

# TDE 2 (Shasta Gold) mandarin

March 2020, Primefact 1745, First edition

Dave Monks and Graeme Sanderson, Research Horticulturists, Dareton



Figure 1. A TDE 2 (Shasta Gold) mandarin tree.



Figure 2. TDE 2 (Shasta Gold) mandarins.

## Estimated maturity period

Region	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Riverina												
Sunraysia												

## Origin

The TDE 2 is a hybrid triploid selection of (Temple tangor × 4n Dancy mandarin) × Encore mandarin bred by the University of California, USA. TDE2 has Plant Breeder's Rights (PBR) protection and is managed in Australia by Nu Leaf IP Pty Ltd.

## Fruit quality

Table 1. TDE 2 mandarin fruit quality\* characteristics.

Skin	Easy peel, deep orange colour, pebbled. Coarsest skin texture and highest rind thickness of the 3 TDE hybrids.
Average rind thickness (mm)	4.1
Internal quality	°Brix was 11.2–12.4 during the estimated maturity period, with acid content of the fruit remaining relatively high at 0.9–1.2% in 2010. Internal quality improved in 2011 with °Brix between 12.3–13.4 and acid 0.8–1.2%. In 2012, °Brix was between 12.9–13.9 and acid approximately 1.0%.
Average number of seeds	<1
Juice per cent (%)	50
°Brix	12.5
Acid per cent (%)	1.0
Brix:acid ratio	12.5
Average fruit weight (g)	261
Average fruit diameter (mm)	89

\*Juice quality levels considered adequate for harvest and developed by sequential analysis of fruit from top-worked evaluation trees.

## Comments

- TDE 2 is the latest maturing of the TDE hybrids.
- The strong alternate bearing habit of the TDE hybrids in some environments (from overseas data) means that extra canopy management strategies, such as pruning and fruit thinning, may be required. Alternate bearing did occur on evaluation trees that were fruit thinned and receiving a high level of horticultural management in 2012.
- Fruit remains on the trees in good condition for an extended period and achieved the best internal quality as determined by °Brix and °Brix:acid ratio of the three hybrids in 2010.
- It was more difficult to distinguish between the three TDE hybrids on internal and eating quality during the 2011 and 2012 seasons.
- The thorniness of the trees will pose a problem at harvest. An early pruning program to establish a limb framework would help to alleviate the thorny nature of young trees and make harvest activities less difficult as trees mature.

Table 2. Average yield per tree\* on trees top-worked to Valencia orange.

Rootstock	Average yield per tree (kg)		
	2010	2011	2012
Citrange	31	99	37

\*Average yield per tree results are from a small number of evaluation trees and should only be used as a general indication of the variety's potential yield.

**There is no commercial interest in TDE 2 in Australia. Commercialisation of the TDE hybrids has halted in the USA.**

## Acknowledgements

Citrus Australia Ltd (CAL)

Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development, WA

Hort Innovation Australia

Nu Leaf IP Pty Ltd.

**Hort  
Innovation**  
Strategic levy investment

**CITRUS  
FUND**

This project has been funded by Hort Innovation using the citrus research and development levy and funds from the Australian Government. For more information on the fund and strategic levy investment visit [horticulture.com.au](http://horticulture.com.au)

Reference number: PUB20/151

State of New South Wales through the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment ("Department") 2020. The content has been developed by the Department using funds provided by Horticulture Innovation Australia Limited ("Hort Innovation").

The information contained in this publication is based on knowledge and understanding at the time of writing (December 2019) and was generated from field and nursery trees at Dareton Primary Industry Institute, Sunraysia, NSW, unless otherwise stated. Where quantitative data are presented (e.g. % Juice or rind thickness) they are based on measured properties. Where qualitative data are presented (e.g. thorniness or tendency to split), they are based on observations or brief notes recorded in the field.

Because of advances in knowledge, users are reminded of the need to ensure the information upon which they rely is up to date and to check the currency of the information with the appropriate officer of the Department and the user's independent advisor. Any reliance on the contents of the publication (or any part thereof) will be entirely at the user's own risk and neither Hort Innovation nor the Department will be responsible or liable for any loss, damage, cost or expense allegedly arising from any use or non-use of this publication.

Whilst care has been taken in the preparation of this publication, Hort Innovation and the Department make no representations and (to the extent permitted by law) expressly exclude all warranties regarding the accuracy, completeness or currency of the information, recommendations and opinions contained in this publication.