioration of water quality.

Loss of riparian and other vegetation: Removal of littoral and riparian plants has important effects on bank stability, water quality and the availability of food and shelter. Wallum heath areas may be particularly susceptible to damage, with the removal of vegetation leading to rapid erosion of sandy substrates, bank instability and slumping, infilling of streams and pools, and smothering of submerged aquatic vegetation.

Clearing vegetation elsewhere within the catchment can also contribute to increased turbidity and siltation. Increased turbidity from suspended solids blocks out light from the water column and can reduce the ability of plants to photosynthesize and possibly the ability of fish to hunt by sight ^{[3] [8]}. The redistribution and transportation of deposited sediment may continue for many years.

The removal of riparian vegetation has reduced the extent of shelter within habitats and has probably affected the viability of aquatic insects available as a food for pygmy perch.

Pollution: Wallum heath lakes, creeks and swamps can be polluted by a range of urban, agricultural, industrial and recreational activities that cause excess nutrients, toxic substances and silt to affect water quality. Land clearing can also cause sediment to erode and enter waterways.

Other sources of pollution include agricultural pesticides and fertilisers, pharmaceuticals and organic wastes from livestock, sewage, and toxic heavy metal salts from mining. Industrial processes such as brewing, bushfires and fire management activities, road run-off, and all forms

of development which involve disturbance of potential acid sulphate soils (acid run-off) also impact on water quality.

The significance of water pollution as a threat to pygmy perch is unknown, although the presence of extra nutrients in wallum heath water bodies is likely to be an issue because they are naturally nutrient-poor, with phosphorus levels below $10 \mu g/L$.

Fire: Both bushfires and hazard reduction burning activities can affect aquatic biota and their habitats by raising temperatures. They can also change nutrient cycles and the amount of leaf litter and woody debris that accumulates. These changes can induce siltation and high biological oxygen demand (BOD). Other associated impacts include the risk of pollution from fire-fighting chemicals and changes to the volume of water in natural water bodies used as reservoirs to fight bushfires. The management of fire, even within national parks, can be important issue to consider in identifying habitat threats.

Water extraction: Little is known about the impact of water extraction (from surface or groundwater) on pygmy perch. Reduced water flows could potentially lead to salt water intruding into the upper parts of freshwater creeks where pygmy perch live. Saline conditions decrease the area available as habitat to pygmy perch while increasing the upstream presence of larger estuarine predators. Likewise, the extraction of water from watertables that feed wetland areas can diminish or desiccate habitat areas, particularly during droughts.

Due to the importance of water flows and catchment hydrology in transporting fish between locations, recovery efforts should target complete drainage systems, including isolated water bodies.

Options for habitat protection: The loss of habitat is a major threat to Oxleyan pygmy perch because they need specific habitat conditions to survive. To conserve the species, remaining areas of essential habitat must be protected from disturbance. The options for improving protection levels for important habitat areas include:

- Use of statutory mechanisms such as declaring critical habitat, additions to the national park estate, or the identification of key habitat in relevant landuse planning instruments.
- Negotiate with relevant landholders to conserve and manage key habitat areas.

Recovery planning actions will need to be incorporated into relevant natural resource management plans which may impact on pygmy perch habitat. This includes, but is not limited to, catchment action plans, water management plans, vegetation management plans and land management plans such as Crown land assessments and national park management plans.

5.4 Introduced fish species

BACKGROUND

Oxleyan pygmy perch have probably been adversely affected by the presence of introduced species, particularly the plague minnow (or 'mosquitofish') *Gambusia holbrooki*, in many parts of their range.

Gambusia are native to rivers draining into the Gulf of Mexico. They were deliberately introduced into Australia and many other countries because of their reputation for eating mosquitos and rapid reproduction ^[38]. However, they seem to be no more efficient than other small, native fish in controlling mosquitos. As a species, Gambusia is competitive and combines high tolerance with flexible feeding and habitat needs and can compete with native species for food and other resources. Native species could also be affected by their behaviour, which includes chasing and other forms of harassment such as fin nipping which can sever fins ^[38]. Gambusia has been linked to the worldwide decline of many endemic fish species. They are now regarded as a pest in Australian waters.

Aggressive behaviour by Gambusia towards native fish species in Australia has been widely documented. In a study on the effects of Gambusia attacks on the Pacific blue-eye *Pseudomugil*

signifer the attacks were dependent on the density of the competition ^[27]. Other experiments in captivity have shown Gambusia to act aggressively towards a variety of species such as: ornate rainbowfish (*Rhadinocentrus ornatus*), Duboulay's rainbowfish (*Melanotaenia duboulayi*) and firetailed gudgeons (*Hypseleotris galii*) ^[55]. In tank experiments, Gambusia were found to hunt and eat the young of southern blue-eye ^[22]. The stress caused by such interactions may affect the success of feeding, growth rates and breeding ^{[23] [37]}.

There is little information about the impacts of Gambusia on pygmy perch. However, their aggression and ability to survive and compete for food in habitats native to pygmy perch, suggest their presence has been detrimental to pygmy perch.

Gambusia is present in at least 20 of the water bodies where pygmy perch have been found. In light of the documented effects of Gambusia on small native fishes, their presence in these water bodies must be considered a local threat. Since Gambusia are distributed by floods or moved by humans for mosquito control, their presence in other creeks in the pygmy perch's geographic range is also an important consideration ^[3].

Another important consideration is the impact of intentionally introducing native fish species into areas outside their natural range on pygmy perch populations. While this may occur for a number of reasons including for example to enhance recreational fishing opportunities, it has the potential to have similar outcomes for pygmy perch as introducing non-native species such as Gambusia, because they have not co-evolved with the local species. However, future research is required as little is currently known about the extent of the threat posed to pygmy perch by introduced native species.

The DPI has prepared a comprehensive fishery management strategy covering freshwater fish stocking in NSW. The strategy evaluates the various environmental, social and economic risks associated with stocking activities, and sets out management requirements that address those risks to ensure that stocking activities proceed in a sustainable manner. The strategy addresses both 'harvest' stocking (enhancement of recreational fisheries) and conservation stocking. The Queensland Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries (DPIF) have in place a translocation policy to address the same potential risks associated with stocking. All future stocking will be in compliance with the NSW fishery management strategy or the Queensland translocation policy in NSW and Qld respectively.

Control methods: Currently, few options exist to control Gambusia in water bodies occupied by pygmy perch. The use of poisons, or other destructive methods are inappropriate in places where Gambusia coexist with large populations of native fish, although poison could be potentially used in sites dominated by Gambusia. Further research is needed to develop effective control methods for Gambusia that can be used with minimal harm to native species, along with strategies to prevent their spread to water bodies where they do not occur.

Future research in reproductive biotechnology may lead to innovative, host-specific control methods. For example, vaccines that cause an animal's immune system to attack its own eggs or sperm are being investigated for use on feral foxes, rabbits and mice ^[13]. Gambusia, as live bearers of their young, coupled with their lack of close relatives in the native fish fauna, could be a suitable research subject for this type of vaccine, known as immuno-contraception.

Predation by Gambusia is listed as a key threatening process under the NSW *Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995* because of its impact on a variety of frog species and a threat abatement plan has been prepared.

5.5 Collection and aquarium keeping

BACKGROUND

Oxleyan pygmy perch are an endangered species and it is illegal to catch and keep, buy, sell, possess or harm them without a permit or licence, and penalties apply, including fines of up to \$220,000 and two years jail.

The number of pygmy perch illegally collected for aquariums is impossible to estimate. Although their collection is far less damaging to their survival than habitat degradation. Aquarium collectors have been seen removing large numbers of indigenous fish from wallum heath water bodies ^[3]. There have also been several articles in aquarium journals on collecting and keeping pygmy perch.

The random collection of pygmy perch for aquariums is likely to be harmful to some small, restricted populations of the species, particularly when they are difficult to keep and breed and more fish are collected to replace aquarium mortalities. While collection alone is unlikely to remove a complete population, even in highly accessible areas, any reduction in numbers may affect the population's ability to recover from floods, pollution or introduced species such as Gambusia. An example of this effect on an indigenous population has been documented for populations of honey blue-eye *Pseudomugil mellis*^[35].

There have been some proposals to involve aquarium keepers in the recovery of pygmy perch through captive breeding. However, reports indicate that pygmy perch are difficult to keep or breed and frequently die. In view of a study that revealed high levels of genetic difference in pygmy perch populations in southeast Queensland ^[24], any restocking in NSW should be undertaken with great care.

For these reasons, the NSW DPI has not placed a high priority on captive breeding or restocking programs for pygmy perch.

Alternatively, groups of aquarium enthusiasts and native fish breeders, especially the Australia New Guinea Fishes Association (ANGFA), have been involved in past and recent activities that have made a valuable contribution to pygmy perch recovery. These activities include the distribution of information to members and the community and field trips to locate, record and collect native species assisted by volunteers.

6. Implementation and reporting

Implementation of the recovery plan in NSW will be lead by NSW DPI in conjunction with other responsible bodies and stakeholders. In Qld a range of potential contributors are identified as having implementation responsibilities. The recovery plan contains timeframes to guide implementation activities and sets out specific objectives in three program areas.

The recovery statement will be updated in the future, and will form the primary mechanism to report on the progress of the recovery plan. In particular, the recovery statement will document:

- The progress of implementation activities, outcomes, and investment in each program area
- Updates on the latest information and research results in relation to pygmy perch
- The achievement of the plans objectives assessed against the performance criteria contained in the plan
- Recommendations for changes or amendments to the statutory plan if the review indicates that the objectives of the plan are not being met.

7. Further information

Further information about the recovery planning process may be found in the Oxleyan pygmy perch recovery plan, or by contacting:

NSW Department of Primary Industries Fisheries Management Branch Port Stephens Fisheries Centre Private Bag 1 NELSON BAY NSW 2315 www.dpi.nsw.gov.au Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service Threatened Species and Ecosystems Unit Wildlife Conservation Branch PO Box 15155 CITY EAST QLD 4002 www.epa.qld.gov.au

References

- 1. ANGFA Southeast Queensland Regional Group. Fraser Island freshwater habitat survey. Fishes of Sahul 1986;3(3): 129-132, 134-136.
- 2. Arthington AH. Freshwater fishes of Stradbroke, Moreton and Fraser Island. Pp 279-282 in Covacevich, J. and Davie, P. (eds.), Focus on Stradbroke. Boolarong Press, Brisbane, 1994.
- 3. Arthington AH. Recovery Plan for the Oxleyan pygmy perch *Nannoperca oxleyana*. Final Report to the Australian Nature Conservation Agency. 1996.
- 4. Arthington AH, Marshall CJ. Distribution, ecology and conservation of the honey blue-eye, *Pseudomugil mellis*, in south-eastern Queensland. Final Report to the Australian Nature Conservation Agency Endangered Species Program. 1993;Volume 1.
- 5. Arthington AH, Marshall CJ. Threatened fishes of the world: *Nannoperca oxleyana* Whitley, 1940 (Nannopercidae). Environmental Biol of Fishes 1996;46: 150.
- 6. Arthington AH, Kennard M, Benn S. Natural resource values and water quality of Fraser Island lakes and the Great Sandy Region. Report for the Queensland National Parks and Wildlife Service, Centre for Catchment and In-stream Research, Griffith University, Queensland, 1990.
- 7. Bishop KA. Threatened fish species: surveying and habitat assessment in the Emigrant Creek Catchment, Richmond River System, New South Wales. Report prepared in relation to the proposed Pacific Highway upgrading: the Ballina Bypass, for Connell Wagner Pty Ltd on behalf of the NSW Roads and Traffic Authority. 1999.
- Bruton MN. Effects of suspendoids on fish. Pp 221-241 in Davies, B.R. and Walmsley, R.D. (eds.), Perspectives in southern hemisphere limnology. Developments in hydrobiology 28, Dr W. Junk Publishers, Dordrecht, 1985.
- 9. Centre for Coastal Management. Planning for Brisbane wetlands: background information report. Prepared for Brisbane City Council, 1989.
- 10. Coaldrake JE. The Ecosystem of the Coastal Lowlands ("Wallum") of Southern Queensland. Bulletin (CSIRO) no. 283. Melbourne, CSIRO 1961.
- 11. Coaldrake JE. Environmental impact study and strategic plan. Report to the Co-ordinator General's Department, Queensland. AA Heath and Partners Pty Ltd, Brisbane, 1976.
- 12. Covacevich J, Ingram GJ. The reptiles of North Stradbroke Island. Proc Royal Soc Queensland 1975;86(1): 55-60.
- 13. CSIRO. Case study: pest control by contraception. CSIRO website: http://www.biodiversity.csiro.au/2nd_level/3rd_level/Immunocontraception.htm, 2000.
- 14. Ecology Lab. Flora and fauna of Lake Ainsworth. Australian Water and Coastal Studies Report to the Ballina Shire Council, Ballina, NSW, 1996.
- Ecology Lab. Fish fauna study Oxleyan pygmy perch Yelgun to Chinderah Project: Final Report for Sampling Period No. 1, 1st – 5th November 1999. Report to Pacific Highway Development Office, 1999.
- 16. Esdaile J. Freshwater fish monitoring project Moreton Island National Park. Progress Report July 2000. Queensland National Parks and Wildlife Service, 2000.
- 17. Ferguson A. Sand mining on North Stradbroke Island. In Stradbroke Island: Facilitating Change. Proceedings of a public seminar held by the Queensland Studies Centre with Quandamooka Land Council, Queensland Studies Centre, Griffith University, Queensland, 1997.
- 18. Georges A. Diet of the Australian freshwater turtle *Emydura krefftii* (Chelonia: Chelidae), in an unproductive lentic environment. *Copeia* 1982;2: 331-336.
- 19. Gofton P. The freshwater fishes of Moreton Island. Report for the Moreton Island Protection Committee, 1982.
- Harrison PL, Digby MJ, Corfield J. Aquatic Ecology Surveys of Wetlands in the Evans Head Air Weapons Range, northern NSW. Centre for Coastal Management, Southern Cross University, Final Report, 2002.

- 21. Harrison PH, Howland MB. Surveys of the aquatic ecology and options for the eradication of gambusia mosquitofish and the remediation of Lake Kounpee, North Stradbroke Island. Centre for Coastal Management, Southern Cross University, Lismore, 1998.
- 22. Howe EHI. Studies in the biology and reproductive characteristics of *Pseudomugil signifer*. PhD thesis, Sydney, NSW; University of Technology, 1995.
- 23. Howe EHI, Howe C, Lim R, Burchett M. Impact of the introduced poeciliid *Gambusia holbrooki* (Girard, 1859) on the growth and reproduction of *Pseudomugil signifer* (Kner, 1896) in Aust J Marine and Freshwater Research 1997;48: 425-34.
- 24. Hughes J, Ponniah M, Hurwood D, Chenoweth S, Arthington A. Strong genetic structuring in a habitat specialist, the Oxleyan Pygmy Perch *Nannoperca oxleyana*. Heredity 1999;83: 5-14.
- 25. Ingram GJ, Corben CJ. The frog fauna of North Stradbroke Island with comments on the acid frogs of the wallum. Proc Royal Soc Queensland 1975;86(1): 59-64.
- 26. Jerry DR, Elphinstone MS, Baverstock PR. Phylogenetic relationships of Australian members of the family Percichthyidae inferred from mitochondrial 12S rRNA sequence data. Phylogenetics and Evolution 2001;18(3): 335-347.
- 27. Knight JT. Density dependent interference competition between the Australian native fish *Pseudomugil signifer* (Kner, 1865) and the introduced poecilid *Gambusia holbrooki* (Girard, 1859). Unpublished Integrated Project, School of Resource Science and Management, Southern Cross University, NSW, 1999.
- 28. Knight JT. Distribution, population structure and habitat preferences of the Oxleyan pygmy perch *Nannoperca oxleyana* (Whitley 1940) near Evans Head, northeastern New South Wales. Unpublished Bachelor of Applied Science Honours Thesis, Lismore, Southern Cross University, 2000.
- 29. Knight JT. Distributional limits of the endangered Oxleyan pygmy perch *Nannoperca oxleyana* (Whitley 1940) in northeastern New South Wales (Research Phase 1: 31/05/2001-28/08/2001). Unpublished report, Office of Conservation, NSW Fisheries, Port Stephens, 2001a.
- 30. Knight JT. Recent observations regarding *Nannoperca oxleyana* near Evans Head. Unpublished report, Office of Conservation, NSW Fisheries, 2001b.
- 31. Kuiter RH, Allen GR. A synopsis of the Australian pygmy perches (Percichthyidae) with the description of a new species. Revue Française Aquariologie 1986;12(4): 109-116
- Kuiter RH, Humphries PA, Arthington AH. Family Nannopercidae Pygmy Perches. Pp 168-175 in McDowall, R. (ed.), Freshwater Fishes of South-Eastern Australia (Revised edition). Reed Books, Sydney, 1996.
- Lawrence C. 94/075 Enhancement of yabby production from Western Australian farm dams. Western Australian Marine Research Laboratories, Fisheries Research Report No. 112, Fisheries Western Australia, Perth, 1998.
- 34. Leggett R. A fish in danger Nannoperca oxleyana. Fishes of Sahul 1990;6 (1): 247-249.
- 35. Leiper G. Honey blue-eyes endangered? Fishes of Sahul 1984;2: 72.
- 36. Llewellyn LC. Family Kuhlidae: Pygmy Perches. Pp. 153-155 in McDowall, R. (ed.), Freshwater Fishes of South-Eastern Australia (Revised edition), Reed Books, Sydney, 1980.
- 37. Lloyd LN. Ecological interactions of *Gambusia holbrooki* with Australian native fishes, pp. 94-97. In: D.A. Pollard (ed.), Introduced and translocated fishes and their ecological effect, Australian Government Publishing Service, Canberra, 1990.
- McKay RJ. Introductions of exotic fishes in Australia. In Distribution, Biology and Management of Exotic Fishes (Eds. W.R. Courtenay and J.R. Stauffer.) pp. 177-99. John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 1984.
- 39. Moss JT, St Leger, Hamlet S. The freshwater fishes of Moreton Island. Queensland Naturalist 1990;30 (3-4): 62-65.
- 40. Sanger AC. Aspects of the ecology and evolution of the pygmy perches (Teleostei: Kuhlidae). Unpublished Honours Thesis, Department of Zoology, University of Melbourne, 1978.
- 41. Swain M. Plan of fire management for Broadwater National Park: Planning for fire in heathlands. Unpublished Integrated Project, University of New England, NSW, 1989.

- 42. Thompson C, Arthington A, Kennard M. Oxleyan pygmy perch *Nannoperca oxleyana* Whitley, 1940. Aust Soc for Fish Biol Newsletter 2000;30 (1): 31-32.
- 43. Timms BV. A preliminary limnology survey of the Wooli Lakes, New South Wales. Proc of the Linnean Soc of NSW 1969;94: 105-112.
- 44. Timms BV. Man's influence on dune lakes or the derogation of the delectable lakes of northeastern NSW. Hunter Nat Hist 1997;August 132-141.
- 45. Timms BV. The demise of Taylors Lake. Australian Soc of Limnology Newsletter 1977;15: 20-22.
- 46. Timms BV. Coastal dune waterbodies of north-eastern New South Wales. Aust J Marine and Freshwater Res 1982;33: 203-222.
- 47. Wager R. The Oxleyan pygmy perch: maintaining breeding populations. Fishes of Sahul 1992;7(2): 310-312.
- 48. Wager R, Jackson P. Action plan for Australian freshwater fishes. Queensland Department of Primary Industries, Fisheries Division. Brisbane, Queensland, Australia, 1993.
- 49. Wager, R. 1993. The distribution and conservation status of Queensland freshwater fishes.
- 50. Walker D, Walker G. A fortunate discovery Oxleyan pygmy perch. ANGFA Bulletin 1995;45: 16.
- 51. Whitley GP. Illustrations of some Australian fishes. Aust Zoologist 1940;9(4): 397-428.
- 52. Woods, K, Marsden, T. 2004. Valentines Creek Fisheries Survey, Queensland Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries Report.
- 53. Wootton RJ. Fish ecology. Chapman and Hall, New York, 1992.

PERSONAL COMMUNICATION

- 54. Arthington, A. Deputy Director, Centre for Catchment and Instream Research, Griffith University, Queensland
- 55. Knight, J. Fisheries Technician, Threatened Species, NSW DPI, Port Stephens, New South Wales.
- 56. Richardson, D. Aquatic ecologist, WBM Oceanics Australia, Spring Hill, Queensland.
- 57. Schmida, G. Mudgeeraba, Queensland.

Appendices

Appendix 1a: Required contents of a recovery plan

Extract from NSW Fisheries Management Act 1994, Part 7A

220ZN Contents of recovery or threat abatement plans

(1) Recovery plans

A recovery plan must:

- a) identify the threatened species, population or ecological community to which it applies, and
- b) identify any critical habitat declared in relation to the threatened species, population or ecological community, and
- c) identify any threatening process or processes threatening the threatened species, population or ecological community, and
- d) identify methods by which adverse social and economic consequences of the making of the plan can be minimised, and
- e) state what must be done to ensure the recovery of the threatened species, population or ecological community, and
- f) state what must be done to protect the critical habitat (if any) identified in the plan, and
- g) state, with reference to the objects of this Part:
 - (i) the way in which those objects are to be implemented or promoted for the benefit of the threatened species, population or ecological community, and
 - (ii) the method by which progress towards achieving those objects is to be assessed, and
- h) identify the persons or public authorities who are responsible for the implementation of the measures included in the plan, and
- i) state the date by which the recovery plan should be subject to review by the Director.

Appendix 1b: Required contents of a recovery plan

Extract from the Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act* 1999, Division 5

270 Content of recovery plans

- (1) A recovery plan must provide for the research and management actions necessary to stop the decline of, and support the recovery of, the listed threatened species or listed threatened ecological community concerned so that its chances of long-term survival in nature are maximised.
- (2) In particular, a recovery plan must:
 - (a) state the objectives to be achieved (for example, removing a species or community from a list, or indefinite protection of existing populations of a species or community); and
 - (b) state criteria against which achievement of the objectives is to be measured (for example, a specified number and distribution of viable populations of a species or community, or the abatement of threats to a species or community); and
 - (c) specify the actions needed to achieve the objectives; and
 - (ca) identify threats to the species or community; and
 - (d) identify the habitats that are critical to the survival of the species or community concerned and the actions needed to protect those habitats; and
 - (e) identify any populations of the species or community concerned that are under particular pressure of survival and the actions needed to protect those populations; and
 - (f) state the estimated duration and cost of the recovery process; and
 - (g) identify:
 - (i) interests that will be affected by the plan's implementation; and
 - (ii) organisations or persons who will be involved in evaluating the performance of the recovery plan; and
 - (h) specify any major benefits to native species or ecological communities (other than those to which the plan relates) that will be affected by the plan's implementation; and
 - (j) meet prescribed criteria (if any) and contain provisions of a prescribed kind (if any).
- (3) In making a recovery plan, regard must be had to:
 - (a) the objects of this Act; and
 - (b) the most efficient and effective use of the resources that are allocated for the conservation of species and ecological communities; and
 - (c) minimising any significant adverse social and economic impacts, consistently with the principles of ecologically sustainable development; and
 - (d) meeting Australia's obligations under international agreements between Australia and one or more countries relevant to the species or ecological community to which the plan relates; and
 - (e) the role and interests of indigenous people in the conservation of Australia's biodiversity.

Extract from the Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Regulations 2000*, Division 7.5

7.11 Content of recovery plans

- (1) For paragraph 270 (2) (j) of the Act, a recovery plan must describe to the extent practicable, with spatial information:
 - (a) the location of species or ecological communities for which it is made; and
 - (b) areas of habitat that are critical to the survival of the species or ecological communities; and
 - (c) important populations of the species or ecological communities that are necessary for their long-term survival and recovery; and
 - (d) any areas that are affected by a threatening process.
- (2) A recovery plan should state:
 - (a) what must be done to stop the decline of, and support the recovery and survival of, the species or ecological community, including action:
 - (i) to protect important populations; and
 - (ii) to protect and restore habitat; and
 - (iii) to manage and reduce threatening processes; and
 - (b) to the extent possible, what management practices are necessary to avoid a significant adverse impact on the species or ecological community.
 - (3) For paragraph 270 (2) (d) of the Act, the criteria mentioned in regulation 7.09 must be considered in identifying habitat that is critical to the survival of the species or community concerne

costs
Itation
plemer
<u></u>
Ň
endix
App

Table 1: Estimated costs of implementing the actions identified in the Oxleyan pygmy perch recovery plan.

:0 N	Action Title		ES	timated Cost/	۲۲		Total Cost
		Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	_
	Recovery plan coordination	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$16,000	\$16,000	\$16,000	\$108,000
6.1	Research and investigation activities						
.	Undertake a survey program to better establish the distribution of pygmy perch and their habitat requirements	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$6,000			\$18,000
2	Model and map known and potential pygmy perch habitat				\$160,000	\$80,000	\$240,000
т	Support research into the environmental tolerances, population dynamics and other aspects of the life history and ecology of the pygmy perch			\$6,000	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$18,000
4	Conduct genetic research to establish the degree of isolation between populations and factors influencing dispersal of the pygmy perch		\$130,000	\$15,000	\$15,000		\$160,000
ъ	Monitor populations of gambusia and other exotic or native introduced fish species within or near waterbodies occupied by pygmy perch, and implement measures to reduce their impacts		\$20,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$50,000
9	Study interactions between gambusia and pygmy perch to better establish the degree of threat posed by gambusia	N/A in Yrs 1-5					
6.2	Compliance and regulatory activities						
7	Provide information to Councils, agencies and other relevant organisations to support appropriate planning and impact assessment			\$20,000	\$10,000		\$30,000
8	Investigate and implement options for providing increased protection for key areas of pygmy perch habitat		\$60,000				\$60,000
6	Ensure compliance with the ban on collecting through communication with aquarium enthusiasts	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$10,000
6.3	Management activities						
10	Develop an education program to increase community awareness of pygmy perch (both in urban and rural areas) and encourage community involvement	\$16,000	\$16,000	\$16,000	\$16,000	\$16,000	\$80,000

No:	Action Title		Es	timated Cost/	yr		Total Cost
		Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	_
11	Manage pygmy perch habitats occurring on national park estate			\$12,000	\$12,000	\$12,000	\$36,000
12	Minimise the impacts on pygmy perch habitats from current and future urban development, agriculture and forestry	\$12,000	\$12,000	\$12,000	\$12,000	\$12,000	\$60,000
13	Identify and restore degraded pygmy perch habitats				\$100,000	\$20,000	\$120,000
14	Investigate options for managing existing gambusia populations and preventing their spread to other areas	N/A in yrs 1-5					0\$
15	Develop and implement a public education program on identifying undesirable species and encourage reporting		\$20,000	\$10,000	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$38,000
16	Develop cooperative threatened species survey and sighting programs	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$30,000
17	Establish a long-term monitoring program to assess the ongoing status of pygmy perch and the effectiveness of recovery actions			\$60,000			\$60,000
	TOTALS	\$72,000	\$302,000	\$191,000	\$369,000	\$168,000	1118000

- NOTE:
 Costs are indicative estimates only.
 Costs are indicative estimates only.
 Actual expenditure will be reported in the recovery statements during the term of the recovery plan.