

WHY IS MY 'SUNVALE' CROP FULL OF STRIPE RUST?

*Steven Simpfendorfer NSW DPI, Tamworth
Anke Martin and Mark Sutherland Centre for Systems Biology, USQ, Toowoomba*

KEY WORDS

Seed purity, rust resistance

GRDC CODES

DAN00143: Northern NSW integrated disease management

USQ00012: Enhanced germplasm for crown rot in winter cereals through application of molecular markers

Take home messages

1. Based on marker analysis, 16 of the 23 commercial Sunvale seed lots (70%) contained a level of varietal contamination of at least 5%. This result was supported by careful field observations of plant/head type and stripe rust reactions.
2. One sample contained 0% Sunvale and appears to be a mis-labelled sample of EGA Gregory. Impurity levels ranged from <5% up to 95% in the contaminated Sunvale seed lots.
3. In 16 of the 20 commercial Sunvale seed lots that had some level of contamination with another variety(s), the contaminant was very susceptible to stripe rust (MS to VS) while the true Sunvale plants in the plots had an MR reaction or better.
4. If your 'Sunvale' crop is full of stripe rust then it is almost certainly contaminated with another more rust susceptible variety.

WHAT IS THE ISSUE?

Sunvale is a popular bread wheat variety throughout central and northern NSW which was commercially released by the University of Sydney in 1995. This makes it a 16 year old variety. Although Sunvale relies on the stripe rust resistance gene Yr17 it also has strong Adult Plant Resistance (APR) genes which have allowed it to remain a moderately resistant (MR) variety even to the WA Yr17+ pathotype, which has virulence for the Yr17 gene.

Significant levels of stripe rust have been reported in commercial Sunvale crops over the past few seasons. However, in GRDC funded National Variety Trials (NVT) in 2010, a season very conducive to the development of leaf diseases, Sunvale plots had very low levels of stripe rust development consistent with its MR rating.

This raises the issue as to whether this discrepancy in the commercial versus NVT reaction of Sunvale is related to seed purity, simple variety mix-up or some other factor. Genetic analysis, in collaboration with the University of Southern Queensland (USQ), was used to try and resolve this situation. This analysis compares the DNA molecular marker banding patterns in individual seeds with a unique pattern only observed in Sunvale. The molecular work was backed-up by field observations of the various seed lots in a replicated small plot trial at Tamworth in 2011.

WHAT DID WE DO?

Agronomists were contacted in early 2011 to obtain commercial seed lots of Sunvale from the 2010 harvest. Twenty-three commercial Sunvale seed lots were obtained with 19 coming from northern NSW, 3 from Griffith and 1 from the Wellington region. Seeds from three different Sunvale checks were also included with Sunvale check 1 = 2011 NVT seed, Sunvale check 2 = AGT Narrabri 2006 seed and Sunvale check 3 = AGT Narrabri 2007 seed. Checks of three other varieties (Crusader, Ellison and EGA Gregory x2) were also included to validate the testing.

DNA was individually extracted from twenty separate seeds from each sample and twelve different molecular markers (on chromosomes 1A, 6A, 1B, 2B, 3B, 4B, 7B x 3 and 2D x 3) were tested across each resulting DNA sample by Dr Anke Martin at USQ in Toowoomba. If the DNA from any single seed did not show the Sunvale banding pattern for at least one or more of the 12 markers, then it was considered to be another variety. All samples were sent to USQ "blind", identified only by a code.

All thirty seed lots were also sown as small plots (1 x 2 m) at Tamworth in 2011 to obtain detailed observations of head types and stripe rust reaction of individual plants. Four replicate plots of each seed lot were sown in a randomised design with head type and stripe rust reaction recorded for each plot during flowering. Two basic categories of head type were scored being either a 'Sunvale type' which is shorter with a smaller compact head with very little gap between spikelets. This is characteristic of Sunvale and many other of the 'Sun' (Syd Uni) bred varieties (e.g. Sunco). The second category was wheat heads that were clearly not characteristic of Sunvale as they are much larger and longer with bigger gaps between spikelets so that the rachis (stem running up middle of head) becomes quite visible between spikelets. Other notes on crop height and presence of awns were also taken. Stripe rust was scored for each plant type on a standard 1-9 visual scale developed by the National Rust Laboratory at Cobbitty.

WHAT DID WE FIND?

The seed samples from two of the three Sunvale checks contained only Sunvale seed (Figure 1). These two Sunvale checks were kindly supplied by Dr Mequin Lui from AGT at Narrabri. The Sunvale check 1 was seed used in the 2011 NVT trial network and appears to contain a low level of contamination with one other variety. Because only twenty seeds were tested from each sample (cost issues) there is still the possibility that a low level of contamination generally <5% is present.

Purity of commercial Sunvale seed lots based on marker analysis varied dramatically with only 7 of the 23 (30% of samples) appearing to be >95% Sunvale (Figure 1). The remaining 16 seed lots were contaminated with at least one other variety. Impurity levels were very high in some Sunvale seed lots with Sunvale 17 only having 13 of the 20 seeds (65%) identified as Sunvale while for Sunvale 6 only 2 of the 20 seeds tested (10%) were Sunvale based on genetic testing. The remaining seeds of the Sunvale 17 sample appear to consist of four different varieties, whereas the Sunvale 6 seeds appear to consist of 14 different varieties based on marker analysis. The Sunvale 15 sample contained no Sunvale seed with the molecular marker banding patterns indicating that the seed lot is composed of only one different variety with a pattern consistent with EGA Gregory. This result is probably due to a silo mix-up either at seed preparation for sowing or at harvest.

The field evaluations of head type and rust reaction were very consistent with the marker analysis. Field observations allowed detection of impurity levels <5% in Sunvale seed lots 5, 13, 14 and 16. Only seed lots Sunvale 4, Sunvale 7 and Sunvale 12 (9% of seed lots) appear to be pure Sunvale based on molecular and field evaluations. With 16 of the 20 commercial Sunvale seed lots that had some level of contamination with another variety(s), the contaminant was very susceptible to stripe rust (MS to VS) while the true Sunvale plants in the plots had an MR or better reaction. In the remaining four seed lots, the contaminant varieties in Sunvale 9 both had Sunvale like heads with possibly a slightly more susceptible MR-MS reaction to stripe rust. This would not be obvious in the paddock due to the similarity in head appearance and rust reaction so only became evident upon molecular analysis. In fact, the Sunvale 9 sample only varied from Sunvale around the 2D markers indicating that the contaminant is closely related to Sunvale, possibly a sister line. Sunvale 10 appears to have two contaminant varieties with the main one having an R-MR reaction to stripe rust while the lower frequency contaminant has an MS-S reaction. Sunvale 15 had a consistent 'non-Sunvale' head type and an R reaction to stripe rust. The head type was similar to the two EGA Gregory check samples, supporting the marker analysis that this was a straight variety mix-up. The dominant contaminant in Sunvale 17 based on head type appears to be a durum wheat variety with an MR reaction to stripe rust.

Marker analysis indicated that the NVT Sunvale seed source (Sunvale check 1) contained a 5% impurity. This was also supported by the field observations with an average of 5% of scattered plants having a larger 'non-

Sunvale' head type with an MS-S reaction to stripe rust. Finally, the field observations also supported the marker analysis with the Sunvale 6 seed lot. The exception being that the markers indicated that there are 14 different contaminant varieties but only 5 could be easily distinguished with field observations which included an awnless variety.




Figure 1: Purity of commercial cv. Sunvale sources in 2011

(Value above bars indicates the number of different contaminant varieties identified by marker analysis)

WHAT DOES THIS MEAN?

Impurity (variety contamination) appears quite common in commercial Sunvale crops. If the Sunvale seed happens to have been contaminated with a stripe rust susceptible variety, as evident in 16 of the commercial seed lots, then this would explain the perceived increased stripe rust susceptibility in commercial crops. That is, they are not pure Sunvale, but have been contaminated with another more rust susceptible variety. Straight variety mix-ups (e.g. Sunvale 15) also appear to be an issue.

It is not surprising that high levels of impurity were observed in commercial Sunvale lots given that this is a 16 year old variety. Growers need to take more care in ensuring variety purity and correct identification of seed lots for planting. Pure Sunvale remains MR to stripe rust and does not require in-crop fungicide management. However, contamination of commercial Sunvale seed lots has introduced more stripe rust susceptible varieties into these paddocks in most situations which is unfairly tarnishing the resistance rating of this variety and jeopardising industry confidence in stripe rust resistance breeding as a whole. This situation is unlikely to be unique to Sunvale and may also possibly explain mixed reports of stripe rust levels commercially in more recently released MR varieties such as EGA Gregory.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This survey was partially funded by GRDC under projects DAN00143 and USQ00012. We thank all the growers and agronomists who provided Sunvale seed for this study and Dr Mequin Lui (AGT, Narrabri) for supplying two of the check sources of Sunvale.

CONTACT DETAILS

Steven Simpfendorfer
 NSW DPI, Tamworth Agricultural Institute
 Ph: (02) 67631261
 Email: steven.simpfendorfer@dpi.nsw.gov.au

Anke Martin
 Centre for Systems Biology
 University of Southern Queensland, Toowoomba
 Ph: (07) 46312261
 Email: anke.martin@usq.edu.au