FishCare Volunteer Program Angling Survey: Summary of Data Collected and Recommendations

Tim Park

NSW Department of Primary Industries Cronulla Fisheries Research Centre of Excellence PO Box 21, Cronulla, NSW 2230 Australia



Photo courtesy of Gavin McDonnal (EO)

November 2006



NSW DEPARTMENT OF PRIMARY INDUSTRIES NSW Department of Primary Industries -Fisheries Final Report Series No. 85 ISSN 1449-9967



FishCare Volunteer Program Angling Survey: Summary of data collected and recommendations

November 2006

Authors:	Park, T.
Published By:	NSW Department of Primary Industries (now incorporating NSW Fisheries)
Postal Address:	Cronulla Fisheries Research Centre of Excellence, PO Box 21, NSW, 2230
Internet:	www.dpi.nsw.gov.au

© NSW Department of Primary Industries and the Recreational Fishing Trusts.

This work is copyright. Except as permitted under the Copyright Act, no part of this reproduction may be reproduced by any process, electronic or otherwise, without the specific written permission of the copyright owners. Neither may information be stored electronically in any form whatsoever without such permission.

DISCLAIMER

The publishers do not warrant that the information in this report is free from errors or omissions. The publishers do not accept any form of liability, be it contractual, tortuous or otherwise, for the contents of this report for any consequences arising from its use or any reliance placed on it. The information, opinions and advice contained in this report may not relate to, or be relevant to, a reader's particular circumstance.

ISSN 1449-9967

(Note: Prior to July 2004, this report series was published as the 'NSW Fisheries Final Report Series' with ISSN number 1440-3544)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CC	INTENTS
LIST OF TABI	LESII
LIST OF FIGU	IRES
NON-TECHNI	CAL SUMMARYIII
1. BACKGR	ROUND
1.1. Da	<i>ita collected</i>
1.2. Po	tential data not collected
2. Survey	RESULTS
2.1. Nu	mber of interviews
2.2. Inc	dividual Fishcare volunteer interview effort
3. Angler	DEMOGRAPHY
3.1. An	gler gender9
3.2. An	gler age group
4. FISHERI	ES MANAGEMENT SUMMARY
4.1. Int	terviewee response to survey
4.2. We	ere interviewees licenced?
4.3. Aw	vareness of fishing regulations
4.4. Ex	penditure preferences
5. FISHERI	ES SUMMARY
5.1. An	gler effort
5.2. Fis	shing method 17
5.3. Ca	<i>itch</i>
5.4. Ca	tch composition
5.5. Ca	tch rates
6. SUMMAI	RY AND RECOMMENDATIONS
7. Referen	NCES
APPENDICES	
Appendix 1	1: The Fishcare Volunteer Interview Survey Form
Appendix 2	27 Are interviewees licensed?
Appendix 3	<i>Have respondents been previously interviewed?</i>
Appendix 4	4: Catch composition
Appendix 5	5: Potential access and aggregation points for survey

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Number of interviews by region and year. Regions are arranged north to south7
Table 2.	Percentage of anglers by gender by region
Table 3.	Percentage of anglers by age category by area
Table 4.	Contact response to interview as percentage acceptance or refusal by area11
Table 5.	Contact response to interview as acceptance or refusal by platform, boat or shore
Table 6.	Number of anglers licensed or not licensed by year
Table 7.	The number of anglers licensed or not licensed by area and percentage not licensed given13
Table 8.	The perceived awareness of the angler to NSW DPI fisheries regulations
Table 9.	Ranked response (1 highest, 6 lowest) to priority for Rec. Trust expenditure
Table 10.	Angler fishing effort by area and region. The number of interviews with a start and stop or
	interview time recorded (Count) with the stop time, even if later than the interview (Stop Time) and when earlier than the interview (Finished). Period is the mean fishing effort to interview
	time and Trip Duration is the mean period between start and stop times
Table 11.	Number of fish recorded by year and region. The table also includes the number retained and
	released
Table 12.	Mean Catch per Unit Effort expressed as number of fish caught per angling hour from FCV
	interview s in inland regions of NSW
Table 13.	Mean Catch per Unit Effort expressed as number of fish caught per angling hour for five years
	of FCV interviews in coastal regions of NSW
Table 14.	Survey results of whether anglers were licensed at time of interview by region and year
Table 15.	Survey results of whether anglers had been previously interviewed by region and year
Table 16.	Number of FCVs by FCV coastal region, principal waterway and potential access and
	aggregation points for survey
Table 17.	Number of FCVs by FCV inland region, principal waterway and potential access and aggregation points for survey

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Number of interviews by FCV.	8
Figure 2.	Fishing method by number of anglers surveyed in coastal regions in NSW.	17
Figure 3.	Number of anglers by fishing method from inland regions in NSW	18
Figure 4.	Location of freshwater (green) and saltwater (blue) tournaments monitored relative to the	
0	locations of 334 of the Fishcare Volunteers.	24
Figure 5.	Catch composition from FCV surveys of inland anglers, by region for 1999-2004	32
Figure 6.	Catch composition of coastal anglers from FCV surveys, by region for 1999-2004	33

NON-TECHNICAL SUMMARY

Since 1999 the Fishcare Volunteer (FCV) Program has been involved in a survey of anglers through interviews conducted at freshwater and coastal fishing spots throughout NSW.

Information collected includes the location, date and time of interview, the angler's first name, gender, age grouping and home postcode. The initial information captured indicates whether the anglers accept being surveyed and if they have been surveyed before. Information of use to the Program includes whether the anglers are aware of the FCV program and any advisory materials provided to the anglers. Information of use for fisheries management include whether the anglers are licensed, their ranked preferences in how the licence trust monies should be spent and their awareness of fishing regulations.

Information collected of use for scientific catch and effort analyses include the number of anglers in the party, whether they are boat or shore based, the hours fished and their method of angling such as bait, troll, spinning, or fly. Catch information is restricted to the number of fish caught or released by species. Size information is not collected.

This report summarises the information collected in the 2,303 interviews conducted from December 1999 until November 2004. Improvements to the structure of the database are suggested.

The survey highlighted that most anglers tend to be men between the ages 40 to 60. Men over 65 represented a larger proportion of anglers than they do in the national population and youth (<18) is underrepresented in the angling community. This highlights the importance of the role of FishCare Volunteers (FCVs) in bringing fishing to youth through the Fishcare Clinics. Most anglers (90%) were licensed and the public's awareness of fishing regulations is improving, 79% of anglers were regarded as 'well versed' in 2004 compared to 13% in 2000.

The average completed fishing trip was 1 hour 53 minutes whereas the average time spent fishing at the time of the interview of incomplete trios was 3 hours 12 minutes. This is an example of a proven statistical artefact of roving angler surveys known as length-of-stay bias, in which the probability of interviewing an angler is proportional to the duration of his time spent fishing. Anglers who fish longer are more likely to be interviewed in a roving survey hence there is a bias to overestimate the average fishing trip duration, whereas access point surveys that interview anglers at the end of their fishing trip do not have this bias. This then biases the average duration of incomplete fishing trips to be longer than those of anglers that have been interviewed after they have finished fishing. This is accounted for by separating complete and incomplete trips in analyses.

Freshwater fishing trips were longer in duration than those in saltwater. Bait fishing was the most popular method of fishing overall though particularly in saltwater. Freshwater fishers tended to be more diverse in their fishing method with a greater proportion trolling, spinning and fly-fishing than saltwater fishers.

Of all fish caught, 46% were released. Retention rates were higher in freshwater (59%) than saltwater (52%). Presumably there were more undersized fish caught and released in saltwater, although the reason for release was not included in the survey.

If the survey is continued it is recommended that it be designed with structured survey protocols to better represent the NSW angling population. Expansion of the survey to improve geographic coverage and consistency throughout the year is needed. Stratification to weekday/weekend and

time of day are suggested. These recommendations would require an overall increase in the number of anglers surveyed.

The involvement of FCVs in other existing recreational research projects has been successful in providing needed manpower and should be expanded.

The efforts of the FCVs, the Education Officers and the Coordinator need to be commended. The survey provides a baseline of information important in fisheries management and research. As well as providing information on angler activities to NSW DPI through standardised questions, the survey provides a mechanism for FCVs to interact with the public. It is this interaction that is particularly valuable to the programme and to NSW DPI.

The Fish Care Volunteers (FCVs) have been conducting a survey of anglers by interviews since 1999. The sampling strategy appears to have been ad hoc. The purpose of this report is to summarise the data collected and to provide recommendations. See Appendix 1 for the interview form. The report summarises data collected until November 2004.

The number of interviews done through the period has fluctuated as the priority given to doing the surveys has changed. Initially there was a pilot period from late 1999 to March 2000 when only a few interviews were done. During 2002 and 2003 additional staff were recruited to the programme giving the FCVs more support and the survey expanded as the FCV pool was built and volunteers encouraged to do interviews. Then in 2004, the review of the programme resulted in many of the FCVs leaving the programme and the survey was discouraged. This year, 2006, the FCV Programme is being expanded and the survey is being resumed (though with a lower priority than their other activities).

1.1. Data collected

The questionnaire used by the FCVs formats the information collected. While some catch and effort information is collected, the survey is more dedicated to information of use for management.

General information collected identifies the FCV, location, date and time of the interview. The initial information indicates whether the anglers accept being surveyed and if they have been surveyed before. Social information includes the given name, gender, age group and postcode of the angler. Information of use to the programme includes whether the anglers are aware of the FCV. Advisory materials, such as regulations booklets or ruler stickers given to the angler are recorded. Information pertaining to fisheries management include whether the anglers are licensed, their ranked preferences in expenditure of the licence trust monies and their apparent awareness of fishing regulations.

Information collected for catch and effort analysis include the number of anglers in the party, whether they are boat or shore-based, hours fished and their method of angling (such as bait, troll, spin, or fly). Catch information is restricted to number of fish caught or released by species.

1.2. Potential data not collected

Space on the form limits the amount of information collected, as does keeping the interview succinct so as to not irritate the angler. Additionally there are limitations on the skill of the FCVs to collect biological data.

Information that is not collected, but could be considered, are an indication of target species and measurements of the retained fish. The latter is important for stock assessment however such measurements could be perceived as overlapping the role of the FCV with that of compliance, which has the potential for conflict between FCVs and anglers.

Other questions such as their interaction with compliance officers and their financial expenditure on fishing have application within fisheries management. Though there are privacy issues to consider. Additional information could be collected and be useful, though caution should be exercised and consideration of the ramifications of recording fish lengths or asking questions on expenditure without clarifying why it is being recorded needs to be thought through.

2. SURVEY RESULTS

2.1. Number of interviews

Interview data have been collected since September 1999; these original data were from the Snowy Mountains Region and were done as a trial and only when an Education Officer was present. In 2000, survey interviews were also started in Lake Illawarra, Central Tablelands and Armidale regions. In 2001, six regions reported interviews, by 2002 18 regions had a total of 736 interviews. There were fewer (16) regions reporting interviews in 2003 though a total of 860 interviews were reached. There was a great reduction in 2004 with funding cutbacks and only 118 interviews in 16 regions are reported (only 5 regions with more than 10 interviews for the year to November). In all 2 303 interviews have been recorded to November 2004.

Port Macquarie region collected the most interviews (482) however these interviews were only conducted in 2002 and 2003. The Snowy Mountains Region has the earliest records with interviews from 1999 to 2002, though none in 2003 and only three interviews reported in 2004. Lake Illawarra has the most consistent data. Interviews have been conducted from January 2000 to late 2004, though the number of interviews in 2004 is considerably lower than previous years. This may be due to a loss of interest by FCVs, attributable to withdrawn support and staff losses.

A number of regions had particularly low numbers of interviews; the northern coast of NSW had a particular paucity of interviews. The Far North Coast and North Coast Regions only had had one interview recorded each, there have only been 3 on the Central Coast Region and 6 in Maclean Region. Most country areas are consistent though Albury had only had three interviews. This is low considering the importance of the Murray River and its impoundments nearby. The remoteness of fishing spots in western regions increases effort and costs to FCVs.

There is inconsistency in the number of interviews among regions. A withdrawal of support from management in 2004 lead to a reduction in the number of interviews.

Region	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	No date	Total
Kegion	1)))	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	no uate	Total
<u>Coastal</u>								
Tweed Heads Region					39	4		43
Far Nth Coast Region						1		1
Maclean Region				5		1		6
North Coast Region						1		1
Coffs Harbour Region				39	27	1		67
Port Macquarie Region				204	278			482
Lake Macquarie Region			14	95	62	12		183
Wallis Lake Region					71	16		87
The Entrance Region				14	31	9		54
Central Coast Region						3		3
Middle Harbour Region				31	4		1	36
Botany Bay Region				57	63	23		143
Lake Illawarra Region		166	43	38	30	23	3	303
Jervis Bay Region				37	13	5		55
Batemans Bay Region				20	61	1		82
Narooma Region				1	34			35
Coastal Regions Total:	0	166	57	541	713	100	4	1581
Inland								
Armidale Region		40	26	1				67
Tamworth Region				35	73			108
Central Tablelands Region		33	49	78	54	1		215
Bathurst Region						14		14
Scone Region					8			8
Nepean Region				29	12			41
Yass Region			18	6				24
Snowy Mountains Region	20	85	84	43		3	6	241
Albury Region				3				3
(blank)							1	1
Inland Regions Total:	20	158	177	195	147	18	7	722
Fotal	20	324	234	736	860	118	11	2 303

Table 1.Number of interviews by region and year. Regions are arranged north to south.

2.2. Individual Fishcare volunteer interview effort

The number of interviews recorded in each region is a function of the number of volunteers involved in the survey, as well as the number of interviews conducted per volunteer. Of the 2 303 interviews recorded 50% can be attributed to 15 of 152 FCVs that recorded at least one interview. One volunteer SWV0096 in Port Macquarie conducted 305 interviews, 13.2% of the total number of interviews. The top two interviewers conducted 422 (18.3%) of the interviews and were both from the Port Macquarie region.



Figure 1. Number of interviews by FCV.

A few volunteers were did a large proportion of the interviews. While this shows the disparity numbers of interviews done among volunteers, it also could show the potential coverage of the survey.

3. ANGLER DEMOGRAPHY

3.1. Angler gender

Most anglers interviewed were male (85%) only 6% were female. In 9% of interviews the gender was not recorded. This seemed particularly the case in Port Macquarie where 32% were not ascribed a gender.

The proportion of women was similar in inland and coastal regions; the proportion of interviewees where the gender was unidentified differed, only 1% were unidentified in inland regions compared to 12% in coastal regions.

Region	Unspecified	Female	Male	Total
Coastal				
Tweed Heads Region	16%	9%	74%	43
Far Nth Coast Region			100%	1
Maclean Region			100%	6
North Coast Region	100%			1
Coffs Harbour Region		13%	87%	67
Port Macquarie Region	32%	6%	63%	482
Wallis Lake Region	2%	11%	86%	87
Lake Macquarie Region		5%	95%	183
The Entrance Region	2%		98%	54
Central Coast Region			100%	3
Middle Harbour Region	6%	3%	92%	36
Botany Bay Region	3%	1%	95%	143
Lake Illawarra Region	5%	4%	91%	303
Jervis Bay Region	9%	9%	82%	55
Batemans Bay Region	5%	9%	87%	82
Narooma Region	3%	9%	89%	35
Coastal Regions Total:	12%	6%	82%	1581
<u>Inland</u>				
Armidale Region	4%	7%	88%	67
Tamworth Region		10%	90%	108
Bathurst Region			100%	14
Central Tablelands Region	2%	5%	93%	215
Scone Region			100%	8
Nepean Region		10%	90%	41
Yass Region			100%	24
Snowy Mountains Region	2%	6%	92%	241
Albury Region			100%	3
Inland Regions Total:	1%	7%	92%	721
Total	9%	6%	85%	2 303

Table 2.Percentage of anglers by gender by region.

10

3.2. Angler age group

The demography of anglers was gathered by the FCVs assigning the anglers to four age categories. Of the 2 406 anglers categorised, the highest proportion of anglers (39%) was in the 18-40 age group, though almost as many (34%) were in the 40-60 age group. The over 60 year old category comprised 16% of anglers. Children and youths under 18 only made up 6% of anglers. The Australian Bureau of Statistics website has the Australian population categorised as 35% under 15 and 12% over 65 (Australian Bureau of Statistics 2004). The fishing population, therefore, appears to be under represented by youth but a popular pastime for older Australians.

Region	Not Recorded	<18	18-40	40-60	>60	Total
Coastal						
Tweed Heads Region	7%	9%	42%	37%	5%	43
Far Nth Coast Region	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	1
Maclean Region	33%	0%	17%	50%	0%	6
North Coast Region	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1
Coffs Harbour Region	6%	3%	34%	38%	20%	80
Port Macquarie Region	2%	13%	33%	30%	23%	482
Wallis Lake Region	13%	3%	28%	28%	29%	87
Central Coast Region	0%	0%	33%	33%	33%	3
Lake Macquarie Region	7%	3%	36%	38%	17%	183
The Entrance Region	6%	4%	39%	43%	9%	54
Middle Harbour Region	8%	3%	44%	31%	15%	39
Botany Bay Region	4%	2%	69%	22%	3%	179
Lake Illawarra Region	12%	5%	50%	31%	3%	314
Jervis Bay Region	6%	13%	23%	39%	19%	67
Batemans Bay Region	6%	4%	58%	31%	2%	85
Narooma Region	0%	3%	57%	34%	6%	35
Coastal Regions Total:	6%	7%	42%	31%	14%	1 661
Inland						
Armidale Region	10%	3%	45%	33%	10%	73
Tamworth Region	5%	1%	43%	45%	6%	108
Bathurst Region	11%	6%	17%	28%	39%	18
Central Tablelands Region	4%	6%	21%	36%	33%	216
Scone Region	8%	8%	50%	25%	8%	12
Nepean Region	4%	2%	65%	22%	7%	46
Orange Region	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1
Yass Region	13%	17%	29%	38%	4%	24
Snowy Mountains Region	5%	0%	29%	43%	22%	235
Albury Region	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	3
Freshwater Inland Region	0%	0%	33%	67%	0%	6
Western Region	33%	33%	0%	33%	0%	3
Inland Regions Total:	6%	3%	33%	38%	20%	745
Total	6%	6%	39%	34%	16%	2 406

Table 3.Percentage of anglers by age category by area.

4. FISHERIES MANAGEMENT SUMMARY

4.1. Interviewee response to survey

Response from most contacts was positive, 97% of people approached accepted being interviewed. Interestingly, the areas of high refusal rates tended to be around Sydney. In the middle harbour region 4 of the 36 (11%) people approached refused to be interviewed, in Botany Bay Region 10 of the 143 (7%) refused. Lake Illawarra, proximal to Sydney, had 15 of 303 (5%) people refuse. Among the regional centres Bathurst and Tweed Heads regions had the highest refusal rates of 7% and 5% respectively though these represented only 1 of 14 and 2 of 43 people approached respectively. Refusal rates were similar between inland and coastal areas.

Regions	Not Recorded	Accept	Refuse	Interview s
Coastal				
Tweed Heads Region		95%	5%	43
Far Nth Coast Region		100%	0%	1
Maclean Region		100%	0%	6
Coffs Harbour Region		100%	0%	67
North Coast Region		100%	0%	1
Port Macquarie Region		100%	0%	482
Wallis Lake Region		98%	2%	87
Lake Macquarie Region		100%	0%	183
The Entrance Region		98%	2%	54
Central Coast Region		100%	0%	3
Middle Harbour Region		89%	11%	36
Botany Bay Region		93%	7%	143
Lake Illawarra Region	5	93%	5%	303
Jervis Bay Region		95%	5%	55
Batemans Bay Region		96%	4%	82
Narooma Region		100%	0%	35
Coastal Regions Total:	5	97%	3%	1 534
<u>Inland</u>				
Armidale Region		96%	4%	67
Tamworth Region		100%	0%	108
Bathurst Region	1	86%	7%	14
Central Tablelands Region		97%	3%	215
Scone Region		100%	0%	8
Nepean Region		100%	0%	41
Snowy Mountains Region		99%	1%	241
Yass Region		100%	0%	24
Albury Region		100%	0%	3
Inland Regions Total:	1	98%	2%	707
Not Recorded	1	0%	0%	1
Total	7	97%	2%	2 303

Table 4.	Contact response to interview as	percentage acceptance of	r refusal by area
----------	----------------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------

Refusal rates of boat or shore based fishermen were similar. Most of the refusals occurred on forms where the fishing platform was not recorded. This may indicate a problem with the approach, though refusals did not appear to be associated with particular FCVs.

Table 5.Contact response to interview as acceptance or refusal by platform, boat or shore.

Platform	Accept	Refuse	N/A	Number
Boat	100%	0%	0%	678
Shore	99%	1%	0%	1 560
Unspecified	29%	62%	9%	65
Total	97%	2%	0%	2 303

4.2. Were interviewees licenced?

Over the time period 1 351 anglers were asked if they had a licence, 1 219 (90.2%) said they had a licence, hence 132 (10%) were not. The two areas with the lowest proportion of licensed fishermen were Lake Illawarra (28.7% unlicensed) and Armidale (27.7% unlicensed).

Note, this summary does not determine whether the anglers were exempt (due to age, in possession of a pensioner card, Aboriginal exemption etc) or fishing illegal. This would be a sensitive question for the FCVs and hence 41% of anglers were not asked. See Appendix 2 for more detail.

Year	Not Asked	Licensed	Not Licensed
1999	3	12	5
2000	160	132	32
2001	30	169	35
2002	289	410	37
2003	439	399	22
2004	20	97	1
Total	941	1 219	132

Table 6.Number of anglers licensed or not licensed by year.

Region	Licensed	Not Licensed	% Not Licensed
<u>Coastal</u>			
Tweed Heads Region	19	3	14%
Far Nth Coast Region	1	0	0%
Maclean Region	2	0	0%
Coffs Harbour Region	35	2	5%
North Coast Region	1	0	0%
Port Macquarie Region	155	12	7%
Wallis Lake Region	55	10	15%
Lake Macquarie Region	140	4	3%
The Entrance Region	43	0	0%
Central Coast Region	3	0	0%
Middle Harbour Region	15	0	0%
Botany Bay Region	88	2	2%
Lake Illawarra Region	62	25	29%
Jervis Bay Region	25	6	19%
Batemans Bay Region	46	1	2%
Narooma Region	25	0	0%
Coastal Regions Total:	715	65	8%
<u>Inland</u>			
Armidale Region	39	15	28%
Tamworth Region	40	0	0%
Central Tablelands Region	181	10	5%
Bathurst Region	13	0	0%
Scone Region	7	1	13%
Nepean Region	24	7	23%
Yass Region	19	0	0%
Snowy Mountains Region	187	34	15%
Inland Regions Total:	510	67	12%
Total	1 225	132	10%

Table 7.The number of anglers licensed or not licensed by area and percentage not licensed
given.

4.3. Awareness of fishing regulations

At the time of the interview anglers were offered a NSW Fisheries Rules and Regulations Brochure. If the interviewee was aware of the regulations but not well versed with the size and bag limits they were categorised 'Aware', if they knew size and bag limits of the main species they were likely to encounter they were categorised 'Well Versed' (McElligott pers. com.).

Over the entire period 44% of anglers were categorised as 'well versed' with NSW fishing regulations, 43% were 'aware' 13% were 'unaware' of them. There was a trend of increasing awareness of regulations with 94% being regarded as aware compared to 0% as well versed in 1999, while in 2004 79% were 'well versed' compared to 20% that were 'aware'. The 'unaware' interviewees were lower than 20% in each year and only 1% in 2004.

Year	Unaware	Aware	Well Versed	Total
1999	6%	94%	0%	17
2000	18%	69%	13%	311
2001	6%	34%	60%	207
2002	12%	41%	47%	627
2003	15%	37%	48%	776
2004	1%	20%	79%	85
Total	266	863	894	2 023

Table 8.The perceived awareness of the angler to NSW DPI fisheries regulations.

There is an improvement in the public awareness of fishing regulations.

4.4. Expenditure preferences

Over 1 000 of the contacts were asked where they would like to see their Recreational Fishing Trust money spent. The replies were ranked among six options with '1' being their most important priority for funding. Unfortunately a simple count of the numbers of the rankings does not show a definitive result as many of the respondents ranked more than one option with '1', others only gave one option '1' and did not rank the other options. However, of the responses to this question, 45% gave fish stocking a '1' priority. Research received the fewest '1's with only 17%, however RFAs received the most '6's with 25%. The other categories received '1's about a third of the time.

Note this did not indicate whether interviewees understood the options or their purpose.

Table 9.Ranked response (1 highest, 6 lowest) to priority for Rec. Trust expenditure.

Expenditure	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Education	32%	14%	15%	14%	16%	8%	1 103
Fish Stocking	45%	18%	10%	8%	8%	10%	1 090
Habitat Protection	33%	22%	16%	12%	9%	8%	1 068
Enforcement	30%	17%	13%	14%	12%	13%	1 082
Research	17%	13%	17%	20%	20%	13%	1 012
RFAs	32%	12%	12%	9%	11%	24%	1 077
Total	2 045	1 036	895	830	806	820	

The Trust expenditure question is important but appears to be poorly recorded, training should focus on adhering to the structure of the responses.

5. FISHERIES SUMMARY

5.1. Angler effort

Effort determination may be based on a trip as a unit, though a more accurate determination of effort uses hours of fishing as the unit. A catch rate determined as number of fish per hour angling is more accurate than number of fish per trip and is less confounded by the behaviour of the angler.

To calculate hours of fishing effort the survey included the start time and finish time as well as the time of interview. There were 2 066 interviews where a start and stop or interview time were given. These interviews provided an indication of effort; there were 863 interviews providing times in freshwater and 1 203 in saltwater. A stop time was given whether actual or proposed (later than interview time) in 1 224 (59%) cases, of these 936 (45%) had already finished fishing.

The average fishing period (where a stop time was used, if given, or the interview time, if not given) was 3 hours 12 minutes, this time was longer in freshwater (3 hours 52 minutes) than saltwater (2 hours 43 minutes). The average total duration of fishing trips from anglers where a start and finish time were given was 1hour 53 minutes, the average trip in inland regions was 2 hours 50 minutes and in coastal regions 1 hour 19 minutes. This disparity between incomplete and completed trips is a statistical artefact of roving angler surveys known as length-of-stay bias. This is that the probability of surveying an angler is proportional to the duration of his trip. Therefore the duration of incomplete trips is biased to being longer than completed trips (Pollock, Jones *et al.* 1994).

Fishcare Volunteer Program Angling Survey

Park

Table 10.Angler fishing effort by area and region. The number of interviews with a start and
stop or interview time recorded (Count) with the stop time, even if later than the
interview (Stop Time) and when earlier than the interview (Finished). Period is the
mean fishing effort to interview time and Trip Duration is the mean period between
start and stop times.

Region	Interviews	Number with Stop Time	Number that Finished	Period (hours:mins)	Trip Duration (hours:mins)
Inland					
Armidale Region	53	33	27	2:35	1:14
Tamworth Region	138	127	113	4:32	3:27
Central Tablelands	263	176	116	3:40	2:29
Scone Region	13	13	13	8:43	8:43
Bathurst Region	12	12	8	3:37	3:06
Nepean Region	45	15	7	1:59	1:07
Snowy Mountains	3	3	1	2:00	2:00
Snowy Region	315	273	198	4:03	3:24
Yass Region	21	3	3	3:45	0:26
Inland Regions Total:	863	655	486	Average 3:52	Average 2:50
<u>Coastal</u>					
Tweed Heads Region	31	23	15	2:52	2:09
Far Nth Coast	1	1	1	1:30	1:30
Maclean Region	4		0	2:30	-
North Coast	0		0	-	-
Coffs Harbour Region	59	26	22	2:21	1:31
Port Macquarie Region	180	23	17	1:18	0:07
Wallis Lake region	81	51	41	2:47	1:46
The Entrance Region	53	44	37	3:43	3:17
Lake Macquarie Region	191	146	122	4:05	3:01
Central Coast Region	5	5	3	2:18	2:18
Middle Harbour Region	27	6	2	1:57	0:32
Botany Bay Region	130	68	33	2:47	1:25
Lake Illawarra Region	269	38	32	1:53	0:24
Jervis Bay region	56	48	42	4:43	4:16
Batemans Bay Region	89	70	69	3:19	2:40
Narooma Region	27	20	14	3:37	2:23
Coastal Regions Total:	1 203	569	450	Average 2:43	Average 1:19
Total	2 066	1 224	936	Average 3:12	Average 1:53

5.2. Fishing method

The fishing method employed was recorded for 2 552 anglers. Bait fishing was the most common method. It was particularly common in saltwater with 86.9% of anglers using bait compared to 42.2% in freshwater.

In saltwater spinning was the second most common method, though only by 6.4% of anglers were spinning, few anglers used the other methods: troll 3.1%, fly 1.5% and other 2.1%.



Figure 2. Fishing method by number of anglers surveyed in coastal regions in NSW.

Freshwater anglers were more varied in their methods. The second most common fishing method was trolling comprising 22.4% of anglers, fly-fishing was used by 18.8% and spinning by 16.5% of anglers. Trolling was the most common form of fishing in the Snowy region and fly-fishing the most common method in the central Tablelands region. Spinning was the most common in the Armidale region.



Figure 3. Number of anglers by fishing method from inland regions in NSW.

5.3. Catch

FCVs recorded 10 914 fish caught in all regions for the six years. There were 2 633 fish recorded in freshwater areas and 8 281 fish in saltwater regions. Overall 5 910 (54%) fish were retained and 5 004 (46%) were released. Retention rates were slightly higher in freshwater (59%) than in saltwater (52%). Most fish were recorded from Lake Macquarie region in 2003. Note Lake Macquarie in 2003 had the most interviews recorded.

Region	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	Caught	Release d	Total
<u>Inland</u>									
Albury Region				3			1	2	3
Armidale Region		211	154	3			68	300	368
Bathurst Region						191	187	4	191
Central Tablelands		36	118	204	245		475	128	603
Region									
Nepean Region				43	33		28	48	76
Scone Region					60	_	31	29	60
Snowy Mountains						7	7	0	7
Snowy Region	24	175	182	151		2	292	242	534
Tamworth Region				207	526		424	309	733
Yass Region		(22	56	2	064	• • • •	45	13	58
Inland Regions Total:	24	422	510	613	864	200	1 558	10/5	2 633
<u>Coastal</u>									
Batemans Bay Region				152	773	10	451	484	935
Botany Bay Region				150	376	234	312	448	760
Central Coast Region						15	9	6	15
Coffs Harbour Region				162	213	1	170	206	376
Far Nth Coast						1	1	0	1
Jervis Bay Region				221	136	1	273	85	358
Lake Illawarra Region		621	177	18	42	45	486	422	908
Lake Macquarie Region			95	843	886	230	1129	925	2054
Maclean Region				77			65	12	77
Middle Harbour Region				39	1		37	3	40
Narooma Region					100		47	53	100
North Coast						1		1	1
Port Macquarie Region				468	682		609	541	1150
The Entrance Region				70	333	97	197	303	500
Tweed Heads Region					266	30	112	184	296
Wallis Lake region					379	331	454	256	710
Coastal Regions Total:		621	272	2 200	4 187	996	4 352	3 929	8 281
Total	24	1 043	782	2 813	5 051	1 196	5 910	5 004	10 914

Table 11.Number of fish recorded by year and region. The table also includes the number
retained and released.

5.4. Catch composition

The diversity of species recorded was greater in saltwater regions with 1 443 species categories reported compared to 32 in freshwater.

The most common taxon reported was bream comprising 26.7% of total fish caught, followed by flathead 13.7% and snapper 4.8%. Rainbow trout was the sixth most commonly reported overall (4.1%) but were the most numerous among freshwater fish reported (17.2%), followed by carp (14.5%) and bass (13.2%). Note the fish were not reported to actual species in many cases such as which species of bream or flathead.

Bream were ubiquitous in the catches among saltwater regions, flathead were common in the areas with large estuaries and saltwater lakes. Snapper were common in areas such as Jervis Bay and Coffs Harbour. In freshwater, trout dominated the snowy areas while carp were common in the central areas and Murray cod along the NSW southern border.

See Appendix 4 for catch composition figures.

5.5. Catch rates

The catch rate information was calculated as total number of fish per hour fished by region by year. This is a rough indicator of fishing success though it does not take many factors into account. Overall the average catch rate was 0.82 fish per hour fishing. The highest catch rates were recorded in Wallis Lake with 2.1 fish per hour an average for 60 anglers interviewed. The average catch rate in freshwater was 0.36 fish per hour while in saltwater that was 1.03 fish per hour.

Many interviews (10%) had incomplete time information; this compromises the calculation of catch rates.

By way of comparison with other earlier more rigorous studies, in 1984 the overall catch rate of anglers in Sydney Harbour was found to be 1.26 fish per angler hour (Henry 1984), the FCV survey produced a much lower CPUE for Middle Harbour of 0.2 fish per angler hour, though this was for only 36 interviews done. The catch rate in Botany Bay, with 143 interviews done, was 0.96 fish per angler hour. An assessment on Lake Macquarie did not recommend comparing overall catch rates (Steffe, Murphy *et al.* 2005).

Region	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	Mean (fish/hr)
Tamworth Region				0.28	0.57		0.49
Armidale Region		0.49	0.99	0.00		0.00	0.64
Bathurst Region						0.34	0.52
Central Tablelands Region		0.00	0.37	0.11	0.19	0.00	0.18
Ebor Region						0.00	0.00
Nepean Region				0.16	0.07	0.00	0.11
Scone Region					1.20	0.00	0.76
Yass Region			0.00	0.00			0.00
Snowy Mountains Region	0.42	0.42	0.41	0.28		1.06	0.38
Albury Region				0.00			0.00
Freshwater Inland Region						0.00	0.00
Western Region						0.00	0.00
Mean	0.42	0.38	0.46	0.20	0.44	0.22	0.36

Table 12.Mean Catch per Unit Effort expressed as number of fish caught per angling hour
from FCV interview s in inland regions of NSW.

Table 13.Mean Catch per Unit Effort expressed as number of fish caught per angling hour
for five years of FCV interviews in coastal regions of NSW.

Region	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	Mean (fish/hr)
Tweed Heads Region				1.13	1.33	2.00
Far Nth Coast Region					0.67	0.67
Maclean Region			2.68		0.00	1.14
North Coast Region					0.00	0.00
Coffs Harbour Region			1.00	0.70	2.54	1.47
Port Macquarie Region			0.82	0.26		0.47
Hastings Region					0.23	0.26
Lake Macquarie		0.23	1 66	1 88	1 25	1 57
Region		0.25	1.00	1.00	1.23	1.57
Wallis Lake Region				1.36	2.97	1.76
The Entrance Region			0.23	1.01	2.15	1.11
Central Coast Region					0.60	0.61
Middle Harbour Region			0.00	0.00	0.64	0.20
Botany Bay Region			0.78	0.63	1.16	0.97
Wollongong Region						1.03
Lake Illawarra Region	0.40	0.81	0.00	0.33	0.16	0.42
Jervis Bay Region			0.70	0.69	0.84	1.27
Batemans Bay Region			1.09	1.83	1.98	1.72
Montague						0.00
Narooma Region				0.13		0.13
Mean	0.40	0.63	1.02	0.87	1.44	1.03

6. SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The efforts of the FCVs should be praised as considerable amounts of data have been collected. The survey collects information on recreational fishing in NSW that includes demographic and fisheries data as well the public's perception of and their needs for fisheries management.

The survey found that most anglers tend to be males between 40-60 years old. Surveyed anglers had a comparatively high component of those aged over 65 compared to the national population but youth was underrepresented in the angling community. Most anglers (90%) stated that they were licensed and the public's awareness of fishing regulations is improving. Anglers rated stocking as the highest priority for trust expenditure and research as the lowest. RFAs received a relatively low priority.

The average completed fishing trip was 1 hour 53 minutes in duration; whereas the average time spent fishing at the time of the interview was 3 hours 12 minutes. Freshwater fishing trips were longer in duration than those in saltwater. Bait-fishing was the most popular form of fishing overall. Though freshwater fishers tended to be more diverse in their fishing method with a greater proportion trolling, spinning and fly-fishing.

Of all fish caught, 46% were released. Retention rates were higher in freshwater (59%) than saltwater (52%). Presumably this was mainly due to undersized fish, though the reason for release was not asked.

Catch composition and catch rates varied considerably among regions and years. Missing time information compromises the calculation of catch rates. Improving the time recording and structure of the survey will improve this data.

The FCVs should be encouraged to complete all fields, the time fields were often incomplete, and the trust expenditure question was often not categorised 1-6 as needed. These problems can easily be improved by training.

It is important that the FCVs and the public do not confuse the role of FCVs and enforcement. A potential question not asked is if the angler has had any interaction with enforcement officers. Angler compliance with licensing requirements would be better determined through the enforcement officer's activities. Rather the FCVs could ask if the angler qualified for a licence exemption. Having size data of the fish recorded would be useful though this protracts the duration of the interview and resembles enforcement action monitoring compliance with minimum size regulations.

The survey is broad in the subjects it covers. It collects data on angler demography, information pertaining to the anglers' awareness of fisheries management initiatives such as the FCV programme and fisheries regulations as well as their preferences for recreational trust money expenditure. The survey also collects catch and effort information. However the scope of the survey and the relatively low number of interviews, limits the utility of the survey for stock assessment of recreationally important species. Research would benefit from the data collection being better structured. Continuance of this survey requires an improvement in the number of interviews; in particular some consistency in the number of interviews among regions and through time to ensure it is representative of the regions is important. In most areas this would also mean an increase in the numbers of interviews. This would require training of the FCVs in survey methods and for FCVs to appreciate representative sampling. There are 323 FCVs listed at the time of writing, this is a substantial pool of people that are sufficiently interested in fisheries to volunteer

their time to the program. Obviously with a large group of people their enthusiasm and available time is varied. Probably the first stage of planning a structured survey is to ask each FCV how much time they can commit to doing a survey (remembering that they are volunteers). Depending on availability, dates could then be selected to be survey days. Key waterways within each region should be identified as well as each waterway's main access points (NSW Waterways are a source of information on access point usage). The current data may provide pilot information in some areas though others would require a pilot study be undertaken. Depending on volunteer resources something in the order of at least two weekend days and one weekday per month might provide sufficient data.

The survey could then be structured to be stratified into key areas in each region (Appendix 5), weekend versus weekday and within a day into 4-hour time slots. For temporal stratification, 0600-10000 hrs; 1000-1400 hrs; 1400-1800 hrs would be appropriate time slots. Access point and roving surveys at aggregation points such as break walls should be selected depending of the mobility of the FCV and the nature of the waterway. Standardising the survey locations would improve the survey (Appendix 5). Head counts and Bus Stop surveys (in which trailer counts are done at boat ramps) would be particularly useful on larger waterways such as Sydney Harbour and Lake Macquarie; a small boat would be useful in these areas to improve coverage.

The FCV Programme assigns its activities to 10 inland and 16 coastal regions, it is unclear how the regions were established but it would be useful for fisheries research if based on watersheds. Moreover, the societal aspects of the survey should also be considered in the geographic stratification of regions.

The FCVs are of greatest benefit in supporting existing structured scientific projects. Many FCVs currently support data collection by the Angling Research Tournament Monitoring Programme at fishing tournaments; this should be expanded (Figure 4). The survey could also focus on key tournament areas at times outside of tournaments. This could then be compared with the tournament data to assess the impact of particularly large tournaments both on the fish populations as well as providing an opportunity for local opinion to be recorded. This local opinion could also provide background for tournament codes of conduct. Particular tournaments to be considered include those at Evans Head, Coffs Harbour, Port Stephens and those along the Murray River. FCVs have also been utilised in previous scientific recreational fishery surveys, such as at Lake Macquarie and proved invaluable in providing much needed man-hours required to conduct a large survey (Steffe, Murphy *et al.* 2005).

The efforts of the FCVs, the Eduction Officers and the Coordinator need to be commended. The survey provides a baseline of information important in fisheries management, research and on public opinion. As well as providing information to NSW DPI the survey structures the information collected and provides a mechanism for FCVs to interact with the public. It is this interaction that is particularly valuable to the programme and to NSW DPI.



Figure 4. Location of freshwater (green) and saltwater (blue) tournaments monitored relative to the locations of 334 of the Fishcare Volunteers (red).

7. **REFERENCES**

- Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2004. "3235.1 Population by Age and Sex, New South Wales, June 2004". Retrieved 28 April 2006, from http://www.abs.gov.au/AUSSTATS/ abs@.nsf/PrimaryMainFeatures/3235.1.55.001?OpenDocument.
- Henry, G.W., 1984. Commercial and recreational fishing in a Sydney estuary. Fisheries Bulletin 1: 47 p. NSW Fisheries.
- Pollock, K.H., Jones C.M. and Brown, T.L, 1994. Angler Survey Methods and their Applications in Fisheries Management. Bethesda, Maryland, American Fisheries Society. 371 p.
- Steffe, A.S., Murphy, J.J., Chapman, D.J. and Gray, C.C., 2005. An assessment of changes in the daytime recreational fishery of Lake Macquarie following the establishment of a 'Recreational Fishing Haven'. NSW Department of Primary Industries - Fisheries Final Report Series No. 79. 103pp.

APPENDICES

Appendix 1: The Fishcare Volunteer Interview Survey Form

NTERVIEW LOG - Coff	s Harbour Region Volunt	eer ID		
Area:	Location:		Date:	-
*** Remember to introduc	e yourself and explain what y relating to their fishing ar	ou do and why? ask if it: nd fishing in general***	s OK to ask some question	าร
Refusal	Cease interview politely	/		
Acceptance	Continue politely	Interviewed b	efore: Y	
	_	-		
DAIA Name	Time	Sex	_Postcode	-
Are you aware of the Fishca	are Volunteer Program: Y	N How?	nolitely thank for	_
cooperation.	some calch dala? Y	In In Yes	politely thank for	
Catch D	ata Remember: Re	ecord all fish species ca	uaht (including pests)	-
Method Ti	ata Remember: Re me spent Fish Ty	ecord all fish species ca ′pe	ught (including pests) Catch Release	
Method Ti Bait Sta Troll Fir	ata Remember: Re me spent Fish Ty art nish	ecord all fish species ca r pe	ught (including pests) Catch Release	
Method Ti Bait Sta Troll Fir Fly Sta Spin Fir	ata Remember: Re me spent Fish Ty art nish art nish nish	ecord all fish species ca r pe	ught (including pests) Catch Release	
Method Ti Bait Str Troll Fir Fly Spin Other	ata Remember: Re	ecord all fish species ca /pe	ught (including pests) Catch Release	
Method Ti Bait Str Troll Str Fly Str Other Fir	ata Remember: Rememb	ecord all fish species ca r pe	ught (including pests) Catch Release	
Catch D Method Ti Bait Sta Troll Fly Spin Other Other Comments: Licence	ata Remember: Re	ecord all fish species ca rpe	v material given	
Catch D Method Ti Bait Troll Fly Spin Other Comments: Education	ata Remember: Re	ecord all fish species ca /pe Advisor	y material given	
Method Ti Bait State Troll State Fly State Spin Other Other Comments:	ata Remember: Re	ecord all fish species ca rpe Advisor Rules/ Regs Fishnotes	y material given	
Method Ti Bait Sta Troll Sta Fly Spin Other Comments: Licence Education Fish stocking Habitat Protection	ata Remember: Remember: Remember: Remember: Remember: Remember: Remember: Remember: Research me spent Fish Ty art Image: Spenditure Expenditure Image: Spenditure Enforcement Image: Spenditure Research Image: Spenditure Recreational Image: Spenditure	Advisor Rules/ Regs [Fishnotes [Other [y material given	
Method Ti Bait Troll Troll Sta Fly Sta Spin Other Other Comments: Licence Education Fish stocking Habitat Protection Rank level of support from 1 to 10	ata Remember: Remember: Remember: Remember: Remember: Remember: Remember: Remember: Remember: Research art Fish Ty art Image: Remember: Remembe	Advisor Rules/ Regs Fishnotes Other	y material given	
Method Ti Bait Troll Fily Sta Spin Other Other Comments: Education Image: Catch D Fish stocking Image: Catch D Habitat Protection Image: Catch D Rank level of support from 1 to Other	ata Remember: Remember: Remember: Remember: Remember: Remember: Remember: Remember: Research Tailor art Fish Ty art Image: Second	Advisor Rules/ Regs [Fishnotes [Other [y material given	
Method Ti Bait Sta Troll Sta Fily Sta Spin Other Other Comments: Education Image: Catch D Fish stocking Image: Catch D Habitat Protection Image: Catch D Rank level of support from 1 to Other	ata Remember: Remember: Remember: Remember: Remember: Remember: Remember: Remember: Research ish art Fish Ty art Image: Second state stat	Advisor Rules/ Regs [Fishnotes [Other [y material given	

Table 14.Survey results of whether anglers were licensed at time of interview by region and
year.

Region	Licensed	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	Total
Albury Region	Unspecified No Yes				3			3
Armidale Region	Unspecified No Yes		6 15 19	6 20	1			13 15 39
Batemans Bay Region	Unspecified No Yes				7 13	27 1 33	1	35 1 46
Bathurst Region	Unspecified No Yes						1	1
Botany Bay Region	Unspecified No Yes				15 1 41	33 30	5 1 17	53 2 88
Central Coast Region	Unspecified No Yes				-1	50	3	3
Central Tablelands Region	Unspecified No Yes		2 2 29	1 1 47	10 5 63	10 2 42	1	24 10 181
Coffs Harbour Region	Unspecified No Yes				13 1 25	17 1 9	1	30 2 35
Far Nth Coast Region	Unspecified No Yes						1	1
Jervis Bay Region	Unspecified No Yes				20 6 11	13	4	24 6 25
Lake Illawarra Region	Unspecified No Yes		149 10 7	18 10 15	20 5	10 10	6	213 213 25 62
Lake Macquarie Region	Unspecified No		1	14	34 4 57	5	12	39 4
Maclean Region	Unspecified No			14	4	57	12	4
Middle Harbour Region	Unspecified No				18	2	1	20
	Yes				13	2		15

Region	Licensed	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	Total
Narooma Region	Unspecified No				1	9		10
N D :	Yes				0	25		25
Nepean Region	No Yes				8 5 16	2 2 8		10 7 24
North Coast Region	Unspecified No Yes				10		1	1
Port Macquarie Region	Unspecified No Yes				89 10 105	226 2 50		315 12 155
Scone Region	Unspecified No Yes				105	1		133
Snowy Mountains Region	Unspecified No Ves	3 5 12	3 5 77	4 24 56	10 33		3	20 34 181
Tamworth Region	Unspecified No	12	,,	50	27	41	5	68
	Yes				8	32		40
The Entrance Region	Unspecified No				5	4	2	11
	Yes				9	27	7	43
Tweed Heads Region	Unspecified No					21 3		21 3
	Yes					15	4	19
Wallis Lake Region	Unspecified No					22 10 20	16	22 10
Yass Region	Unspecified			1	4	39	10	5
	Yes			17	2			19
Total Unspecified: Total No: Total Yes:		3 5 12	160 32 132	30 35 169	289 37 410	439 22 399	20 1 97	941 132 1 219

Table 14 – continued.

Appendix 3: Have respondents been previously interviewed?

Table 15.	Survey results of whether anglers had been previously interviewed by region and
	year.

Region	Response	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	Total
Albury Region	Unspecified No				100%			100%
	Number				2			2
Amaidala Dagion	Inumber			120/	3			3
Affilidate Region	Unspecified		050/	12%	1000/			4%
	NO Vac		95% 50/	81% 80/	100%			90%
	I es Number		3%	8% 26	1			67
Potomone Day Degion	Lingposified		40	20	1	50/		404
Batemans Bay Region	No				0004	<i>3%</i>		4% 2004
	NO				90% 100/	90% 50/	1000/	09% 70/
	I es				10%	5%	100%	1%
Dethumat Degion	Inumber				20	01	1	82 70/
Bathurst Region	No						1%0 570/	/% 570/
	INO Nac						260	37%
	Y es						30%	30%
Determine Devices	Number				00/	50/	120/	14
Botany Bay Region	Unspecified				9%	٦% ۵.4%	13%	8%
	NO				88%	84%	/8%	85%
	Yes				4%	11%	9%	8%
	Number				57	63	23	143
	Unspecified						1000/	1000/
Central Coast Region	No						100%	100%
	Yes						2	2
	Number		601		4.07	4.0.4	3	3
Central Tablelands Region	Unspecified		6%		1%	4%	100-	2%
	No		88%	51%	62%	63%	100%	64%
	Yes		6%	49%	37%	33%		34%
	Number		33	49	78	54	1	215
Coffs Harbour Region	Unspecified							
	No				95%	74%		85%
	Yes				5%	26%	100%	15%
	Number				39	27	1	67
Far Nth Coast Region	Unspecified							
	No						100%	100%
	Yes							
							1	1
Jervis Bay Region	Unspecified				8%			5%
	No				81%	62%	60%	75%
	Yes				11%	38%	40%	20%
	Number				37	13	5	55

Region	Response	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	Total
Lake Illawarra Region	Unspecified		7%	2%			17%	5%
	No		90%	95%	95%	100%	74%	91%
	Yes		4%	2%	5%		9%	4%
	Number		166	43	38	30	23	300
Lake Macquarie Region	Unspecified			7%	1%		8%	2%
1 0	No			93%	93%	81%	75%	87%
	Yes				6%	19%	17%	11%
	Number			14	95	62	12	183
Maclean Region	No				80%		100%	83%
	Yes				20%			17%
	Number				5		1	6
Middle Harbour Region	Unspecified				13%			11%
induite marcour region	No				84%	100%		86%
	Ves				3%	10070		3%
	Number				31	Δ		35
Narooma Region	Unspecified				51			55
Naroonia Region	No				100%	0/10/		0/10/
	No				100%	9470		9470
	Number				1	24		25
Nencon Decion	Unappointed				1	54		35
Nepean Region	Na				0.20/	020/		000/
	INO N				95%	83%		90%
	Yes				/%	1/%		10%
	Number				29	12		41
North Coast Region	Unspecified						1000/	1000/
	No						100%	100%
	Yes							
	Number						1	1
Port Macquarie Region	Unspecified				2%			1%
	No				93%	97%		95%
	Yes				5%	3%		4%
	Number				204	278		482
Scone Region	Unspecified							
	No					13%		13%
	Yes					88%		88%
	Number					8		8
Snowy Mountains Region	Unspecified	10%	2%	1%			67%	3%
	No	75%	75%	32%	37%		33%	52%
	Yes	15%	22%	67%	63%			45%
	Number	20	85	84	43		3	235
Tamworth Region	Unspecified							
C	No				94%	70%		78%
	Yes				6%	30%		22%
	Number				35	73		108
The Entrance Region	Unspecified				7%			2%
	No				37%	87%	100%	91%
	Yes				2170	5%		7%
	Number				14	31	9	54
	1 (unitoti				17	51	,	54

Table 15 – continued.

Region	Response	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	Total
Tweed Heads Region	Unspecified					3%		2%
	No					90%	100%	91%
	Yes					8%		7%
	Number					39	4	43
Wallis Lake Region	Unspecified					3%	13%	5%
	No					77%	75%	77%
	Yes					20%	13%	18%
	Number					71	16	87
Yass Region	No			100%	100%			100%
	Yes							
	Number			18	6			24
Total Unspecified:		10%	5%	3%	3%	1%	11%	3%
Total No:		75%	86%	62%	85%	85%	75%	82%
Total Yes:		15%	9%	35%	12%	13%	14%	15%
Total		20	324	234	736	860	118	2 292

Table 15 – continued.







32





Appendix 5: Potential access and aggregation points for survey.

Table 16.Number of FCVs by FCV coastal region, principal waterway and potential access
and aggregation points for survey.

FCV Region	Number of FCVs	Main Waterway	Potential Access and Aggregation Points
Tweed Heads	19	Tweed River	Jack Evans Boat Harbour Fingal Chinderah Terranora Creek
Clarence	9	Clarence River	Seven Mile Beach Esplanade Jetty Road, South Arm Rosny Esplanade, Montague Bay Yamba Breakwall
Coffs Harbour	16	Coffs Harbour	Coffs Harbour Coffs Jetty
Port Macquarie	14	Port Maquarie	Port Marina, off Park St Port Macquarie Breakwall Laurieton Breakwall
Wallis Lake	11	Myall Lakes	Forster adjacent to the Forster Beach Caravan Park Tuncurry
Lake Macquarie	13	Lake Macquarie	Swansea Boat Ramp Wangi Wangi Boat Ramp
The Entrance	8	The Entrance	Ungalla Rd Blacksmiths Norah Head, Street Name Terrigal Haven
Long Reef	15	Pittwater / Port Jackson	Little Manly Cove Manly Reservoir Narrabeen Pittwater Ungalla Rd Blacksmiths
Middle Harbour	18	Port Jackson	Roseville Ramp, Healey Way Tunks Park, Brothers Ave Clontaf Beach:Clontaf Reserve Spit Bridge
Botany Bay	26	Botany Bay	Penhryn Rd, Banksmeadow - Botany Bay Kyeemagh, Mutch Ave (Cooks River) Sans Souci, The Promenade (Kogarah Bay) Blakehurst, Princes Hwy (Dover Park, Kogarah Bay) Tom Ugly's Bridge, Princes Hwy (south side) Port Hacking- Tonkin Park Turriel Bay
Lake Illawarra	23	Lake Illawarra	Lake Illawarra –Windang, Warilla Shellharbour Bass Point

FCV Region	Number of FCVs	[°] Main Waterway	Potential Access and Aggregation Points
Jervis Bay	26	Jervis Bay	Murrays Beach, Jervis Bay Rd Prince Edward Ave, Crookhaven Heads Holden Street, Vincentia, Huskisson
Batemans Bay	7	Clyde river	Boat Harbour Smoke Point
Montague	8	Wagonga Lake	Mystery Bay Wagonga Inlet
Eden	4	Twofold Bay	Twofold Bay Merimbula
Coastal FCVs	217		

Table 16 – continued.

FCV Region	Number o FCVs	f Main Waterway	Potential Access and Aggregation Points
Armidale	15	Copeton Dam, Gara River	Copeton Dam
Tamworth	6	Lake Keepit	Lake Keepit State Park
Grafton	7	Clarence River	Grafton Copmanhurst
Orange	3	Macquarie River/ Lake Burrendong	Burrendong State Recreation Area
Mudgee	3	Lake Windamere	Lake Windamere
Bathurst	8	Ben Chifley Dam / Murrumbidgee River	Ben Chifley Dam
Braidwood	12	Shoalhaven	Upper Shoalhaven
Wagga	9	Murrumbidgee River	Lake Burrenjuck
Cowra	4	Lake Wyangala	Wyangala Dam
Nepean	8	Nepean River	Camden on the Nepean River
Scone	2	Lake Glenbawn	Glenbawn Dam
Snowy	13	Lake Eucumbene / Jindbyne	Lake Eucumbene Jindabyne
Yass	1	Lake Burrinjuck	Lake Burrinjuck
Corowa	15	Murray River	Echuca Tocumwal Deniliquin Lake Mulwala
Inland FCVs	106		

Table 17.	Number of FCVs by FCV inland region, principal waterway and potential access
	and aggregation points for survey.

ISSN 1440-3544 (NSW Fisheries Final Report Series)

- No. 1 Andrew, N.L., Graham, K.J., Hodgson, K.E. and Gordon, G.N.G., 1998. Changes after 20 years in relative abundance and size composition of commercial fishes caught during fishery independent surveys on SEF trawl grounds. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 96/139.
- No. 2 Virgona, J.L., Deguara, K.L., Sullings, D.J., Halliday, I. and Kelly, K., 1998. Assessment of the stocks of sea mullet in New South Wales and Queensland waters. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 94/024.
- No. 3 Stewart, J., Ferrell, D.J. and Andrew, N.L., 1998. Ageing Yellowtail (*Trachurus novaezelandiae*) and Blue Mackerel (*Scomber australasicus*) in New South Wales. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 95/151.
- No. 4 Pethebridge, R., Lugg, A. and Harris, J., 1998. Obstructions to fish passage in New South Wales South Coast streams. Final report to Cooperative Research Centre for Freshwater Ecology. 70pp.
- No. 5 Kennelly, S.J. and Broadhurst, M.K., 1998. Development of by-catch reducing prawn-trawls and fishing practices in NSW's prawn-trawl fisheries (and incorporating an assessment of the effect of increasing mesh size in fish trawl gear). Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 93/180. 18pp + appendices.
- No. 6 Allan, G.L. and Rowland, S.J., 1998. Fish meal replacement in aquaculture feeds for silver perch. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 93/120-03. 237pp + appendices.
- No. 7 Allan, G.L., 1998. Fish meal replacement in aquaculture feeds: subprogram administration. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 93/120. 54pp + appendices.
- No. 8 Heasman, M.P., O'Connor, W.A. and O'Connor, S.J., 1998. Enhancement and farming of scallops in NSW using hatchery produced seedstock. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 94/083. 146pp.
- No. 9 Nell, J.A., McMahon, G.A. and Hand, R.E., 1998. Tetraploidy induction in Sydney rock oysters. Final Report to Cooperative Research Centre for Aquaculture. Project No. D.4.2. 25pp.
- No. 10 Nell, J.A. and Maguire, G.B., 1998. Commercialisation of triploid Sydney rock and Pacific oysters. Part 1: Sydney rock oysters. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 93/151. 122pp.
- No. 11 Watford, F.A. and Williams, R.J., 1998. Inventory of estuarine vegetation in Botany Bay, with special reference to changes in the distribution of seagrass. Final Report to Fishcare Australia. Project No. 97/003741. 51pp.
- No. 12 Andrew, N.L., Worthington D.G., Brett, P.A. and Bentley N., 1998. Interactions between the abalone fishery and sea urchins in New South Wales. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 93/102.
- No. 13 Jackson, K.L. and Ogburn, D.M., 1999. Review of depuration and its role in shellfish quality assurance. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 96/355. 77pp.
- No. 14 Fielder, D.S., Bardsley, W.J. and Allan, G.L., 1999. Enhancement of Mulloway (Argyrosomus japonicus) in intermittently opening lagoons. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 95/148. 50pp + appendices.
- No. 15 Otway, N.M. and Macbeth, W.G., 1999. The physical effects of hauling on seagrass beds. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 95/149 and 96/286. 86pp.
- No. 16 Gibbs, P., McVea, T. and Louden, B., 1999. Utilisation of restored wetlands by fish and invertebrates. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 95/150. 142pp.

- No. 17 Ogburn, D. and Ruello, N., 1999. Waterproof labelling and identification systems suitable for shellfish and other seafood and aquaculture products. Whose oyster is that? Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 95/360. 50pp.
- No. 18 Gray, C.A., Pease, B.C., Stringfellow, S.L., Raines, L.P. and Walford, T.R., 2000. Sampling estuarine fish species for stock assessment. Includes appendices by D.J. Ferrell, B.C. Pease, T.R. Walford, G.N.G. Gordon, C.A. Gray and G.W. Liggins. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 94/042. 194pp.
- No. 19 Otway, N.M. and Parker, P.C., 2000. The biology, ecology, distribution, abundance and identification of marine protected areas for the conservation of threatened Grey Nurse Sharks in south east Australian waters. Final Report to Environment Australia. 101pp.
- No. 20 Allan, G.L. and Rowland, S.J., 2000. Consumer sensory evaluation of silver perch cultured in ponds on meat meal based diets. Final Report to Meat & Livestock Australia. Project No. PRCOP.009. 21pp + appendices.
- No. 21 Kennelly, S.J. and Scandol, J. P., 2000. Relative abundances of spanner crabs and the development of a population model for managing the NSW spanner crab fishery. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 96/135. 43pp + appendices.
- No. 22 Williams, R.J., Watford, F.A. and Balashov, V., 2000. Kooragang Wetland Rehabilitation Project: History of changes to estuarine wetlands of the lower Hunter River. Final Report to Kooragang Wetland Rehabilitation Project Steering Committee. 82pp.
- No. 23 Survey Development Working Group, 2000. Development of the National Recreational and Indigenous Fishing Survey. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 98/169. (Volume 1 – 36pp + Volume 2 – attachments).
- No.24 Rowling, K.R and Raines, L.P., 2000. Description of the biology and an assessment of the fishery of Silver Trevally *Pseudocaranx dentex* off New South Wales. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 97/125. 69pp.
- No. 25 Allan, G.L., Jantrarotai, W., Rowland, S., Kosuturak, P. and Booth, M., 2000. Replacing fishmeal in aquaculture diets. Final Report to the Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research. Project No. 9207. 13pp.
- No. 26 Gehrke, P.C., Gilligan, D.M. and Barwick, M., 2001. Fish communities and migration in the Shoalhaven River – Before construction of a fishway. Final Report to Sydney Catchment Authority. 126pp.
- No. 27 Rowling, K.R. and Makin, D.L., 2001. Monitoring of the fishery for Gemfish *Rexea solandri*, 1996 to 2000. Final Report to the Australian Fisheries Management Authority. 44pp.
- No. 28 Otway, N.M., 1999. Identification of candidate sites for declaration of aquatic reserves for the conservation of rocky intertidal communities in the Hawkesbury Shelf and Batemans Shelf Bioregions. Final Report to Environment Australia for the Marine Protected Areas Program. Project No. OR22. 88pp.
- No. 29 Heasman, M.P., Goard, L., Diemar, J. and Callinan, R., 2000. Improved Early Survival of Molluscs: Sydney Rock Oyster (*Saccostrea glomerata*). Final report to the Aquaculture Cooperative Research Centre. Project No. A.2.1. 63pp.
- No. 30 Allan, G.L., Dignam, A and Fielder, S., 2001. Developing Commercial Inland Saline Aquaculture in Australia: Part 1. R&D Plan. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 1998/335.
- No. 31 Allan, G.L., Banens, B. and Fielder, S., 2001. Developing Commercial Inland Saline Aquaculture in Australia: Part 2. Resource Inventory and Assessment. Final report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 1998/335. 33pp.
- No. 32 Bruce, A., Growns, I. and Gehrke, P., 2001. Woronora River Macquarie Perch Survey. Final report to Sydney Catchment Authority, April 2001. 116pp.
- No. 33 Morris, S.A., Pollard, D.A., Gehrke, P.C. and Pogonoski, J.J., 2001. Threatened and Potentially Threatened Freshwater Fishes of Coastal New South Wales and the Murray-Darling Basin. Report to Fisheries Action Program and World Wide Fund for Nature. Project No. AA 0959.98. 177pp.
- No. 34 Heasman, M.P., Sushames, T.M., Diemar, J.A., O'Connor, W.A. and Foulkes, L.A., 2001. Production of Micro-algal Concentrates for Aquaculture Part 2: Development and Evaluation of

Harvesting, Preservation, Storage and Feeding Technology. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 1993/123 and 1996/342. 150pp + appendices.

- No. 35 Stewart, J. and Ferrell, D.J., 2001. Mesh selectivity in the NSW demersal trap fishery. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 1998/138. 86pp.
- No. 36 Stewart, J., Ferrell, D.J., van der Walt, B., Johnson, D. and Lowry, M., 2001. Assessment of length and age composition of commercial kingfish landings. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 1997/126. 49pp.
- No. 37 Gray, C.A. and Kennelly, S.J., 2001. Development of discard-reducing gears and practices in the estuarine prawn and fish haul fisheries of NSW. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 1997/207. 151pp.
- No. 38 Murphy, J.J., Lowry, M.B., Henry, G.W. and Chapman, D., 2002. The Gamefish Tournament Monitoring Program – 1993 to 2000. Final report to Australian Fisheries Management Authority. 93pp.
- No. 39 Kennelly, S.J. and McVea, T.A. (Ed), 2002. Scientific reports on the recovery of the Richmond and Macleay Rivers following fish kills in February and March 2001. 325pp.
- No. 40 Pollard, D.A. and Pethebridge, R.L., 2002. Report on Port of Botany Bay Introduced Marine Pest Species Survey. Final Report to Sydney Ports Corporation. 69pp.
- No. 41 Pollard, D.A. and Pethebridge, R.L., 2002. Report on Port Kembla Introduced Marine Pest Species Survey. Final Report to Port Kembla Port Corporation. 72pp.
- No. 42 O'Connor, W.A, Lawler, N.F. and Heasman, M.P., 2003. Trial farming the akoya pearl oyster, *Pinctada imbricata*, in Port Stephens, NSW. Final Report to Australian Radiata Pty. Ltd. 170pp.
- No. 43 Fielder, D.S. and Allan, G.L., 2003. Improving fingerling production and evaluating inland saline water culture of snapper, *Pagrus auratus*. Final Report to the Aquaculture Cooperative Research Centre. Project No. C4.2. 62pp.
- No. 44 Astles, K.L., Winstanley, R.K., Harris, J.H. and Gehrke, P.C., 2003. Experimental study of the effects of cold water pollution on native fish. A Final Report for the Regulated Rivers and Fisheries Restoration Project. 55pp.
- No. 45 Gilligan, D.M., Harris, J.H. and Mallen-Cooper, M., 2003. Monitoring changes in the Crawford River fish community following replacement of an effective fishway with a vertical-slot fishway design: Results of an eight year monitoring program. Final Report to the Cooperative Research Centre for Freshwater Ecology. 80pp.
- No. 46 Pollard, D.A. and Rankin, B.K., 2003. Port of Eden Introduced Marine Pest Species Survey. Final Report to Coasts & Clean Seas Program. 67pp.
- No. 47 Otway, N.M., Burke, A.L., Morrison, NS. and Parker, P.C., 2003. Monitoring and identification of NSW Critical Habitat Sites for conservation of Grey Nurse Sharks. Final Report to Environment Australia. Project No. 22499. 62pp.
- No. 48 Henry, G.W. and Lyle, J.M. (Ed), 2003. The National Recreational and Indigenous Fishing Survey. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 1999/158. 188 pp.
- No. 49 Nell, J.A., 2003. Selective breeding for disease resistance and fast growth in Sydney rock oysters.
 Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 1996/357. 44pp.
 (Also available a CD-Rom published in March 2004 containing a collection of selected manuscripts published over the last decade in peer-reviewed journals).
- No. 50 Gilligan, D. and Schiller, S., 2003. Downstream transport of larval and juvenile fish. A final report for the Natural Resources Management Strategy. Project No. NRMS R7019. 66pp.
- No. 51 Liggins, G.W., Scandol, J.P. and Kennelly, S.J., 2003. Recruitment of Population Dynamacist. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 1993/214.05. 44pp.
- No. 52 Steffe, A.S. and Chapman, J.P., 2003. A survey of daytime recreational fishing during the annual period, March 1999 to February 2000, in Lake Macquarie, New South Wales. NSW Fisheries Final Report. 124pp.
- No. 53 Barker, D. and Otway, N., 2003. Environmental assessment of zinc coated wire mesh sea cages in Botany Bay NSW. Final Report to OneSteel Limited. 36pp.

- No. 54 Growns, I., Astles, A. and Gehrke, P., 2003. Spatial and temporal variation in composition of riverine fish communities. Final Report to Water Management Fund. Project No. SW1 part 2. 24pp.
- No. 55 Gray, C. A., Johnson, D.D., Young, D.J. and Broadhurst, M. K., 2003. Bycatch assessment of the Estuarine Commercial Gill Net Fishery in NSW. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 2000/172. 58pp.
- No. 56 Worthington, D.G. and Blount, C., 2003. Research to develop and manage the sea urchin fisheries of NSW and eastern Victoria. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 1999/128. 182pp.
- No. 57 Baumgartner, L.J., 2003. Fish passage through a Deelder lock on the Murrumbidgee River, Australia. NSW Fisheries Final Report. 34pp.
- No. 58 Allan, G.L., Booth, M.A., David A.J. Stone, D.A.J. and Anderson, A.J., 2004. Aquaculture Diet Development Subprogram: Ingredient Evaluation. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 1996/391. 171pp.
- No. 59 Smith, D.M., Allan, G.L. and Booth, M.A., 2004. Aquaculture Diet Development Subprogram: Nutrient Requirements of Aquaculture Species. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 1996/392. 220pp.
- No. 60 Barlow, C.G., Allan, G.L., Williams, K.C., Rowland, S.J. and Smith, D.M., 2004. Aquaculture Diet Development Subprogram: Diet Validation and Feeding Strategies. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 1996/393. 197pp.
- No. 61 Heasman, M.H., 2004. Sydney Rock Oyster Hatchery Workshop 8-9 August 2002, Port Stephens, NSW. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 2002/206. 115pp.
- No. 62 Heasman, M., Chick, R., Savva, N., Worthington, D., Brand, C., Gibson, P. and Diemar, J., 2004. Enhancement of populations of abalone in NSW using hatchery-produced seed. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 1998/219. 269pp.
- No. 63 Otway, N.M. and Burke, A.L., 2004. Mark-recapture population estimate and movements of Grey Nurse Sharks. Final Report to Environment Australia. Project No. 30786/87. 53pp.
- No. 64 Creese, R.G., Davis, A.R. and Glasby, T.M., 2004. Eradicating and preventing the spread of the invasive alga *Caulerpa taxifolia* in NSW. Final Report to the Natural Heritage Trust's Coasts and Clean Seas Introduced Marine Pests Program. Project No. 35593. 110pp.
- No. 65 Baumgartner, L.J., 2004. The effects of Balranald Weir on spatial and temporal distributions of lower Murrumbidgee River fish assemblages. Final Report to the Department of Agriculture, Fisheries & Forestry - Australia (National Heritage Trust MD2001 Fishrehab Program). 30pp.
- No. 66 Heasman, M., Diggles, B.K., Hurwood, D., Mather, P., Pirozzi, I. and Dworjanyn, S., 2004. Paving the way for continued rapid development of the flat (angasi) oyster (*Ostrea angasi*) farming in New South Wales. Final Report to the Department of Transport & Regional Services. Project No. NT002/0195. 40pp.

ISSN 1449-9967 (NSW Department of Primary Industries - Fisheries Final Report Series)

- No. 67 Kroon, F.J., Bruce, A.M., Housefield, G.P. and Creese, R.G., 2004. Coastal floodplain management in eastern Australia: barriers to fish and invertebrate recruitment in acid sulphate soil catchments. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 1998/215. 212pp.
- No. 68 Walsh, S., Copeland, C. and Westlake, M., 2004. Major fish kills in the northern rivers of NSW in 2001: Causes, Impacts & Responses. NSW Department of Primary Industries - Fisheries Final Report. 55pp.
- No. 69 Pease, B.C. (Ed), 2004. Description of the biology and an assessment of the fishery for adult longfinned eels in NSW. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Project No. 1998/127. 168pp.

- No. 70 West, G., Williams, R.J. and Laird, R., 2004. Distribution of estuarine vegetation in the Parramatta River and Sydney Harbour, 2000. Final Report to NSW Maritime and the Australian Maritime Safety Authority. 37pp.
- No. 71 Broadhurst, M.K., Macbeth, W.G. and Wooden, M.E.L., 2005. Reducing the discarding of small prawns in NSW's commercial and recreational prawn fisheries. Final Report to the Fisheries Research & Development Corporation. Project No. 2001/031. NSW Department of Primary Industries - Fisheries Final Report Series No. 71. 202pp.
- No. 72. Graham, K.J., Lowry, M.B. and Walford, T.R., 2005. Carp in NSW: Assessment of distribution, fishery and fishing methods. NSW Department of Primary Industries - Fisheries Final Report Series No. 72. 88pp.
- No. 73 Stewart, J., Hughes, J.M., Gray, C.A. and Walsh, C., 2005. Life history, reproductive biology, habitat use and fishery status of eastern sea garfish (*Hyporhamphus australis*) and river garfish (*H. regularis ardelio*) in NSW waters. Final report on the Fisheries Research & Development Corporation Project No. 2001/027. 180pp.
- No. 74 Growns, I. and Gehrke, P., 2005. Integrated Monitoring of Environmental Flows: Assessment of predictive modelling for river flows and fish. NSW Department of Primary Industries - Fisheries Final Report Series No. 74. 33pp.
- No. 75 Gilligan, D., 2005. Fish communities of the Murrumbidgee catchment: Status and trends. Final report to the Murrumbidgee Catchment Management Authority. Project No. BG4_03. 138pp.
- No. 76 Ferrell, D.J., 2005. Biological information for appropriate management of endemic fish species at Lord Howe Island. NSW Department of Primary Industries Fisheries Final Report Series No. 76. 18 pp.
- No. 77 Gilligan, D., Gehrke, P. and Schiller, C., 2005. Testing methods and ecological consequences of large-scale removal of common carp. Final report to the Water Management Fund - Programs MFW6 and MUR5. 46pp.
- No. 78 Boys, C.A., Esslemont, G.and Thoms, M.C., 2005. Fish habitat and protection in the Barwon-Darling and Paroo Rivers. Final report to the Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry – Australia (AFFA). 118pp.
- No. 79 Steffe, A.S., Murphy, J.J., Chapman, D.J. and Gray, C.C., 2005. An assessment of changes in the daytime recreational fishery of Lake Macquarie following the establishment of a 'Recreational Fishing Haven'. NSW Department of Primary Industries - Fisheries Final Report Series No. 79. 103pp.
- No. 80 Gannassin, C. and Gibbs, P., 2005. Broad-Scale Interactions Between Fishing and Mammals, Reptiles and Birds in NSW Marine Waters. Final Report for a project undertaken for the NSW Biodiversity Strategy. 171pp.
- No. 81 Steffe, A.S., Murphy, J.J., Chapman, D.J., Barrett, G.P. and Gray, C.A., 2005. An assessment of changes in the daytime, boat-based, recreational fishery of the Tuross Lake estuary following the establishment of a 'Recreational Fishing Haven'. NSW Department of Primary Industries -Fisheries Final Report Series No. 81. 70pp.
- No. 82 Silberschnieder, V. and Gray, C.A., 2005. Arresting the decline of the commercial and recreational fisheries for mulloway (*Argyrosomus japonicus*). Final report on the Fisheries Research & Development Corporation Project No. 2001/027. 71pp.
- No. 83 Gilligan, D., 2005. Fish communities of the Lower Murray-Darling catchment: Status and trends. Final report to the Lower Murray Darling Catchment Management Authority. Project No. MD 005.03. 106pp.
- No. 84 Baumgartner, L.J., Reynoldson, N., Cameron, L. and Stanger, J., 2006. Assessment of a Dualfrequency Identification Sonar (DIDSON) for application in fish migration studies. NSW Department of Primary Industries - Fisheries Final Report Series No. 84. 33pp.
- No. 85 Park, T., 2006. FishCare Volunteer Program Angling Survey: Summary of data collected and recommendations. NSW Department of Primary Industries Fisheries Final Report Series No. 85. 41pp.